RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Kunihito Yamane, Yuji Sato, Junichi Furuya^{*}, Noboru Kitagawa, Naoya Ikemura and Osamu Shimodaira

Effect of traction direction and pressure load

on the palatal plate on retentive force

Abstract

Background: Recently, a denture adhesive that is easy to clean and contain moisturizing ingredients have been developed for patients with dry mouth. Although the retentive force produced by conventional denture adhesives and oral moisturizers have been compared on models, no study has reported their comparison in the oral cavity. In this study, we aimed to clarify the effects of different directions of traction and loads at the time of pressure contact on the retentive force on a palatal plate made from a dentulous jaw model.

Methods: A palatal plate was fabricated with thermoplastic resin on a dentulous jaw model, and a loop-shaped traction device was attached to the centre of the palate. The test samples were a cream-type denture adhesive, a denture adhesive for dry mouth, an oral moisturizer, and a denture moisturizer. The specimens were applied to the inner surface of the plate, which was then mounted under vertical pressure. Then, the retentive force was measured, using a digital force gauge, while the plate was pulled in different directions and with different loads.

Results: No significant difference in retentive force was observed in any of the test samples when the direction of traction was between 45° and 60°. The retentive force of the denture adhesive for dry mouth was significantly higher with a direction of traction of 90° than that of 45° or 60°. The retentive force when oral moisturizer was used was significantly higher in the 90° traction direction than in the 45° direction. There was no significant difference between a force of 4.0 kgf or 5.5 kgf when using a denture adhesive for dry mouth. Comparing the four load size conditions, the larger the load, the higher was the retentive force. Similar results were obtained for the cream-type denture adhesive and denture moisturizer. Significantly higher retentive force was observed for larger loads when oral moisturizer was used.

Conclusions: The results suggest that the direction of traction and the pressure load affect the retentive force on a palatal plate.

Keywords: Denture adhesive, Dry mouth, Palatal plate, Removable dentures, Retentive force

Background

While Japan's total population is declining, the proportion of older individuals in the population continues to increase. According to a report by the Statistics Bureau of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications in FY2022, the aging rate is currently 29.3% and is expected

*Correspondence: furuyajunichi@gmail.com

to continue to rise [1]. As the older population increases, it is likely that more people will be wearing removable dentures. However, as individuals age, it is often difficult for them to maintain their dentures due to changes in their general condition and oral environment, such as multiple systemic diseases [2, 3], impaired movement of the mouth due to diseases [4, 5], progressive xerostomia caused by side-effects of drugs [6, 7], resorption of the alveolar ridge, and changes in the mandibular position [8, 9]. For older patients who have difficulty maintaining or



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Department of Geriatric Dentistry, Showa University School of Dentistry, Ota Ward, Tokyo, Japan

stabilizing dentures, the use of denture adhesives may be effective [10].

On the other hand, denture adhesives are difficult to remove from the oral mucosa and denture surfaces after use. Residual denture adhesives can become a breeding ground for growth of oral bacteria and Candida albicans, causing denture stomatitis [11]. Additionally, they also increase the risk of aspiration pneumonia [12, 13]. Cream-type denture adhesives, which become sticky when they absorb water, do not easily enhance denture retention in a dry mouth. In addition, they are highly water absorbent and may exacerbate dry mouth. For patients with dry mouth, oral moisturizers that are washed off easily may be recommended as an alternative to denture adhesives [14-16]. However, many oral moisturizers contain artificial sweeteners, which may spoil the flavour of food. In addition, unlike denture adhesives, the safety of which has been confirmed by JIS and ISO standards, oral moisturizers are not originally indicated for use as denture adhesives.

Recently, a gel-type denture adhesive containing moisturizing ingredients has been developed for dry mouth [17]. Ohno et al. placed some test samples between two dried resin plates and measured its retentive force. The results showed that this denture adhesive provided significantly higher retention than a cream-type denture adhesive and an oral moisturizer [17]. Further, in a previous study that measured the retentive force achieved with denture adhesives on an edentulous jaw model over a period of time, the denture adhesive for dry mouth showed a significantly higher retentive force during the first 30 min of measurement than did the cream-type denture adhesive [18].

