

# RESEARCH ARTICLE

**Open Access** 

# Dental sealant knowledge, opinion, values and practice of Spanish dentists

Laura San Martin<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Antonio Castaño<sup>4</sup>, Manuel Bravo<sup>5</sup>, Mary Tavares<sup>6,7</sup>, Richard Niederman<sup>8</sup> and Eyitope O Ogunbodede<sup>9</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Multiple guidelines and systematic reviews recommend sealant use to reduce caries risk. Yet, multiple reports also indicate that sealants are significantly underutilized. This study examined the knowledge, opinions, values, and practice (KOVP) of dentists concerning sealant use in the southwest region of Andalusia, Spain. This is a prelude to the generation of a regional plan for improving children's oral health in Andalusia.

**Methods:** The survey's target population was dentists working in western Andalusia, equally distributed in the provinces of Seville, Cadiz, and Huelva (N=2,047). A convenience sample of meeting participants and meeting participant email lists (N=400) were solicited from the annual course on Community and Pediatric Dentistry. This course is required for all public health sector dentists, and is open to all private sector dentists. Information on the dentist's KOVP of sealants was collected using four-part questionnaire with 31, 5-point Likert-scaled questions.

**Results:** The survey population demographics included 190 men (48%) and 206 women (52%) with an average clinical experience of 10.6 ( $\pm$  8.4) years and 9.3 ( $\pm$  7.5) years, respectively. A significant sex difference was observed in the distribution of place of work (urban/suburb) (p=0.001), but no sex differences between working sector (public/private). The mean  $\pm$  SD values for each of the four KOVP sections for pit and fissure sealants were: knowledge = 3.57  $\pm$  0.47; opinion = 2.48  $\pm$  0.47; value = 2.74  $\pm$  0.52; and practice = 3.48  $\pm$  0.50. No sex differences were found in KOVP (all p >0.4). Independent of sex: knowledge statistically differed by years of experience and place of work; opinion statistically differed by years of experience and sector; and practice statistically differed by years of experience and sector. Less experienced dentists tended to have slightly higher scores (~0.25 on a Likert 1–5 scale). Statistically significant correlations were found between knowledge and practice (r=0.44, p=0.00) and between opinion and value (r=0.35, p=0.00).

**Conclusions:** The results suggest that, similar to other countries, Andalusian dentists know that sealants are effective, have neutral to positive attitudes toward sealants; though, based on epidemiological studies, underuse sealants. Therefore, methods other than classical behavior change (eg: financial or legal mechanisms) will be required to change practice patterns aimed at improving children's oral health.

Keywords: Fissure sealants, Dental, Prevention, Children, Oral health

<sup>2</sup>Forsyth Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: LSanMartin@us.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>School of Dentistry, University of Seville, Avicena s/n, Seville, Spain

# **Background**

Dental caries is among the most common of preventable childhood infections [1], and methods are currently available to cost effectively reduce caries [2]. The most effective method to reduce occlusal caries are pit and fissure sealants, and over the last four years more than 11 guidelines and systematic reviews have recommended pit and fissure sealant use for at-risk populations [3-13]. However, studies from U.S. [14-16], Greece [17], Sweden [18], and Scotland [19,20] all indicate that sealants are underutilized.

In Spain, recent surveys indicate a 56% caries prevalence among 15–16 year olds, while only 17% have sealants [21,22]. Other Spanish studies demonstrate that occlusal sealants can reduce both occlusal and smooth surface decay by 87% and 68%, respectively, over a two year period [23]. Over a nine year period sealants can reduce occlusal decay by 65% [24].

Thus there are effective methods for caries prevention, but they are underutilized. The theoretical frame for behavior change is an assessment of knowledge and attitudes affecting practice. However, neither theories of behavior change nor knowledge nor attitudes predict clinical practice [25]. Instead, both indicate that values are better predictors [20,26]. Therefore, we examined knowledge combined with opinions and values, as a first step toward initiating comprehensive caries prevention program in Spain. More particularly we assessed dentists in the western province of Andalusia regarding to the use of pit and fissure sealants.

#### **Methods**

#### Literature search

A comprehensive search for meta-analysis and systematic reviews on pit and fissure sealants was conducted by the authors using the Pubmed Database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (Tables 1 and 2).

