

RESEARCH

Open Access



Relationship between dental anxiety levels and oral health among dental patients in Turkey: a cross-sectional study

Zafer Saba^{1†} and Günseli Katirci^{2*†}

Abstract

Background This study aimed to determine the relationship between dental anxiety and oral health in adult patients who applied to the Department of Restorative Dentistry at the Faculty of Dentistry at Suleyman Demirel University.

Methods The study included 500 subjects. The dental anxiety levels of the patients were determined using a modified dental anxiety scale (MDAS). Information on sociodemographic details, oral hygiene and nutritional habits were recorded. Intraoral examinations of the subjects were performed. Caries prevalence of individuals was determined using the decayed, missing or filled teeth (DMFT) and decayed, missing, or filled surfaces (DMFS) indices. Gingival health was evaluated using the gingival index (GI). Statistical analyses were performed using the Mann–Whitney U, Kruskal–Wallis and Chi-square tests and Spearman correlation analysis.

Results The ages of the 276 female and 224 male participants ranged from 18–84 years. The median MDAS value was 9.00. The median DMFT and DMFS values were 10.00 and 23.00, respectively. The median MDAS values of women were higher than those of men. Individuals who postponed their appointment had a higher MDAS median value than those who did not (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$). No statistically significant correlation was found between dental anxiety level (MDAS) and GI, DMFT and DMFS index scores (Spearman correlation analysis, $p > 0.05$).

Conclusion The MDAS values of individuals who did not remember the reason for their dental visit were higher than those who visited the dentist for routine control. Based on the findings of this study, further research on the relationship between dental anxiety and oral health is necessary to determine the factors that pose a risk for dental anxiety and to ensure the regular benefits of dental services.

Keywords Dental anxiety, MDAS, Gingival index, Dental caries, DMFT, Oral–dental health

Introduction

Dental anxiety is widespread and poses an important problem during the management of patients in different age groups [1, 2]. In developed countries, patients are affected by dental anxiety [3]. Dental hygiene habits are affected by dental fear [4]. Studies have shown that patients with dental fear commonly ignore dental care, and dental anxiety can be an important cause of missed or cancelled dental appointments [1, 3].

Dental anxiety is a multifactorial condition [3]. Previous dental experiences play a major role in developing

[†]Zafer Saba and Günseli Katirci contributed equally to this study.

*Correspondence:

Günseli Katirci
gunselikatirci@sdu.edu.tr

¹ Restorative Dentistry Specialist, Private Dentist, Bursa, Turkey

² Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey



dental anxiety, which is exhibited by painful and traumatic experiences regarding dental treatment, unpleasant dentist contact and general fearfulness [5, 6]. Enhancing dental anxiety includes fear of pain, introversion, weakness, loss of control, fear of unexpected events and shame [7]. Children with parents with dental anxiety can be affected and are highly prone to dental fear [8]. Studies have shown that the prevalence of dental anxiety ranges from 11% to 91.2%. These differences can be attributed to the subjectivity of the diagnostic criteria, assessment methods and sampling techniques of the studies. A study has reported a high prevalence of dental anxiety in a group of adult patients in India at 46% [9]. Other studies have reported that the prevalence rates of dental anxiety are at 61.3%, 72% and 91.2% [10–12]. In Turkey, the reported prevalence rates of dental anxiety are at 5.1%, 21.3–23.5%, and 42.1% [13–15].

A vicious cycle exists between dental anxiety, which leads to delayed dental care, and oral health, which causes dental deterioration. Individuals entering this vicious circle may have increased dental anxiety and may require more dental treatment for an existing oral problem than persons who routinely visit the dentist for regular control [16, 17]. In addition, a great functional disturbance may occur in patients with dental anxiety, and their quality of life can decrease [18, 19]. This condition can damage their psychological affluence, social function and vitality. Several studies have reported that dental anxiety causes poor oral health, with more decayed, missing and fewer filled teeth and worse periodontal health [18, 20, 21]. The oral health of patients with dental anxiety still has inconclusive findings [3, 21]. At present, the public's dental anxiety should be evaluated, as this causes management problems during dental treatment and to determine its relationship with oral health status to improve the condition [12].

This study aimed to determine the relationship between dental anxiety and sociodemographic factors and oral health involving dental and periodontal status in patients attending the Suleyman Demirel University in Isparta, Turkey.

Materials and methods

Ethics

The present cross-sectional study was performed in the clinics of the Restorative Dentistry Department at the Faculty of Dentistry at Suleyman Demirel University. The ethics committee of Suleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, verified the study protocol (SDU.05.05.2021-11/193) and written informed consent was acquired from each patient before the study. The present study was conducted from May 2021 to August 2021.

Sample

G-Power software package programme (G*Power Ver. 3.0.10, Germany) was used for the power analysis. At least 459 patients were essential for the study, with 90% power in the $d = 0.15$ impact width. To avoid possible data loss, we added 41 patients and included a total of 500 patients in the study. Adult patients aged between 18 and 84 years and without any physical or mental illness were included in the study.

