## RESEARCH

## Virtual reality and behaviour management in paediatric dentistry: a systematic review

Diana Xavier de Barros Padilha<sup>1</sup>, Nélio Jorge Veiga<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna Carolina Volpi Mello-Moura<sup>1,2</sup> and Patrícia Nunes Correia<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## Abstract

Background Virtual reality (VR) has emerged as an innovative tool in medicine and dentistry, improving anxiety and pain management in children. The immersive and interactive environments of VR technology facilitate positive engagement of young patients during dental procedures via distraction, potentially reducing anxiety levels and improving treatment experience. The aim of this review was to provide current evidence-based guidance on the usage of VR in the clinical practice of paediatric dentistry.

**Methods** A systematic review was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines with the following research guestion using the PICO format: Does VR (I) effectively manage anxiety and pain (O) during a paediatric dental consultation (P) compared to alternative behavioural control techniques (C)? PubMed/Medline®, SCOPUS and Web of Science databases were searched and analysed.

Results A total of 22 randomised control trials were included in this review. These studies have shown that VR is a highly effective method of behaviour management, successfully alleviating pain and anxiety in children during dental treatment, surpassing traditional tools. Selected studies included participants with a large age range and dental procedures varied greatly, from first consultations to infiltration of local anaesthetic and other invasive procedures. VR was mostly used during treatment delivery and different immersive VR techniques were considered. Behaviour, anxiety and pain scales were used to determine efficacy and patient satisfaction.

Conclusions VR offers an engaging and immersive experience, effectively diverting patients' attention away from the clinical environment, fostering a positive and enjoyable treatment experience. However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of existing studies and the need for further research to enhance the understanding of VR's full potential in paediatric dentistry.

Keywords Virtual reality, Paediatric dentistry, Distraction, Dental anxiety, Pain, Behaviour management

\*Correspondence:

Patrícia Nunes Correia

pcorreia@ucp.pt

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universidade Católica Portuguesa, 3504-505 Viseu, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Health (CIIS), Universidade Católica Portuguesa, 3504-505 Viseu, Portugal

## Background

Fear and apprehension regarding dental treatment are the most significant factors negatively impacting the daily clinical work of paediatric dentists [1]. Dental appointments can trigger anxiety and pain, leading to treatment avoidance or refusal which can worsen the patient's overall health condition. Factors contributing to dental fear and anxiety, as noted by Dahlander et al. 2019, include parental previous negative experiences, lack of

# 

© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



information about the treatment, type of treatment, and the dental environment itself [2].

For anxious children, distraction can be an effective method of diverting the patient's attention from procedures that are considered unpleasant [3]. A variety of distraction techniques are employed to mitigate anxiety and enhance the dental experience for young patients [4]. Audiovisual distractions, such as tablet devices and smartphones, play a crucial role in engaging children and diverting attention in their daily lives [5, 6], and are widely accepted by children and parents during medical procedures [7].

With the rapid advancement of technology, audiovisual glasses emerged as a significant development in distraction techniques. These glasses allowed videos to be displayed in a two-dimensional format (2D), providing an enhanced visual experience for paediatric patients. These progressed to virtual reality glasses which unlike the 2D glasses enable the display of interactive content in a three-dimensional format (3D) [8]. This advancement immerses patients in a more realistic and engaging virtual environment, offering a heightened sense of presence and interactivity during dental procedures [9, 10].

Virtual reality creates an artificial environment that mimics the real world, allowing users to experience an alternate world [11, 12]. The virtual experience provides multi-sensory information through synchronization between the head-mounted display helmet (provides an image with a sense of space and depth), motion sensors, headphones and joysticks, for a fully immersive simulation [13].

During the past two decades, virtual reality technologies have been used for entertainment [14], education [15], training [16], research [17] and much more. Virtual reality technology is becoming increasingly accessible and powerful, and the potential uses are virtually limitless [18, 19].

In the medical field, as an effective and efficient tool to prevent emotional disorders such as anxiety [20] and physical impairments in rehabilitation processes [21], and lately as a method of pain reduction [22, 23]. Like doctors, nurses and dentists in training, allowing them to experience real medical situations before treating real patients [24, 25].

In dentistry, although not yet widespread, VR has proven to be a beneficial tool for clinical practice in several specialties [26]. From student training [25, 27] to predicting surgical complications [28], doctors can use virtual reality technology to show their patients the expected results before undergoing the procedure [29].

In paediatrics, VR can be effective for oral hygiene education and maintenance, reduction of anxiety and pain [30, 31]. Also, using virtual reality devices during consultation/ treatment visits allow patients to virtually experience the entire scenario before the commencement of the actual procedure. Thus, enabling a better understanding of the treatment and allowing fears to be confronted in a safe and controlled environment [32, 33].

In recent years, virtual reality has gained popularity in clinical research studies as an innovative technique for modulating paediatric behaviour [19, 27, 34]. According to McCaul et al. 1992, the perception and attention to pain play crucial roles in pain experience. VR does not directly impact the pathophysiological mechanisms of pain but rather focuses on modifying patients' perception and attention to pain [35].

While VR in dentistry is not yet widely adopted, it has demonstrated considerable benefits across various specialties [26]. This systematic review aims to explore the current available evidence on the use of VR for controlling pain and anxiety in children during dental consultations.

## Methodology

This systematic review adhered to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [36], and the research question was formulated using the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) format. The objective of this review was to investigate the effectiveness of virtual reality (VR) in controlling anxiety and pain during dental appointments in the paediatric population (P), in comparison to other behavioural control techniques (C).