#### Survey generation

Information from the studies identified in the MEDLINE searches were used to generate the 31 survey questions in

four groups: knowledge, opinion, values and practice. Each question was reviewed for pertinence and clarity by 8 full and part-time faculty who teach prevention (N=5), pediatric dentistry (N=2), or are program directors for community dentistry (N=1) in Andalusia. A Likert 1-5 scale survey (1= strongly disagree; 5= strongly agree), and narrative commentary were used to evaluate the survey. The questions were edited as suggested by the initial survey panel. The survey was then sent to 20 dentists twice, one week apart, to determine test/retest validity.

#### Survey method

The survey's target population was dentists working in 3 of the 4 western provinces of Andalusia: Seville, Cadiz, and Huelva (N=2,047). Raosoft was used to generate a power calculation (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize. html). For a 5% margin of error, a 95% confidence level, a population of 2,047, and a response distribution of 50%, the minimum recommended survey size is 324.

We solicited a convenience sample of participants from the annual Community and Pediatric Dentistry course. This is a required course for all public health sector dentists, and is open to all private sector dentists. It is attended by dentists with an interest in pediatric dentistry. Direct solicitation of meeting participants and solicitation from participant email lists were used to recruit a convenience sample of 400 dentists, equally distributed between the three provinces. Meeting participants were surveyed at the meeting, in person, and accounted for approximately 46% of the responses. The meeting participants provided email addresses during registration. From this email list we selected individuals who did not respond in person. From this email list we identified individuals from three geographic regions. We then emailed the identified number of individuals in each region asking them if they would complete the survey. We iteratively continued this until we filled the quota determined by the power calculation. The total number of professionals attending the meeting who responded to our survey was 184. The remaining 216 participants were recruited by email.

Table 1 To identify the current best evidence on pit and fissure sealants we queried MEDLINE using the following search strategy

Step	Search	Found
1	(dental sealants) OR (tooth sealants) OR (fissure sealants) OR (pit and fissure sealants)	3058
2	(meta-analysis) OR (systematic review)	1,732,591
3	1 AND 2	348
4	2008[PDat]:2012[PDat]	3,756,585
5	3 AND 4	68
	Relevant = 11	

Search #1 was limited to systematic reviews of human randomized controlled trials. The titles and abstracts were examined to identify systematic reviews with meta-analysis relevant to caries prevention.

Table 2 To identify the current best evidence on knowledge, attitudes and practice regarding pit and fissure sealants we queried MEDLINE using the following search strategy

Step	Search	Found
1	(dental sealants) OR (tooth sealants) OR (fissure sealants) OR (pit and fissure sealants)	3058
2	(Health care quality, access, evaluation) OR (patient care management) OR (behavior and behavior mechanisms)	2,228,959
3	1 AND 2	318
4	2008[PDat]:2012[PDat]	3,756,585
5	3 AND 4	78
	Relevant = 7	

Search #2 was limited to surveys of on KAP regarding sealants. The titles and abstracts were examined to identify clinical trials relevant to pit and fissure sealants.

#### **Demographics**

Survey respondents were identified by 4 metrics: sex, years in practice ( $\leq 3$ , 4–15,  $\geq 16$ ), practice location (urban, suburban), and type of practice (public, private, both).

#### Statistical analysis

For each of the 31 survey items and for each of the four assessment domains (knowledge, opinions, values, and practice) a frequency distribution of the Likert scale was determined as well as the mean. These were evaluated individually using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, the Friedman nonparametric repeated measures ANOVA, or Spearman's correlation.

# Results Survey

Test-retest validity was determined with 20 dentists retested at an interval of one week (Cronbach's alpha =0.872). The frequency distribution for the 31 questions in the four sections - knowledge, values, opinion, and practice - is presented in Table 3. The mean  $\pm$  sd scores for the individual questions ranged from  $1.53 \pm 0.82$  to 4.29 + 0.90 with an overall of  $3.15 \pm 0.31$ . All the dentists who attended the course filled out our survey. Our response rate from the emails was 76%.