However, there is no report comparing the denture retentive force of denture adhesives for dry mouth and other denture adhesives in the oral cavity rather than on a model. Before measuring the retentive force of dentures in the oral cavity, it is necessary to examine the retentive force of palatal plates in healthy dentulous jawed subjects, whose oral moistness and salivary secretion are more stable than those of edentulous jawed subjects, and to set appropriate measurement conditions.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to clarify the influence of the direction of traction and the load at the time of pressure contact on the plate, simulating the intraoral environment using a palatal plate made from a dentulous jaw model, and investigating the optimal measurement conditions in the oral cavity.

The following four types of test samples were used in this

study: cream-type denture adhesive (NP; New Poligrip®

Methods

Test samples

Retentive force measuring device A digital force gauge (Digital For

A digital force gauge (Digital Force Gauge RZ-5[®]; AIKO-HENGINEERING, Tokyo, Japan) used as the measuring device (Fig. 2). We applied 2.5 g of the test sample to the inner surface of the palatal plate. Then, the plate was pressure perpendicular to the occlusal plane to the model for 10 s while the load was defined on a weighing instrument. A device indicating the direction of traction was mounted on the occlusal surface of the left first and second molar prosthesis of the model, and traction was exerted in a specified direction at a speed of 0.5 N/s using a digital force gauge. The force at which the palatal plate detached from the model was measured as the retentive force. The subsequent two experiments were conducted to investigate the influence of the direction of traction and load on the retentive force of the palatal plate. Only



Fig. 1 An upper dentulous jaw model and a palatal plate. A palatal plate was made from a 3.0 mm sheet of thermoplastic resin. A traction ring made from 0.9 mm diameter Co–Cr alloy wire was placed in the center of the palatal plate

Sa; Glaxo Smith Kline, Tokyo, Japan), gel-type denture adhesive for dry mouth (DM; Pitatto Kaiteki Gel[®]; Nippon Shika Yakuhin Co., Ltd., Shimonoseki, Japan), geltype oral moisturizer (BT; Biotene Oral Balance Jell[®]; T&K, Tokyo, Japan), and cream-type denture moisturizer (DW; Denture Wet[®]; DentCare Pvt., Ltd., Kerala, India).

Palatal plate manufacturing

A palatal plate was fabricated using a 3.0-mm sheet of thermoplastic resin (Erkodul[®]; Ercodent, Yokohama, Japan) from a working cast made by taking an impression of an upper dentulous jaw model. The plate was shaped such that it was 1.0 mm from the tooth cervices, and the rear edge was distal to the left and right second maxillary molars. A traction ring was made from 0.9-mm diameter Co-Cr alloy wire (SUN-COBALT CLASP-WIRE[®]; Dentsply Sirona, Tokyo, Japan). This was placed in the center of the palatal plate (on the intersection of the midline and the straight line connecting the central fossa of the bilateral first molars) (Fig. 1).



one palatal floor and one model should be prepared to avoid inconsistencies in the model's shape and other conditions for each measurement. The palatal plate and the model were washed after each measurement, and the following test sample was applied and measured without remnants from the previous sample.

Experimental condition: effect of traction

The palatal plate coated with the test sample was mounted onto the model, and a pressure load of 2.5 kgf was applied to the centre of the palatal plate on a weighing instrument (Fig. 3). Then, the digital force gauge was used to measure the retentive force by pulling in 45°, 60°, and 90° to the occlusal plane (Fig. 4). This was done six times (from pressure contact through traction to detachment) in each of the three directions. The first measurement was excluded, and the results from the second to the sixth measurements were used. The first measurement was excluded because it was not stable [18], and the average of the second through sixth measurements was obtained.

After six measurements, the sample was rinsed completely. Six consecutive measurements were taken three



Fig. 3 Pressure welding of the palatal floor to the model on the weighing instrument. The plate was pressure perpendicular to the occlusal plane to the model for 10 s while the load was defined on a weighing instrument



times, and three averages were obtained for the three sets of measurement. Finally, the average of the three averages was calculated.