All patients were reported with a standardised structured questionnaire. The questionnaire provided information regarding sociodemographic characteristics, oral care, dental visits, parafunctions, smoking habits, diet, postponing dental appointment habits, dental fillings and painful experiences. The questionnaires were immediately completed under supervision.

Intraoral examination

The intraoral dental examination of all patients was performed by the same dentist using a conventional dental probe, mirror, and overhead dental lamp. Digital panoramic radiographs (Planmeca, Helsinki, Finland) were used to detect proximal caries lesions. Bite-wing radiographs were taken in addition to panoramic radiographs in cases where interproximal contact areas could not be recognized alone due to superpositions.

The total number of teeth, decayed, missing, or filled teeth (DMFT), decayed, missing, or filled surfaces (DMFS) and gingival index (GI) scores were determined. After air drying the teeth, the DMFT and DMFS scores were calculated. Caries were identified according to the criteria of the World Health Organization (WHO 1997) [22]. Teeth with a carious lesion in a pit or fissure, or on the tooth surface, and teeth with a softened floor, softened wall, or undermined enamel are recorded as carious. A filled tooth containing decayed areas was also recorded as carious. The restored teeth due to caries were recorded as filling. The extracted teeth due to caries were recorded as missed. Teeth restored for causes other than caries were not counted as filling. Third molars were not included in the current study. Periodontal status was identified according to GI. The six index teeth (i.e. teeth 44, 32, 36, 24, 12 and 16) were assessed with GI of Silness and Løe [23].

Dental anxiety score

A modified dental anxiety scale (MDAS) was used to evaluate dental anxiety in the current study [24]. The scale is comprised of five questions to measure the anxiety level in a certain dental condition before the clinical examination. The scale consists of the following questions: (Q1) If you had to visit the dentist tomorrow, how

would you feel about it? (Q2) While waiting for your turn in the dental office, how would you feel? (Q3) How would you feel if you knew that a tooth drill would be used? (Q4) How would you feel if you knew that your teeth would be scaled and polished? (Q5) How would you feel if you knew that a local anaesthetic injection would be administered in your gingiva or over an upper back tooth?

The answers were calculated based on the MDAS, and ratings of 1–5 demonstrated *not anxious, slightly anxious, fairly anxious, very anxious, and extremely anxious*, correspondingly. Therefore, the maximum score that can be calculated from each question was 5. In addition, the minimum and maximum scores of the entire scale were rated 5 and 25, respectively.

MDAS scores of 5–9, 10–18, and ≥19 were defined as less anxiety, moderate anxiety, and high dental anxiety, respectively [25].

Statistical analysis

The statistical program SPSS (version 25.0) was used to evaluate the data. The normality distribution of the data was checked using the Shapiro–Wilk and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests. The relationships between the MDAS and categorical variables were determined using the Chi-square test. The relationships between the MDAS and GI scores were evaluated using the Chi-square test. Group differences were analysed using the Mann–Whitney U or Kruskal–Wallis tests and Bonferroni post hoc correction, as necessary. The correlation between the scores of the MDAS and DMFT or DMFS indexes were assessed with Spearman’s correlation analysis. The level of significance was 0.05 for each statistical analysis.

Results

The present study included 500 participants, with a median MDAS value of 9.00 (Table 1). The Cronbach’s alpha of the MDAS was 0.898 for the current study, which indicated good internal consistency and reliability (N = 500). Furthermore, 51 (10.2%) of the 500

Table 1 Median score of the decayed, missing or filled teeth (DMFT), decayed, missing, or filled surfaces (DMFS) and modified dental anxiety scale (MDAS) scores of the study participants (N = 500)

Variable	Median	Minimum–Maximum Value
DMFT	10	1.00–30.00
DMFS	23	1.00–126.00
MDAS	9	5.00–25.00

Table 2 Distribution of individuals in the study according to their dental anxiety level (N = 500)

Modified Dental Anxiety Scale Score	N	%
5–9 (<i>less anxiety</i>)	262	52.4
10–18 (<i>moderate anxiety</i>)	187	37.4
≥19 (<i>high anxiety</i>)	51	10.2

patients may be categorized with a high MDAS score of 19 or greater (Table 2).

The median MDAS value of patients in the age group of 20–34 years was higher than that of the individuals in the age group of 50–64 years (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p < 0.05$). A significant relationship was found between dental anxiety (MDAS) and gender (Chi-square test, $p < 0.05$). The median MDAS value in women was higher than that in men in the paired comparisons (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$; Table 3).