#### **Demographics**

A target population of 400 dentists was selected from a larger population of 2,047 dentists working in Western Andalusia. The dentists were equally recruited from the three provinces of Seville (34%), Cadiz (33%) and Huelva (33%). For the 400 dentists who provided opinion data 396 provided demographic data (Table 4). These were divided between male and female as 190 (47.5%) and 210 (52.5%), respectively. They had  $10.59\pm8.39$  and  $9.26\pm7.52$  years of experience, respectively (P =0.095). The mean ( $\pm$ SD) years of experience for the total respondents was  $9.20\pm7.97$  (range 0 to 37) years. Two hundred and sixtyone of respondents (65%) worked only in private clinics, 46 (12%) in public sector clinics and 89 (22%) in both private and public sector clinics. There was no difference between both sexes (P =0.114). One hundred and thirty-

two respondents (33%) worked in urban settings, 177 (44%) in suburban settings, and 87 (22%) in both. There was a significant sex difference in the distribution (P = 0.001). While 27 (31%) of 190 males worked in both urban and suburban clinics, the corresponding figure for females was 60 of 206 (69%) (Table 4).

KOVP. Knowledge, value, opinion and practice around pit and fissure sealants were examined, first as groups and then by demographics. As a group the average values were: knowledge =  $3.57 \pm 0.47$ ; opinion =  $2.48 \pm 0.47$ ; practice =  $3.48 \pm 0.50$ ; and value =  $2.74 \pm 0.52$ . The groups were then segregated by four metrics: sex, years of experience, practice sector and place of work (16 total assessments) (Table 5). The scores within the 16 metrics ranged from 2.37 to 3.67 (1=strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree), indicating a neutral to positive impression of pit and fissure sealants.

As indicated in Table 5, of the 16 assessments, 5 demonstrated statistically significant differences: Knowledge differed by years of experience (p=0.00), and place of work (p = 0.00); Opinion differed by years of experience (p=0.03) and sector (p=0.00). Similarly, practice about sealants differed by years of experience (p=0.00). Conversely, values around sealant placement did not statistically differ by sex, years of experience, practice sector or place of work.

The correlations between knowledge, opinion, values and practice is presented in Table 6. Statistically significant correlations were found between knowledge and practice (r=0.44, p=0.00) and between value and opinion (r=0.35, p=0.00).

#### **Discussion**

These primary findings indicate that dentists in western Andalusia have neutral to favorable knowledge, opinion, values, and practice attitudes about sealants (Table 3). The more detailed statistical findings for KOVP in relationship to demographics (Tables 5 and 6) need to be interpreted with caution. First, some are, and some are not significant. Yet, for those that are significant, the absolute differences between the highest and lowest values in each category for KOVP are modest. Second, these are secondary outcomes of association, and should be viewed as hypothesis

Table 3 Distribution and mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of scores for the questions

QUESTIONS		Percentage	*Mean ± SD				
		1	2	3	4	5	
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	
Know	ledge				,		
Q1	I think that the effectiveness of fissure sealants is supported by strong scientific evidence.	5.5	8.5	21.8	27.2	37.0	3.82±1.18
Q2	There is scientific evidence for the restorative use of dental sealants.	7.0	15.5	35.5	22.2	19.8	3.32±1.16
Q3	I am familiar with the technique of placing dental sealants.	6.8	3.8	14.8	33.5	41.2	3.99±1.15
Q4	I believe that fissure sealants should be reviewed after placement.	1.8	2.0	14.0	30.0	52.2	4.29±0.90
Q5	I understand the instructions for placing sealants.	1.8	3.0	26.0	27.2	42.0	4.05±0.98
Q6	I think that sealants should only be used on newly erupted teeth	21.8	14.2	21.5	20.0	22.5	3.07±1.45
Q7	I think that sealants wear out easily.	6.0	17.5	32.8	26.2	17.5	3.32±1.13
Q8	I believe that you must perform a caries risk assessment to prevent overtreatment.	4.8	3.0	26.2	34.2	31.8	3.85±1.05
Q9	Pit and fissure sealants have adverse effects.	28.5	21.5	25.0	14.8	10.2	2.57±1.31
Q10	I believe the technique of applying a sealant is the most important aspect to the success of the treatment.	9.5	10.8	28.0	28.8	23.0	3.45±1.22
Q11	I agree that resin sealants are more effective than glass ionomer sealant.	7.6	13.0	33.6	27.0	18.8	3.36±1.15
Q12	The most important factor for adhesion to occur in sealant placement is proper acid etching.	6.8	5.5	26.2	34.8	26.7	3.69±1.13
Value							
Q13	I think this technique takes time to do correctly.	39.0	35.2	19.5	5.8	0.5	1.94±0.92
Q14	The materials that are used for the placement of sealants are very expensive.	23.1	38.7	25.1	10.8	2.3	2.30±1.01
Q15	I do not use sealants very often as a preventive method because its effect is short lived.	25.0	28.2	18.0	19.5	9.2	2.60±1.30
Q16	Fissure sealants are used less than they should be.	9.5	6.8	27.1	31.4	25.1	3.56±1.21
Q17	The dental staff at my clinic communicate importance of using sealants to the patients.	8.9	13.5	36.1	19.6	21.9	3.32±1.21
Opini	on						
Q18	It is difficult to explain to patients what dental sealants are.	25.5	21.2	21.8	25.8	5.8	2.65±1.27
Q19	It is difficult to justify the cost of sealants to parents	15.8	18.3	31.3	22.6	12.0	2.97±1.24
Q20	I think my patients understand the benefits of using sealants.	28.0	23.0	31.1	9.3	8.6	2.47±1.23
Q21	It is necessary to promote the use of sealants amongst dentists and dental educators.	4.0	11.8	19.3	30.6	34.3	3.79±1.15
Q22	I apply sealants because the oral public health community instructs me to.	55.9	23.5	13.6	4.7	2.3	1.74±1.02