Experimental condition: effect of pressure load

The palatal plate with the test sample applied was mounted on the model, and pressure loads of 1.0 kgf, 2.5 kgf, 4.0 kgf, and 5.5 kgf were applied to the center of the palatal floor, on a weighing instrument. Then, a digital force gauge was used to measure the retentive force by pulling perpendicularly to the occlusal plane. The retentive force was measured for each of the four conditions of pressure load.

Statistical analysis

SPSS Statistics 27.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis, and Tukey's method was used after one-way analysis of variance for multiple comparisons. All significance levels were set at 5%.

Results

Effect of traction direction

There was no significant difference in retentive force between traction in the 45° and 60° directions for any of the test samples (p < 0.05; Table 1). The 90° traction direction showed the highest retention force with DM. When BT was used, the traction direction of 90° showed significantly higher retentive force than directions of 45° (p < 0.05) (Fig. 5).

Effect of pressure load

In all cases, the higher the pressure load, the higher was the retentive force (Table 2). When DM was used, there was

 Table 1
 Retentive force measured on changing the direction of traction

| | DM | NP | BT | DW |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 45° | 4.20 ± 0.32 | 3.95 ± 0.04 | 5.37 ± 0.24 | 3.80 ± 0.40 |
| 60° | 4.28 ± 0.37 | 3.90 ± 0.05 | 5.53 ± 0.23 | 4.03 ± 0.14 |
| 90° | 4.79 ± 0.38 | 3.91 ± 0.31 | 5.92 ± 0.47 | 3.75 ± 0.14 |



traction. The 90° traction direction showed the highest retention force with DM. When BT was used, the traction direction of 90° showed significantly higher retentive force than directions of 45° (p < 0.05). The effect of traction direction on the retentive force depends on the material. NP; New Poligrip® Sa; Glaxo Smith Kline, Tokyo, Japan (cream-type denture adhesive), DM; Pitatto Kaiteki Gel®; NISHIKA (gel-type denture adhesive for dry mouth), BT; Biotene Oral balance Jell®; T&K, Tokyo, Japan (gel-type oral moisturizer), DW; Denture Wet.®; DENTCARE (cream-type denture moisturizer)

Table 2 Retentive force measured on changing pressure loads

| | DM | NP | BT | DW |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1.0 kg | 3.13 ± 0.12 | 3.15 ± 0.36 | 3.48±0.09 | 2.74 ± 0.19 |
| 2.5 kg | 4.41 ± 0.20 | 3.98 ± 0.42 | 4.32 ± 0.22 | 3.23 ± 0.27 |
| 4.0 kg | 5.44 ± 0.06 | 4.23 ± 0.21 | 5.44 ± 0.03 | 4.23 ± 0.07 |
| 5.5 kg | 5.56 ± 0.31 | 4.68 ± 0.30 | 5.86 ± 0.22 | 4.07 ± 0.31 |

no significant difference between 4.0 and 5.5 kgf, while the retention force was significantly higher (p < 0.05) at higher pressure loads among the other loading conditions. Similar results were obtained when NP and DW were used. When BT was used, significantly higher retention was observed at a greater load (p < 0.05) (Fig. 6).

Discussion

In this study, we aimed to clarify the effects of different directions of traction and different loads at the time of pressure contact on the retentive force on a palatal plate



made from a dentulous jaw model. The retentive force did not differ between traction directions of 45° and 60° for any of the test samples, but the denture adhesive for dry mouth was significantly higher with a direction of traction of 90° than that of 45° and 60° The retentive force when oral moisturizer was used was significantly higher in the 90° traction direction than in the 45° direction. There was no significant difference between a force of 4.0 kgf or 5.5 kgf when using a denture adhesive for dry mouth, and the retentive force tended to be significantly higher at higher pressure loads under all loading conditions when any of the samples were used.