On the one hand, patients with no regular income had higher MDAS median values than those with regular income. On the other hand, the median MDAS value of persons with health insurance was higher than that of those without health insurance. In addition, the median value of the dental anxiety score (MDAS) of individuals who visited the dentist with a companion was higher than that of those who visited the dentist without a companion. A statistical difference was found among dental anxiety levels according to the reason for dental visits (Mann–Whitney U, $p < 0.05$). The median MDAS values of individuals who did not remember the reason for the dental visit were higher than the median

Table 3 Test statistics and p-values for the comparison of the level of dental anxiety according to the examined age and gender variables in the study (N = 500)

Variable	n (%)	Statistical Test	p
Age		Kruskal–Wallis $X^2 = 14.197$	0.007*
< 20 ^{ab}	16 (3.2)		
20–34 ^b	182 (36.4)		
35–49 ^{ab}	169 (33.8)		
50–64 ^a	107 (21.4)		
65–79 ^{ab}	23 (4.6)		
> 79 ^{ab}	3 (0.6)		
Gender		Mann–Whitney U U = 20164.500	≤ 0.001**
Female	276 (55.2)		
Male	224 (44.8)		

* Kruskal–Wallis test (X^2)

** Mann–Whitney U test (U): test statistics. The bold number indicates statistical significance, $p < 0.05$. Different lowercase superscripts show a statistically significant difference between the columns and variable groups

Table 4 Test statistics and *p*-values of the relationship between dental anxiety level and patient variables (*N* = 500)

Variable	<i>N</i> (%)	Statistical Test	<i>p</i>
Education level		Chi-square	0.314***
Uneducated	8 (1.6)	$\chi^2 = 7.079$	
Primary school	151 (30.2)		
High school	146 (29.2)		
University	195 (39.0)		
Marital status		Chi-square	0.581***
Single	143 (28.6)	$\chi^2 = 2.865$	
Married	336 (67.2)		
Divorced	21 (4.2)		
Having any children		Chi-square	0.999***
No	168 (33.6)	$\chi^2 = 0.002$	
Yes	332 (66.4)		
Smoking		Chi-square	0.130***
No	294 (58.8)	$\chi^2 = 0.002$	
Yes	145 (29)		
Smoked in the past	61 (12.2)		
Monthly regular income		Mann–Whitney U	0.001**
No	193 (38.6)	<i>U</i> = 23,090.000	
Yes	307 (61.4)		
Health insurance		Mann–Whitney U	≤0.001**
No	43 (8.6)	<i>U</i> = 23,090.00	
Yes	457 (91.4)		
Place of residence		Chi-square	0.083***
City	335 (67.0)	$\chi^2 = 8.245$	
Township	114 (22.8)		
Village	51 (10.2)		
Systemic disease		Chi-square	0.142***
No	406 (81.2)	$\chi^2 = 8.245$	
Yes	94 (18.8)		
Drug use		Chi-square	0.132***
No	423 (84.6)	$\chi^2 = 4.047$	
Yes	77 (15.4)		
Bruxism		Chi-square	0.203***
No	312 (62.4)	$\chi^2 = 3.187$	
Yes	188 (37.6)		
Nail biting habit		Chi-square	0.131***
No	449 (89.8)	$\chi^2 = 4.068$	
Yes	51 (10.2)		
Foreign object biting habit		Chi-square	0.877***
No	486 (97.2)	$\chi^2 = 0.262$	
Yes	14 (2.8)		
Prosthetic use		Chi-square	0.532***
No	344 (68.8)	$\chi^2 = 1.298$	
Yes	156 (31.2)		
Ever visited a dentist before		Chi-square	0.994***
No	12 (2.4)	$\chi^2 = 0.115$	
Yes	488 (97.6)		

Table 4 (continued)

Variable	<i>N</i> (%)	Statistical Test	<i>p</i>
Visiting the dentist with a companion person		Mann–Whitney U	≤0.001**
No	297 (59.4)	<i>U</i> = 23,881.000	
Yes	203 (40.6)		
Frequency of dental visit		Kruskal–Wallis	0.837*
Rare	74 (14.8)	$X^2 = 0.355$	
When having a complaint	384 (76.8)		
Regularly	42 (8.4)		
Reason for a dental visit		Kruskal–Wallis	0.043*
Pain ^{ab}	135 (27)	$X^2 = 8.131$	
Treatment/appointment ^{ab}	314 (62.8)		
Routine check up ^a	33 (6.6)		
Do not remember ^b			

*Kruskal–Wallis test (X^2)

**Mann–Whitney U test (*U*)

*** Chi-square test (χ^2): test statistics. Bold numbers indicate statistical significance, $p < 0.05$. Different lowercase superscripts show a statistically significant difference between the columns and variable groups

value of those who visited the dentist for routine control (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p < 0.05$; Table 4).

No statistically significant relationship was found between the dental anxiety score (MDAS) of individuals and the educational status, marital status, smoking habit, and frequency of dental visits (Chi-square test, $p > 0.05$; Table 5).