Table 3 Distribution and mean ± standard deviation of scores for the questions (Continued)

Q23	I use dental sealant in the oral public sector because it is easy to apply and patients find it comfortable.	66.5	15.8	16.3	1.5	0.0	1.53±0.82
Q24	Since working in the oral health public community, I have greater belief in the effectiveness of sealants.	42.9	18.4	25.9	8.0	4.7	2.13±1.19
Praction	ce						
Q25	I sometimes avoid dental sealants for the possibility of sealing over caries.	14.2	15.0	23.0	22.8	25.0	3.29±1.37
Q26	I think sealants, besides being a preventive method, can also have a restorative effect and can be used on incipient caries.	35.6	25.1	17.8	13.3	8.3	2.34±1.30
Q27	This sealing technique, when used alongside fluoride application, may reduce the rate of decay more significantly.	5.5	8.0	30.5	24.5	31.5	3.68±1.16
Q28	In the case of partial or total loss of sealant, I would recommend reapplication.	6.8	13.1	21.2	25.9	33.0	3.65±1.25
Q29	The most important factor for adhesion to occur in sealant placement is proper insolation.	2.5	5.0	17.6	37.8	37.0	4.02±0.99
Q30	The most important factor for adhesion to occur in sealant placement is proper acid etching.	4.0	5.3	27.9	38.9	23.9	3.73±1.01
Q31	The benefits of using sealants should be considered with regard to the patient's risk of caries and clinicians should follow specific guidelines	2.8	8.1	38.5	25.9	24.7	3.62±1.03

generating. The study was powered for the primary outcome variables, not the secondary outcome variables. Therefore, the analyses in Tables 5 and 6 are vulnerable to type 1 or type 2 errors. In sum, the findings reported here: (1) adds Spain to a list of other countries in what appears to be a growing global phenomenon of under-utilization of the preventive sealants [14-19,27]; and (2) expands the list of behavioral metrics around dental sealant knowledge and attitudes to include opinions and values that do not appear to adequately relate practice behavior. The survey did not, however: (1) determine the relationship of KOVP

to actual sealant use; or (2) determine the relationship of traditional KAP with KOVP.

A troubling overall finding is the recognition of a clinical problem (caries), the availability of a cost-effective preventive solution (sealants), a neutral to positive KOVP, and the reluctance of the professional community to implement sealants in practice. This suggests that behavioral phenomena other than or in addition to KOVP may be driving clinical practice.

One hypothesis is that the theoretical KOVP imparted during dental school training differs from clinical training.