Test samples

As in the previous study [18], there were four types of test samples: a cream-type denture adhesive, a gel-type denture adhesive for dry mouth whose main ingredient was water-soluble, an oral moisturizer, and a cream-type denture moisturizer with an oil-based ingredient. Yamagaki et al. reported that high-viscosity oral moisturizers have the same retentive force as denture adhesives [14]. In this study, BT, with high viscosity, was selected among oral moisturizers. DW is a substance applied to the denture surface to reduce friction against the oral mucosa during wearing.

The retentive force can be measured stably by filling the space between the model and the denture base's mucosal surface with the test sample [18]. In preliminary experiments, the amount of sample applied that could fill the inner surface of the palatal plate without excess or deficiency was studied, and consequently 2.5 g was used as the set amount in this study.

Palatal plate manufacturing

The palatal plate was designed to be 1 mm inward from the tooth cervices so that the floor area would be as wide as possible, without interfering with the prosthesis during traction. In addition, if the traction ring was higher than necessary, the backward detachment force during traction becomes large, and the retentive force may not be measured accurately. Therefore, we fabricated it with a height of 4 mm to match the tip of the retentive force measuring device.

Effect of traction direction

Yamagaki et al. reported that a stable retentive force can be measured by setting the traction speed to 0.5 N/s when measuring the retentive force using a digital force gauge [14]. In this study, traction was also applied at 0.5 N/s. The denture adhesive for dry mouth and the oral moisturizer showed lower retentive force at 45° than at 90°, possibly due to the detachment from the back of the base caused by horizontal force arising from oblique traction. The cream-type denture adhesive and denture moisturizer, which have relatively low retentive force, were not affected by the direction of traction. Thus, the effect of traction direction on the retentive force depends on the material. Based on the above, an angle of 60° is considered to be optimal for actual intraoral measurements of retentive force, as this allows traction from outside the oral cavity, while minimizing the influence of the backward withdrawal force.

Effect of pressure load

Bandai et al. examined the relationship between saliva viscosity and palatal plate retentive force and reported that 10 s of pressure contact stabilized the measured retentive force [19]. In the present study, we also measured the retentive force after 10 s of applying pressure. Kano et al. measured the thickness and adhesive force of denture adhesive between two resin plates and reported that the adhesive force increased with decreasing thickness [20]. In the present study, the higher the pressure load, the thinner was the thickness of the test sample between the plate and the model, and thus the higher was the retentive force. The magnitude of the pressure load affects the retention force. Therefore, if the pressure load is not specified in the oral cavity, the measured value of the retentive force may not be stable.

Study limitation

It has been suggested that the direction of traction and the pressure load should be specified when measuring retentive force of dentures after applying denture adhesives or moisturizers. However, while it is easy to define in an experiment using a model, it is difficult to do so in the oral cavity. Therefore, it is necessary to devise a method to define the direction of traction and pressure load for use in such experiments in the oral cavity. In future, we plan to measure the retentive force of a palatal plate in the presence of denture adhesives and oral moisturizers in the mouths of dentulous individuals, using these defined measurement conditions.

Conclusions

In this study, the direction of traction and the magnitude of pressure load were specified by attaching a device to the model to serve as a guide for the direction of traction on a scale, and measurements were made under various conditions. Our results suggest that the direction of traction and the magnitude of pressure load at the time of wearing the dentures affect the retentive force of the palatal floor.

Abbreviations

NP: Cream-type denture adhesive; DM: Gel-type denture adhesive for dry mouth; BT: Gel-type oral moisturizer; DW: Cream-type denture moisturizer.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, KY, JF and YS; Data curation, KY and NI; Formal analysis, KY, JF and NK; Supervision, OS and YS; original draft, KY, NI and JF; Writing—review and editing, KY, YS, JF, NK, NI and OS All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due [REASON WHY DATA ARE NOT PUBLIC] but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 28 April 2022 Accepted: 27 June 2022 Published online: 16 July 2022

References

 Population estimates by age (five-year groups) and sex. Tokyo: Statistics of Japan, 2022. p. 2022 (Provisional estimates); September 1, 2021 (Final estimates). https://www.e-stat.go.jp/en/stat-search/files?page=1&layout=datalist&toukei=00200524&tstat=000000090001&cycle=1&year= 20220&month=11010302&tclass1=000001011678&result_back=1&tclas s2val=0. Accessed 16 Mar 2022.