A statistically significant relationship was found between dental anxiety (MDAS) and the total number of teeth in individuals. The dental anxiety rate was higher in participants with 1–9 teeth than in participants with more than 20 teeth (Chi-square test, $p = 0.05$). The MDAS values of individuals who experienced pain at the first and current filling treatments were higher than those who did not experience any pain (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$). Individuals who postponed current appointments had higher MDAS values than those who did not. In addition, the median MDAS value of individuals who received local anaesthesia during treatment was higher than those who were not applied (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$). Patients with self-reported bad sensation at the current filling treatment had the highest median MDAS value in the present study compared with the other self-reported sensation groups (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p < 0.05$; Table 6).

Relationship between Dental Anxiety (MDAS) and DMFT, DMFS, and GIs

No statistically significant relationship was found between the MDAS and DMFT and DMFS indexes in the individuals (Spearman correlation analysis, $p > 0.05$;

Table 5 Test statistics and *p*-values of the relationship between dental anxiety levels and patient variables (*N* = 500)

Variable	<i>N</i> (%)	Statistical Test	<i>p</i> ***
Tooth brushing habit		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 4.806$	0.09
No	23 (4.6)		
Yes	477 (95.4)		
Brushing frequency		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 8.384$	0.591
None	23 (4.6)		
Once a day	274 (54.8)		
≥2 per day	172 (34.4)		
Once a week	22 (4.4)		
>1 per week (2–6 times)	5 (1)		
>1 per month (2–6 times)	4 (0.8)		
Using toothpaste		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 1.263$	0.532
Not brushing teeth	23 (4.6)		
No	5 (1)		
Yes	472 (94.4)		
Using toothpaste with fluoride		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 5.605$	0.231
Not brushing teeth	23 (4.6)		
No	16 (3.2)		
Yes	197 (39.4)		
Does not know	264 (52.8)		
Tooth brushing time		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 8.733$	0.189
1–2 minutes	240 (48)		
2–5 minutes	179 (35.8)		
>5 minutes	34 (6.8)		
Billions	47 (9.4)		
Type of toothbrush		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 9.905$	0.129
Hard	47 (9.4)		
Moderate	204 (40.8)		
Soft	71 (14.2)		
Does not know	155 (31)		
Products used in oral care		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 0.807$	0.668
Toothbrush	477 (95.4)		
Toothpick	56 (11.2)		
Dental floss	62 (12.4)		
Miswak	4 (0.8)		
Other	6 (1.2)		
Eating meals regularly		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 0.367$	0.832
No	113 (22.6)		
Yes	387 (77.4)		
Consuming food between meals		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 3.848$	0.146
No	89 (17.8)		
Yes	411 (82.2)		
Frequency of sweet food/drink consumption		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 6.616$	0.761
Never	17 (3.4)		
Once a month	53 (10.6)		
Once a week	122 (24.4)		
>1 per week	144 (28.8)		
Once a day	125 (25)		
>1 per day	39 (7.8)		
Eating before sleeping		Chi-square $\chi^2 = 1.318$	0.517
No	352 (70.4)		
Yes	148 (29.6)		

*** Chi-square test (χ^2): test statistics, *p* > 0.05

Table 6 Test statistics and *p*-values of the relationship between dental anxiety level and variables of the participants (*N* = 500)

Variable	<i>N</i> (%)	Statistical Test	<i>p</i>
Total number of teeth		Chi-square	0.050***
1–9	7 (1.4)	$\chi^2 = 9.510$	
10–19	44 (8.8)		
≥20	449 (89.8)		
Had any dental filling experience		Chi-square	0.667***
No	11 (2.2)	$\chi^2 = 0.809$	
Yes	489 (97.8)		
First dental filling		Chi-square	0.145***
<12	141 (28.2)	$\chi^2 = 9.558$	
12–18 years old	134 (26.8)		
>18 years old	111 (22.2)		
Unclear	114 (22.8)		
Pain during first dental filling		Mann–Whitney U	≤0.001**
No	334 (66.8)	U = 12571.000	
Yes	166 (33.2)		
Pain during current filling		Mann–Whitney U	≤0.001**
No	462 (92.4)	U = 4929.500	
Yes	38 (7.6)		
Sensation of the current filling experience		Kruskal–Wallis	≤0.001*
Bad ^a	76 (15.2)	$\chi^2 = 177.224$	
Not bad ^b	224 (44.8)		
Good ^c	200 (40)		
Postponed current appointment		Mann–Whitney U	≤0.001**
No	457 (91.4)	U = 5250.500	
Yes	43 (8.6)		
Had any restoration on tooth surfaces		Mann–Whitney U	0.861**
No	335 (71)	U = 25486.500	
Yes	145 (29)		
Application of local anesthesia		Mann–Whitney U	0.014**
No	427 (85.4)	U = 12847.000	
Yes	73 (14.6)		
Who performed the treatment?		Mann–Whitney U	0.151**
Intern	398 (79.6)	U = 18472.500	
Lecturer	102 (20.4)		
Restorative material used		Chi-square	0.602***
Amalgam	1 (0.2)	$\chi^2 = 2.742$	
Composite	497 (99.4)		
Glass ionomer	2 (0.4)		

* Kruskal–Wallis test (χ^2),

** Mann–Whitney U test (U)

*** Chi-square test (χ^2): test statistics. Bold numbers indicate statistical significance, $p < 0.05$. Different lowercase superscripts show a statistically significant difference between the columns and variable groups

Table 7). Furthermore, no statistically significant relationship was observed between the dental anxiety levels (MDAS) of patients and GI scores (Chi-square test, $p > 0.05$; Table 8).