**Table 4 Demographics** 

					S	ex			
		N	Male		Female				
			Mean ± SD	n	(%)	Mean ± SD	n	(%)	Р
		396		190	48.0		206	52.0	
Years of experience			10.59±8.39			9.26±7.52			0.095
Sector	Public	46		28	(60,9)		18	(39,1)	0.114
	Private	261		117	(44,8)		144	(55,2)	
	Both	89		45	(50,6)		44	(49,4)	
Place of work	Urban	132		74	(56,1)		58	(43,9)	0.001
	Suburb	177		89	(50,3)		88	(49,7)	
	Both	87		27	(31,0)		60	(69,0)	

Table 5 Summary table for knowledge, values, opinion, practice

		Knowledge (Q 1-12)	Value (Q 13-17)	Opinion (Q 18-24)	Practice (Q 25-31)	Total (Q 1-31)
				Mean±SD		
1	Sex					
	Male (n=187)	3.55±0.42	2.76±0.51	2.50±0.42	3.47±0.50	3.14±0.30
	Female (n=204)	3.58±0.52	2.73±0.53	2.45±0.51	3.48±0.50	3.15±0.31
	Total (n=391)	3.57±0.47	2.74±0.52	2.48±0.47	3.48±0.50	3.15±0.31
		P=0.44	P=0.55	P=0.41	P=0.82	P=0.76
2	Years of Experier	nce				
	≤3 (n=38)	3.64±0.33	2.79±0.52	2.61±0.53	3.47±0.47	3.17±0.28
	4-15 (n=89)	3.60±0.43	2.73±0.51	2.49±0.41	3.57±0.46	3.19±0.28
	≥16 (n=57)	3.42±0.63	2.72±0.55	2.37±0.49	3.30±0.56	3.06±0.35
	Total (n=184)	3.57±0.47	2.74±0.52	2.48±0.47	3.48±0.50	3.15±0.31
		P=0.00*	P=0.71	P=0.03*	P=0.00*	P=0.03*
3	Sector					
	Public	3.67±0.33	2.78±0.35	2.39±0.38	3.48±0.44	3.18±0.25
	Private	3.56±0.50	2.72±0.54	2.35±0.51	3.49±0.51	3.06±0.38
	Both	3.52±0.46	2.81±0.53	2.65±0.42	3.43±0.51	3.21±0.24
	Total	3.57±0.47	2.74±0.52	2.48±0.47	3.48±0.50	3.15±0.31
		P=0.23	P=0.39	P=0.00*	P=0.62	P=0.01*
4	Place of Work					
	Urban	3.43±0.56	2.73±0.50	2.46±0.53	3.40±0.54	3.05±0.34
	Sub-urban	3.65±0.42	2.79±0.55	2.47±0.42	3.50±0.47	3.22±0.28
	Both	3.58± 0.36	2.69±0.49	2.52±0.45	3.52±0.49	3.18±0.25
	Total	3.57±0.47	2.74±0.52	2.48±0.47	3.48±0.50	3.15±0.31
		P=0.00*	P=0.33	P=0.77	P=0.13	P=0.00*

<sup>\*</sup>Significant difference.

Table 6 Relationship between mean knowledge, values, opinions and practice

	Knowledge	Value	Opinion	Practice			
	Spearman's correlation						
Knowledge	1.000	0.054	0.056	0.439**			
		P=0.287	P=0.441	P=0.000			
	(N= 385)	(N= 385)	(N=192)	(N=384)			
Value	0.054	1.000	0.351**	0.002			
	P=0.287		P=0.000	P=0.966			
	N=385	(N=390)	N=191	N=383			
Opinion	0.056	0.351**	1.000	-0.003			
	P=0.441	P=0.000		P=0.965			
	N=192	N=191	N=194	N=189			
Practice	0.439**	0.002	-0.003	1.000			
	P=0.000	P=0.966	P=0.965				
	N=384	N=383	N=189	N=390			

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

For example, over the last 100 years dentists have successfully treated caries with surgery and repair using silver amalgam, plastic, gold or stainless steel. Dental schools therefore focus on providing training to instill basic knowledge of anatomy, anesthesia, material science, and clinical skill to facilitate surgical expertise in repairing the cavitated lesion. In parallel, compensation systems have evolved to reward clinicians for providing surgical care. This system therefore rewards treatment over prevention.

However, for more than 50 years, the oral health community has recognized that caries is a preventable infection, and developed methods to reduce the probability of initiation and progression of the infection. The most effective preventive method for occlusal caries is the application of pit and fissure sealants to the occlusal surfaces [3-13], which can reduce dental decay by up to 80%. Yet, globally, the epidemic of caries continues unabated [1,2].