- Shimazaki Y, Soh I, Saito T, Yamashita Y, Koga T, Miyazaki H, et al. Influence of dentition status on physical disability, mental impairment, and mortality in institutionalized elderly people. J Dent Res. 2001;80:340–5.
- Triantos D. Intra-oral findings and general health conditions among institutionalized and non-institutionalized elderly in Greece. J Oral Pathol Med. 2005;34:577–82.
- Panek H, Krawczykowska H, Dobosz A, Napadłek P, Panek BA, Sosna-Gramza M. Follow-up visits as a measure of adaptation process to removable prostheses. Gerodontology. 2006;23:87–92.
- 5. Polzer I, Schimmel M, Müller F, Biffar R. Edentulism as part of the general health problems of elderly adults. Int Dent J. 2010;60:143–55.
- Navazesh M, Brightman VJ, Pogoda JM. Relationship of medical status, medications, and salivary flow rates in adults of different ages. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1996;81:172–6.
- Ikebe K, Morii K, Kashiwagi J, Nokubi T, Ettinger RL. Impact of dry mouth on oral symptoms and function in removable denture wearers in Japan. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2005;99:704–10.
- Jacobson TE, Krol AJ. A contemporary review of the factors involved in complete denture retention, stability, and support. Part I: Retention. J Prosthet Dent. 1983;49:5–15.
- Oliveira Junior NM, Rodriguez LS, Marin DO, Paleari AG, Pero AC, Compagnoni MA. Masticatory performance of complete denture wearers after using two adhesives: a crossover randomized clinical trial. J Prosthet Dent. 2014;112:1182–7.
- Felton D, Cooper L, Duqum I, Minsley G, Guckes A, Haug S, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the care and maintenance of complete dentures. J Prosthodont. 2011;20:S1-12.
- 11. Stafford GD, Russell C. Efficiency of denture adhesives and their possible influence on oral microorganisms. J Dent Res. 1971;50:832–6.
- 12. Piece AK, Sanford JP. Aerobic Gram-negative bacillary pneumonias. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1994;110:647–58.
- Terpenning M, Bretz W, Lopatin D, Langmore S, Dominguez B, Loesche W. Bacterial colonization of saliva and plaque in the elderly. Clin Infect Dis. 1993;16(Suppl 4):S314–6.
- Yamagaki K, Kitagawa N, Sato Y, Okane M, Mashimo J. The relation between the physical properties of oral moisturizer and denture retention force. Jpn J Gerodont. 2011;26:402–11.
- Aoyagi K, Sato Y, Kitagawa N, Okane M, Kakuda T, Takayama M. Development of a simple chair-side evaluation method for complete denture retention forces and its reproducibility. Jpn J Gerodont. 2014;29:21–8.
- Tsubakida K, Sato Y, Kitagawa N, Nakatsu M, Kana T, Takuya K, et al. Factors affecting the selection of denture adhesive or oral moisturizers by wearers of maxillary complete dentures. JSM Dent. 2017;5:1099.
- Ohno T, Itatsu R, Moriya M, Sato Y, Sumi Y. Evaluation of the physical properties of a newly developed denture adhesive for patients with dry mouth. J Prosthodont Res. 2019;63:157–61.
- Ikemura N, Sato Y, Furuya J, Shimodaira O, Takeda K, Kakuta T, et al. Changes in denture retention with denture adhesives and oral moisturizers for the oral cavity: an in vitro study. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21:438.
- Bandai M, Okuyama H, Katayama S, Shiba A. Viscosity of saliva in relation to denture retention. Part 2. Viscosity of mediating fluid in relation to retention of denture. Nihon Hotetsu Shika Gakkai Zasshi. 1987;31:837–43 (Japanese).
- Kano H, Kurogi T, Shimizu T, Nishimura M, Murata H. Viscosity and adhesion strength of cream-type denture adhesives and mouth moisturizers. Dent Mater J. 2012;31:960–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