Discussion

In the present study, MDAS was used to assess anxiety levels because it is a concise, easy-to-use, and accepted scale [18]. Dou et al. found that the mean for the MDAS

Table 7 Test statistics on the relationship between dental anxiety level and decayed, missing, or filled teeth (DMFT) and decayed, missing or filled surfaces (DMFS) indices of the study participants ($N = 500$)

	Spearman Correlation Coefficient	p
Decayed teeth	0.027	0.546
Missing teeth	-0.082	0.067
Filled teeth	0.033	0.463
DMFT Value	-0.055	0.219
Decayed tooth surface	0.035	0.431
Missing tooth surface	-0.074	0.097
Filled teeth surface	0.049	0.277
DMFS Value	-0.061	0.174

Spearman correlation test, $p > 0.05$ **Table 8** Test statistics ($N = 500$) of the relationship between dental anxiety level and periodontal status according to gingival index (Löe, 1967) ($N = 500$)

Score	N (%)	Statistical Test	p^{***}
0 (no inflammation)	8 (1.6)	Chi-square $\chi^2 = 3.516$	0.742
1 (mild inflammation)	274 (54.8)		
2 (moderate inflammation)	209 (41.8)		
3 (severe inflammation)	9 (1.8)		

*** Chi-square test (χ^2): test statistics, $p > 0.05$

score is 14.17 higher than our scores [25]. A study in South India that included 1,148 adults has reported that the mean dental anxiety level is 10.4, which was consistent with our findings [26]. The median value of MDAS is 9.00, indicating that most participants in the current study exhibited less anxiety [25].

In the literature, traumatic dental experiences, personal characteristics, gender, age and education levels may affect the dental anxiety level of patients [26–30]. Compared with the current study, a few studies in the literature have shown an opposite association between age and dental anxiety levels [27, 31, 32]. A study has shown that the anxiety level of patients in the age group of 20–39 years was higher than in younger and older groups, which was in accordance with our findings [33]. In the current study, although no statistical difference was found between all age groups in terms of dental anxiety level, the median value of MDAS of patients in the age group of 20–34 years was higher than the median value of MDAS in the age group of 50–64 years. This result may be because over time and with age, enhanced exposures have allowed the patients to indulge in the treatment and have less anxiety.

Gender differences can be a determining factor in dental anxiety levels [34–36]. Previous studies have reported that during dental treatment, women experience more anxiety than men, which was in accordance with the current study [3, 34–38]. Although no statistical difference according to gender was found, the level of anxiety in women is higher than in men in one study [39]. Notably, the difference in dental anxiety between the sexes may be due to structural and functional alterations in the brain and hormonal changes. Furthermore, this difference may depend on the higher stress levels and phobias of women compared with men in the present study [37].

A few studies have examined the relationship between income levels and anxiety levels [14, 38]. Tunç et al. found no significant relationship between MDAS scores and income levels, which was in contrast to our findings [14]. Melchior et al. found that individuals in low-income families are highly prone to anxiety and depression, which was in accordance with our findings [40]. This study found a statistically significant relationship between anxiety levels and monthly income. Furthermore, in this study, those with a regular monthly income had lower anxiety scores than those without a regular monthly income.

High levels of dental anxiety can be considered the primary reason for tooth loss, treatment cancellation or missed dental appointments [41]. In support of our findings, previous studies have reported that patients with anxiety about visiting the dentist tend to postpone their treatment unless during an emergency [41–44]. Furthermore, people with dental anxiety have irregular visits to dentists [3, 25, 45]. Restorative treatments or tooth loss management may be considered with regular dental attendees; however, patients with dental anxiety may perceive that dentists only merely offer symptomatic care for their declining oral health rather than a comprehensive treatment [3]. Haggling et al. reported that individuals with high anxiety have fewer teeth than those with lower anxiety, which supported our results [46]. The anxiety rate of patients with 1–9 teeth was higher than that of the patients with more than 20 teeth in the current study. Unfortunately, this may be due to delayed dental visits, and the existing teeth often become incurable or untreatable anymore [47].