From a global oral health perspective, effecting systematic change in clinical care delivery to support prevention is challenging [14-19,27]. This is also true historically across the health spectrum, ranging from the use of vitamin C to

prevent scurvy (250 years before routine implementation) [28], to hand washing (100 years before routine implementation) [29], to a host of interventions and diagnostics that over treat, undertreat or mistreat patients (more than 15 years for routine implementation) [30].

From a behavioral viewpoint, multiple models have been suggested to effect behavior change. [31,32]. Pioneering work by Bonetti and colleagues [20,27,33] examined six theoretical models for behavior change around sealant use. They include: action planning, common sense selfregulation model, operant learning theory, the precaution adoption process, social cognitive theory, and the theory of planned behavior. Their examination included knowledge as an additional predictor. Using multiple regression analysis, they found that these models for behavior accounted for only 38% in the variation in care. This again suggests that the current models for effecting clinical practice behavior change do not go far enough in identifying significant levers for change. Their work does suggest, however, that economic and legal mechanisms may be more effective in altering clinical practice.

In Spain, the National Health System provides care for all children, independent of parental income. State or regional programs can supplement this care. In Andalusia, two frameworks for oral care are available: public and private. Private dentists receive 36 euros for providing care. In contrast, dentists working in the public sector are on salary and remuneration is independent of the type of care they provide. This compensation system for the private sector may be a significant factor impacting the selection of care delivered. Similarly, the cost of materials (fluoride varnish, glass ionomer, composite, and amalgam) can impact the selection of care in the public sector since funds for purchasing are limited. Thus, economics, not KOVP, may be the practice drivers in Spain. Interestingly, Clarkson et al. examined the economic/education/values hypothesis. They found that only economics altered practice behavior by dentists [20]. The current findings that KOVP are not predictive of practice, combined with the findings of Clarkson et al., suggest that alternate approaches to practice change and improving children's oral health are needed.

Work at the Harvard Business School suggests that focusing on values may be the key driver for health improvement [34-36]. This group postulates and provides examples of systems that focus on rewarding value (defined as outcomes/costs for the care cycle). These systems increase access to care, improve health, and reduce the costs of care. The systems the Harvard team examined who use a values approach have: increased access to care, improved health, and reduced the costs of care. The Commonwealth Fund, in an extensively documented report, supports a value-based approach to care improvement [37]. Further support for this concept, as it applies to oral health improvement in the U.S. comes from the Pew Trust report

[38]. The Pew Trust found that sealants are underused, resulting from two significant barriers to care: legal and economic.

In sum, the improvement of oral health, through the increased use of sealants, does not appear to be related to improving knowledge, attitudes, opinion or values of the dental community. Rather, economic and legal variables appear to provide more leverage. The oral health community must now consider if, when, and how we might begin to test these new hypothesis.

#### **Conclusions**

The results reported here suggest that, similar to other countries, Andalusian dentists know that sealants are effective, have neutral to positive attitudes toward sealants; though, based on epidemiological studies, underuse sealants. Therefore, methods other than classical behavior change (eg: financial or legal mechanisms) will be required to change practice patterns aimed at improving children's oral health.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

#### Authors' contributions

LSM, AC and MB conceptualized and designed the study, LSM collected the data, LSM, MT, RN and EOO analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Vicerrectorado de Investigacion of the University of Seville, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, and the Forsyth Institute. We would also like to thank the following clinicians who participated in the validation of the survey: Drs. Anibal Gonzalez, Rafael Llamas, Maria del Aguila Cordero, David Ribas, Emilio Lledo, Manuel Poyato, Antonio Fernandez. We acknowledge the following sources for invaluable financial support NIMHD 1 R34 DE022272 (NIH2385) and NIDCR 1 U24 MD006964. We are grateful to Dr. Javier Gil for assistance with the statistical analysis.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>School of Dentistry, University of Seville, Avicena s/n, Seville, Spain. <sup>2</sup>Forsyth Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>3</sup>Harvard School of Dental Medicine Boston, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>4</sup>School of Dentistry, University of Seville, Seville, Spain. <sup>5</sup>School of Dentistry, University of Granada, Granada, Spain. <sup>6</sup>Forsyth Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>7</sup>Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>8</sup>Forsyth Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. <sup>9</sup>Faculty of Dentistry, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

Received: 29 August 2012 Accepted: 28 January 2013 Published: 8 February 2013

#### References

- Benzian H, Hobdell M, Holmgren C, Yee R, Monse B, Barnard JT, van Palenstein HW: Political priority of global oral health: an analysis of reasons for international neglect. Int Dent J 2011, 61(3):124–30.
- Pitts N, Amaechi B, Niederman R, Acevedo AM, Vianna R, Ganss C, Ismail A, Honkala E: Global oral health inequalities: dental caries task group research, agenda. Adv Dent Res 2011, 23(2):211–20.
- Mickenautsch S, Yengopal V: Caries-preventive effect of glass ionomer and resin-based fissure sealants on permanent teeth: An update of systematic review evidence. BMC Res Notes 2011, 4(1):22. PubMed PMID: 21276215; PubMedCentral PMCID: PMC3041989.
- Hiiri A, Ahovuo-Saloranta A, Nordblad A, Mäkelä M: Pit and fissure sealants versus fluoride varnishes for preventing dental decay in children and

- adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010, 17(3):CD003067. Review. PubMed PMID: 20238319.
- Yengopal V, Mickenautsch S: Resin-modified glass-ionomer cements versus resin-based materials as fissure sealants: a meta-analysis of clinical trials. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2010, 11(1):18–25. Review. PubMed PMID: 20129029.
- Gooch BF, Griffin SO, Gray SK, Kohn WG, Rozier RG, Siegal M, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, et al: Preventing dental caries through school-based sealant programs: updated recommendations and reviews of evidence. J Am Dent Assoc 2009, 140(11):1356–65. Review. PubMed PMID: 19884392
- Yengopal V, Mickenautsch S, Bezerra AC, Leal SC: Caries-preventive effect of glass ionomer and resin-based fissure sealants on permanent teeth: a meta analysis. J Oral Sci 2009, 51(3):373–82. Review. PubMed PMID: 19776504.
- Marinho VC: Marinhozed trials of fluoride therapies for preventing dental caries. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2009, 10(3):183–91. Review. PubMed PMID: 19772849.
- Griffin SO, Gray SK, Malvitz DM, Gooch BF: Caries risk in formerly sealed teeth. J Am Dent Assoc 2009, 140(4):415–23. Review. PubMed PMID: 19339530.
- Ahovuo-Saloranta A, Hiiri A, Nordblad A, Mäkelä M, Worthington HV: Pit and fissure sealants for preventing dental decay in the permanent teeth of children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008, 8(4):CD001830. Review. PubMed PMID: 18843625.
- Azarpazhooh A, Main PA: Pit and fissure sealants in the prevention of dental caries in children and adolescents: a systematic review. J Can Dent Assoc 2008, 74(2):171–7. Review. PubMed PMID: 18353204.
- Beauchamp J, Caufield PW, Crall JJ, Donly K, Feigal R, Gooch B, American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs, et al: Evidence-based clinical recommendations for the use of pit-and-fissure sealants: a report of the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. J Am Dent Assoc 2008, 139(3):257–68. Review. PubMed PMID: 18310730.
- Griffin SO, Oong E, Kohn W, Vidakovic B, Gooch BF, Bader J, Clarkson J, Fontana MR, Meyer DM, Rozier RG, Weintraub JA, Zero DT, CDC Dental Sealant Systematic Review Work Group: The effectiveness of sealants in managing caries lesions. J Dent Res 2008, 87(2):169–74. PubMed PMID: 18218845.
- Tellez M, Gray SL, Gray S, Lim S, Ismail Al: Sealants and dental caries: dentists' perspectives on evidence-based recommendations. J Am Dent Assoc 2011, 142(9):1033–40.
- Riley JL, Richman JS, Rindal DB, Fellows JL, Qvist V, Gilbert GH, Gordan VV, Dental PBRN Collaborative Group: Use of caries-preventive agents in children: findings from the dental practice-based research network. Oral Health Prev Dent 2010, 8(4):351–9. PubMed PMID: 21180672; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3074637.
- Ferracane J, Hilton T, Korpak A, Gillette J, McIntyre PS, Berg J, PRECEDENT Northwest: Use of caries prevention services in the Northwest PRECEDENT dental network. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2011, 39(1): 69–78. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.2010.00570.x. Epub 2010 Nov 29. PubMed PMID: 21114514; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3031425.
- Michalaki M, Sifakaki M, Oulis CJ, Lygidakis NA: Attitudes, knowledge and utilization of fissure sealants among Greek dentists: a national survey. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2010, 11(6):287–93.
- Oulis CJ, Berdouses ED, Mamai-Homata E, Polychronopoulou A: Prevalence of sealants in relation to dental caries on the permanent molars of 12 and 15-year-old Greek adolescents. A national pathfinder survey". BMC Public Health 2011, 11:100.
- Sarmadi R, Gahnberg L, Gabre P: Clinicians' preventive strategies for children and adolescents identified as at high risk of developing caries. Int J Paediatr Dent 2011, 21(3):167–74. doi:10.1111/j.1365-263X.2010.01103x. Epub 2010 Oct 21.
- Clarkson JE, Turner S, Grimshaw JM, Ramsay CR, Johnston M, Scott A, Bonetti D, Tilley CJ, Maclennan G, Ibbetson R, Macpherson LM, Pitts NB: Changing clinicians' behavior: a randomized controlled trial of fees and education. J Dent Res 2008, 87(7):640–4.
- Almerich Silla JM, Montiel Company JM: Oral health survey of the child population in the Valencia Region of Spain (2004). Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2006, 11(4):E369–81. 1.
- Llodra Calvo JC: Encuesta de salud oral en España 2010. RCOE 2012, 17(1):13–46.