Previous studies, as well as the current study, have reported a significant relationship between dental anxiety and pain [25, 41]. Dou et al. reported that during the most recent dental examination, patients who felt a high rate of pain tended to have high anxiety [25]. Patients who desire the administration of anaesthesia during treatment have signs of dental fear or anxiety [41]. In our study, similar to the findings of the previous study, patients who experienced pain during filling had higher anxiety rates than patients without pain. Furthermore,

the dental anxiety rate of individuals who received local anaesthesia during treatment was higher than that of those without any anaesthesia. This was considered to provide effective treatment in dentally anxious patients; particularly in dentally phobic individuals, more effective local anaesthesia and anti-anxiety strategies should be developed in the future for dental treatment.

In the literature, several studies have compared dental anxiety levels with the DMFT index [48, 49]. A study has found a significant and inversely proportional relationship between the number of filled teeth and anxiety, which was in contrast to our findings. Zinke et al. found a significant relationship between dental anxiety levels and the DMFS index. In addition, the rate of hard tissue destruction due to caries is higher in individuals with high dental anxiety than in individuals with low dental anxiety levels [50]. Folayan et al. also showed that the number of decayed teeth in patients with high anxiety levels is higher than in those with low anxiety levels [51]. Some studies have reported no significant relationship between dental anxiety and the DMFT index, which was consistent with our results [36, 48, 49, 52]. A previous study has reported no statistically significant relationship between the number of teeth extracted (MT) and the number of filled teeth (FT) and dental anxiety, which was in accordance with our results [36]. The present study found no significant relationship between MDAS and DMFT and DMFS scores, which are the indices associated with dental caries prevalence employed in previous studies.

No correlation exists between the anxiety level of patients and periodontal parameters in several studies [36, 53, 54]. Similarly, no relationship was found between MDAS and GI, which is a periodontal parameter in the present study. The fact that the research group consisted of individuals who applied to the Faculty of Dentistry for treatment can be considered a limitation of the present study. A small sample group in the study cannot be entirely representative of the community regarding dental anxiety levels. In addition, periodontal evaluation performed with only the gingival index and not using the plaque index can be considered another limitation of the study. The gingival health level of individuals could not be completely revealed with a single periodontal parameter in the study.

Conclusion

The findings of the study indicated that dental anxiety negatively affected the dental visiting habits of patients. In this cross-sectional study, patients with dental anxiety had more missing teeth and more frequently postponed their dental appointments. Further studies on the relationship between dental anxiety and oral health are necessary to ensure the benefit of dental services and to create an effective treatment for persons with dental anxiety.

Abbreviations

MDAS	Modified dental anxiety scale
DMFT	Decayed, missed, filled teeth
DT	Decayed Teeth
MT	Missed teeth
FT	Filled teeth
DMFS	Decayed, missed, filled teeth surface
DS	Decayed teeth surface
MS	Missed filled surface
FS	Filled teeth surface
GI	Gingival index
WHO	World Health Organization

Acknowledgments

The authors of the current study thank the participants for their cooperation.

Authors' contributions

ZS and GK wrote the main manuscript text. ZS and GK prepared figures. All authors reviewed the manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used for the current study can be made available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval and consent to participate in the study protocol were approved by the ethics committee of Suleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine on May 5th, 2021 (*SDU.05.05.2021-11/193*). It was performed according to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, revised in 2013. Written informed consent was acquired from each patient before the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 8 March 2023 Accepted: 13 May 2023

Published online: 25 May 2023

References

1. Strom K, Skaare BA, Willumsen T. Dental anxiety in 18-year-old Norwegians in 1996 and 2016. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*. 2020;78(1):13–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016357.2019.1637933>.
2. Bhola R, Malhotra R. Dental procedures, oral practices, and associated anxiety: A study on late-teenagers. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect*. 2014;5(4):219–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrp.2014.06.007>.
3. Guentsch A, Stier C, Raschke GF, Peisker A, Fahmy MD, Kuepper H, et al. Oral health and dental anxiety in a German practice-based sample. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2017;21(5):1675–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-016-1951-8>.
4. Meng X, Heft MW, Bradley MM, Lang PJ. Effect of fear on dental utilization behaviors and oral health outcome. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2007;35(4):292–301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2007.00315.x>.
5. Maggiriás J, Locker D. Psychological factors and perceptions of pain associated with dental treatment. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2002;30(2):151–9. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0528.2002.300209.x>.
6. Humphris G, King K. The prevalence of dental anxiety across previous distressing experiences. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2011;25(2):232–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2010.09.007>.

7. Abrahamsson KH, Berggren U, Hallberg L, Carlsson SG. Dental phobic patients' view of dental anxiety and experiences in dental care: A qualitative study. *Scand J Caring Sci.* 2002;16(2):188–96. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-6712.2002.00083.x>.
8. Shin WK, Braun TM, Inglehart MR. Parents' dental anxiety and oral health literacy: Effects on parents and children's oral health-related experiences. *J Public Health Dent.* 2014;74(3):195–201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jphd.12046>.
9. Malvania EA, Ajithkrishnan CG. Prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of dental anxiety among a group of adult patients attending a dental institution in Vadodara City, Gujarat India. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2011;22:179–80. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9290.79989>.
10. Assunção CM, Losso EM, Andreatini R, de Menezes JV. The relationship between dental anxiety in children, adolescents and their parents at dental environment. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* 2013;31:175–9. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-4388.117977>.
11. Khraisat H, AL-Olaimat A. Dental anxiety and its possible effects on caries prevalence among a group of Jordanian adults. *J Res Med Sci.* 2013;20:26–31.
12. Saheer A, Majid AS, Raajendran J, Chithra P, Chandran T, Mathew AR. Effect of dental anxiety on oral health among the first-time dental visitors: A hospital-based study. 2022; 14(Suppl 1):394–398. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_632_21
13. Berberoğlu B, Koç N, Boyacıoğlu H, Akçiçek G, İriağaç Ş, Doğan BÖ, Özgüven A, Zengin YH, Dural S, Avcu N. Assessment of dental anxiety levels among dental emergency patients during the COVID-19 pandemic through the Modified Dental Anxiety Scale. *Dent Med Probl.* 2021;58(4):425–32. <https://doi.org/10.17219/dmp/139042>.
14. Tunç EP, Firat D, Onur OD. Reliability and validity of the modified dental anxiety scale (MDAS) in a Turkish population. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2005;3(5):357–62. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2005.00229.x>.
15. Yakar B, Kaygusuz ÖT, Pirinççi E. Evaluation of Dental Anxiety and Fear in Patients who Admitted to the Faculty of Dentistry: Which Patients are More Risky in terms of Dental Anxiety. *Ethiopi J Health Sci.* 2019;29(6):719–26. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v29i6.8>.
16. Drachev NS, Brenn T, Trovik AT. Prevalence of and factors associated with dental anxiety among medical and dental students of the Northern State Medical University, Arkhangelsk, North-West Russia. *Int J Circumpolar Health.* 2018;77(1):1454786. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2018.1454786>.
17. Armfield MJ. What goes around comes around: Revisiting the hypothesized vicious cycle of dental fear and avoidance. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2013;41(3):279–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12005>.
18. Boman UW, Wennstrom A, Stenman U, Hakeberg M. Oral health-related quality of life, sense of coherence and dental anxiety: An epidemiological cross-sectional study of middle-aged women. *BMC Oral Health.* 2012;18(12):14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-12-14>.
19. Mehrstedt M, John MT, Tonnie S, Michaelis W. Oral health-related quality of life in patients with dental anxiety. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2007;35(5):357–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2007.00376.x>.
20. Armfield JM, Slade GD, Spencer AJ. Dental fear and adult oral health in Australia. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2009;37(3):220–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2009.00468.x>.
21. Agdal ML, Raadal M, Skaret E, Kvale G. Oral health and oral treatment needs in patients fulfilling the DSM-IV criteria for dental phobia: Possible influence on the outcome of cognitive behavioral therapy. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2008;66(1):1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016350701793714>.
22. World Health Organization. Oral health surveys: Basic methods. 4th ed. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 1997.
23. Löe H. The gingival index, the plaque index and the retention index systems. *J Periodontol.* 1967;38(6):Suppl:610–6. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1967.38.6.610>.
24. Corah NL. Development of a dental anxiety scale. *J Dent Res.* 1969;48(4):596. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345690480041801>.
25. Dou L, Vanschaayk MM, Zhang Y, Fu X, Ji P, Yang D. The prevalence of dental anxiety and its association with pain and other variables among adult patients with irreversible pulpitis. *BMC Oral Health.* 2018;18(1):101. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0563-x>.
26. Locker D, Thomson WM, Poulton R. Psychological disorder, conditioning experiences, and the onset of dental anxiety in early adulthood. *J Dent Res.* 2001;80(6):1588–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345010800062201>.
27. Thomson WM, Locker D, Poulton R. Incidence of dental anxiety in young adults in relation to the dental treatment experience. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2000;28(4):289–94. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0528.2000.280407.x>.
28. Mutluay M, Mutluay TA. Caries prevalence, oral health practices/behaviours and dental anxiety levels amongst dental hygiene students: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Dent Hyg.* 2022;20(2):262–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/idh.12576>.
29. Humphris GM, Dyer TA, Robinson PG. The modified dental anxiety scale: UK general public population norms in 2008 with further psychometrics and effects of age. *BMC Oral Health.* 2009;9:20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-9-20>.
30. Erten H, Akarslan ZZ, Bodrumlu E. Dental fear and anxiety levels of patients attending a dental clinic. *Quintessence Int.* 2006;37(4):304–10.
31. Milgrom P, Fiset L, Melnick S, Weinstein P, et al. The prevalence and practice management consequences of dental fear in a major US city. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1988;116(6):641–7. <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1988.0030>.
32. Caltabiano ML, Croker F, Page L, Sklavos A, Spiteri J, Hanrahan L, et al. Dental anxiety in patients attending a student dental clinic. *BMC Oral Health.* 2018;18(1):48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0507-5>.
33. Ragnarsson E. Dental fear and anxiety in an adult Icelandic population. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 1998;56(2):100–4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016359850136067>.
34. Ter Host G, Wit AC. Review of behavioral research in 1987–1992: Dental anxiety, dentist patient relationship compliance and dental attendance. *Int Dent J.* 1993;43(3 Suppl 1):265–78.
35. Altemus M, Sarvaiya N, Neill Epperson C. Sex differences in anxiety and depression clinical perspectives. *Front Neuroendocrinol.* 2014;35(3):320–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2014.05.004>.
36. Veeraboina N, Doshi D, Kulkarni S, Kumar SP, Narayana SD, Sripatha A. Association of state and trait anxiety with oral health status among adult dental patients. *Acta Biomed.* 2020;91(3):2020070. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v91i3.8986>.
37. Özlek E, Yıldırım A, Koc A, Boysan M. Socio-demographic determinants of dental anxiety and fear among college students. *Eastern J Med.* 2019;24(2):169–75. <https://doi.org/10.5505/ejm.2019.50570>.
38. Jalnapurkar I, Allen M, Pigott T. Sex differences in anxiety disorders: A review. *J Psychiatry Depress Anxiety.* 2018;4(12):3–16. <https://doi.org/10.24966/PDA-0150/100011>.
39. Yüzügüllü B, Gülşahi A, Celik C, Bulut S. Dental anxiety and fear: Relationship with oral health behavior in a Turkish population. *Int J Prosthodont.* 2014;27(1):50–3. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ijp.3708>.
40. Melchior M, Chastang JF, Walburg V, Arseneault L, Galéra C, Fombonne E. Family income and youths' symptoms of depression and anxiety: A longitudinal study of the French Gazel Youth Cohort. *Depress Anxiety.* 2010;27(12):1095–103. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20761>.
41. Chanpong B, Haas DA, Locker D. Need and demand for sedation or general anesthesia in dentistry: A national survey of the Canadian population. *Anesth Prog.* 2005;52(1):3–11. [https://doi.org/10.2344/0003-3006\(2005\)52\[3:NADFSO\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.2344/0003-3006(2005)52[3:NADFSO]2.0.CO;2).
42. Pohjola V, Lahti S, Vehkalahti MM, Tolvanen M, Hausen H. Association between dental fear and dental attendance among adults in Finland. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2007;65(4):224–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016350701373558>.
43. Armfield JM. The extent and nature of dental fear and phobia in Australia. *Aust Dent J.* 2010;55(4):368–77. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2010.01256.x>.
44. Armfield JM, Slade GD, Spencer AJ. Cognitive vulnerability and dental fear. *BMC Oral Health.* 2008;24(8):2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-8-2>.
45. Sohn W, Ismail A. Regular dental visits and dental anxiety in an adult dentate population. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2005;136(1):58–66. <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2005.0027>. (quiz 90-1).
46. Hagglin C, Hakeberg M, Ahlqwist M, Sullivan M, Berggren U. Factors associated with dental anxiety and dental attendance in middle aged and elderly women. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2000;28(6):451–60. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0528.2000.028006451.x>.