- Bravo M, Baca P, Llodra JC, Osorio E: A 24-month study comparing sealant and fluoride varnish in caries reduction on different permanent first molar surfaces. J Public Health Dent 1997, 57(3):184–6.
- Bravo M, Montero J, Bravo JJ, Baca P, Llodra JC: Sealant and fluoride varnish in caries: a randomized trial. J Dent Res 2005, 84(12):1138–43.
- Martino S: Oral health behavioral and social intervention research concepts and methods. J Public Health Dent 2011, 71(Suppl 1):S2–6.
- Greenhalgh T, Robert G, Macfarlane F, Bate P, Kyriakidou O: Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: systematic review and recommendations. Milbank O 2004. 82(4):581–629.
- Bonetti D, Johnston M, Clarkson J, Turner S: Applying multiple models to predict clinicians' behavioural intention and objective behaviour when managing children's teeth. Psychol Health 2009, 24(7):843–60.
- Magiorkinis E, Beloukas A, Diamantis A: Scurvy: past, present and future. Eur J Intern Med 2011, 22(2):147–52. Epub 2010 Nov 16.
- Chou DT, Achan P, Ramachandran M: The World Health Organization '5 moments of hand hygiene': the scientific foundation. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2012. 94(4):441–5. Review.
- Green BL, Li L, Morris JF, Gluzman R, Davis JL, Wang MQ, Katz RV: Detailed knowledge of the Tuskegee syphilis study: who knows what? A framework for health promotion strategies. Health Educ Behav 2011, 38(6): 629–36. Epub 2011 Apr 11.
- San Martin L: Análisis sobre el uso de selladores de hoyos, surcos y fisuras por los profesionales Andaluces. [tesis doctoral]. Sevilla: Sevilla University; 2012.
- 32. Niederman R, Richards D, Brands W: The changing standard of care. J Am Dent Assoc 2012, 143(5):434–7.
- Bonetti D, Johnston M, Pitts NB, Deery C, Ricketts I, Tilley C, Clarkson JE: Knowledge may not be the best target for strategies to influence evidence-based practice: using psychological models to understand RCT effects. Int J Behav Med 2009, 16(3):287–93.
- Porter ME, Teisberg EO: Redefining health care: creating value-based competition on results. Cambridge MA: Harvard Business School Press; 2006.
- Porter ME: What is value in health care? N Engl J Med 2010, 363(26):2477–81.
- Kaplan RS, Porter ME: How to solve the cost crisis in health care. Harv Bus Rev 2011, 89(9):46–52. 54, 56–61.
- Schoen C, Guterman S, Zezza M, Abrams M: Confronting Costs. Stabilizing U. S. health spending while moving toward a high performance health care system. NY: The Commonwealth Fund Commission on a High Performance Health System; 2013.
- Pew Center on the States: Falling Short. Most states lag on dental sealants.
  Washington DC: The Pew Charitable Trusts; 2013.

## doi:10.1186/1472-6831-13-12

Cite this article as: San Martin *et al.*: Dental sealant knowledge, opinion, values and practice of Spanish dentists. *BMC Oral Health* 2013 **13**:12.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