47. Armfield JM, Stewart JF, Spencer AJ. The vicious cycle of dental fear: Exploring the interplay between oral health, service utilization and dental fear. *BMC Oral Health*. 2007;14(7):1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-7-1>.
48. Taani DQ, El-Qaderi SS, Abu Alhaja ES. Dental anxiety in children and its relationship to dental caries and gingival condition. *Int J Dent Hyg*. 2005;3(2):83–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5037.2005.00127.x>.
49. Eitner S, Wichmann M, Paulsen A, Holst S. Dental anxiety-an epidemiological study on its clinical correlation and effects on oral health. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2006;33(8):588–93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2005.01589.x>.
50. Zinke A, Hannig C, Berth H. Comparing oral health in patients with different levels of dental anxiety. *BMC*. 2018;14(25):1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13005-018-0182-4>. (*Head Face Med*. 2018 Nov 20;14(1):25).
51. Folayan OM, Kolawole AK, Onyejaka KN, Agbaje OH. General anxiety, dental anxiety, digit sucking, caries and oral hygiene status of children resident in a semi-urban population in Nigeria. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18(1):66. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0529-z>.
52. Schuller AA, Willumsen T, Holst A. Are there differences in oral health and oral health behavior between individuals with high and low dental fear? *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2003;31(2):116–21. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0528.2003.00026.x>.
53. Won SY, Shim SY, An YS. The relationship between subjective oral health and dental fear in Korean adolescents. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med*. 2017;17(4):289–95. <https://doi.org/10.17245/jdapm.2017.17.4.289>.
54. Singh A, Shrestha A, Bhagat T. Pain perception and dental anxiety during periodontal probing in patients visiting community oral health program: A cross sectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. 2021;21(1):82. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01437-y>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