The review protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) with the registration number CRD4202340967. A comprehensive literature search was conducted in January 2023, using the PubMed/Medline<sup>®</sup>, SCOPUS and Web of Science databases. The search results were exported to the Parsifal bibliography manager software, where duplicates were removed and articles were selected based on the defined objective and criteria (Table 1).

The search strategy employed a combination of subject heading terms, keywords and text words, utilizing Boolean operators such as 'OR' and 'AND' (Tables 2 and 3).

Two independent researchers (DP and PC) performed the search and screening procedure for this systematic review, following the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. In the event of any disagreement between the researchers, a third researcher (AM) was consulted to resolve it.

To assess agreement and reliability between researchers, Cohen's Kappa coefficient was employed. The coefficient ranges from -1 to 1, with values closer to 1

## Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Studies designed as randomized clinical trials (RCTs), experimental and case–control study designs with a full-text report	Systematic/narrative reviews and meta-analyses, case reports, case series
Full text articles from 2003–2023	Studies without a full-text report
Children under 18, female or male	Patients with any visual and/or hearing impairment
Use of virtual reality interventions to help ease anxiety and pain during dental procedures	Use of 2D devices

## Table 2 Search strategy in PubMed

Concepts	PUBMED
#1	"VR"[All Fields] OR "virtual reality"[All Fields] OR "augmented reality"[All Fields] OR "AR"[All Fields] OR "mixed reality"[All Fields] OR "Audio- visual distraction"[All Fields] OR "audiovisual"[All Fields] OR "Audiovisual Aids"[All Fields] OR "headset*"[All Fields] OR "vr headset*"[All Fields] OR "virtual reality headset"[All Fields] OR "AR headset"[All Fields] OR "augmented reality headset"[All Fields] OR "Artificial intelligence"[All Fields] OR "VR goggles"[All Fields] OR "virtual reality goggles"[All Fields] OR "AR goggles"[All Fields] OR "augmented reality goggles"[All Fields] OR "Virtual Reality Exposure Therapy"[All Fields] OR "VR Exposure Therapy"[All Fields] OR "Augmented Reality Exposure Therapy"[All Fields] OR "Virtual Reality Exposure Therapy"[MeSH Terms] OR "Audiovisual Aids"[MeSH Terms] OR "augmented reality"[MeSH Terms]
#2	"child, preschool"[MeSH Terms] OR "preschool child"[All Fields] OR "paediatric population"[All Fields] OR "paediatric patient*"[All Fields] OR "child"[MeSH Terms] OR "child*"[All Fields] OR "adolescent"[MeSH Terms] OR "adolescen*"[All Fields] OR "pre schooler*"[All Fields] OR "youth"[All Fields] OR "teenager*"[All Fields] OR "teen*"[All Fields] OR "preteen*"[All Fields] OR "pre teen*"[All Fields] OR "pediatrics"[MeSH Terms] OR "paediatric*"[All Fields] OR "Autistic Disorder"[MeSH Terms] OR "Autism"[All Fields] OR "Down Syndrome"[MeSH Terms] OR "Down Syndrome"[All Fields]
#3	"Pain"[All Fields] OR "Pain Management"[All Fields] OR "dental pain"[All Fields] OR "Pain Perception"[All Fields] OR "Anxiety"[All Fields] OR "Dental anxiety"[All Fields] OR "anticipatory anxiety"[All Fields] OR "fear"[All Fields] OR "stress"[All Fields] OR "Dental anxiety"[MeSH Terms] OR "Pain Management"[MeSH Terms] OR "Pain"[MeSH Terms] OR "Pain Perception"[MeSH Terms]
#4	"dental care"[All Fields] OR "dental procedure*"[All Fields] OR "dental operation*"[All Fields] OR "dental appointment*"[All Fields] OR "dental treatment*"[All Fields] OR "dental operations] OR "dental care"[MeSH Terms] OR "dental care"[MeSH Terms]
#5	#1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4

## Table 3 Search strategy in Scopus and Web of Science

## Concepts SCOPUS

- #1 "VR" OR "virtual reality" OR "augmented reality" OR "AR" OR "mixed reality" OR "Audiovisual distraction" OR "audiovisual" OR "Audiovisual Aids" OR "headset\*" OR "vr headset\*" OR "virtual reality headset" OR "AR headset" OR "augmented reality headset" OR "Artificial intelligence" OR "VR goggles" OR "virtual reality goggles" OR "AR goggles" OR "Vr headset" OR "VR goggles" OR "virtual reality goggles" OR "AR goggles" OR "VR goggles" OR "Vr headset" OR "Artificial intelligence" OR "VR goggles" OR "virtual reality goggles" OR "AR goggles" OR "augmented reality goggles" OR "Vr headset" OR "VR goggles" OR "Vr headset" OR "Artificial reality goggles" OR "Artificial reality goggles" OR "VR goggles" OR "Augmented Reality Exposure Therapy" OR "VR Exposure Therapy" OR "Augmented Reality Exposure Therapy"
- **#2** "preschool child" OR "paediatric population" OR "paediatric patient\*" OR "child\*" OR "adolescen\*" OR "pre schooler\*" OR "youth" OR "teenager\*" OR "teen\*" OR "preteen\*" OR "pre teen\*" OR "paediatric\*" OR "Autistic Disorder" OR "Autism" OR "Down Syndrome"
- **#3** "Pain" OR "Pain Management" OR "dental pain" OR "Pain Perception" OR "Anxiety" OR "Dental anxiety" OR "anticipatory anxiety" OR "fear" OR "stress"
- #4 "dental care" OR "dental procedure\*" OR "dental operation\*" OR "dental appointment\*" OR "dental treatment\*" OR "dental hospital\*"

**#5** #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4

## Concepts WEB OF SCIENCE

- #1 (VR) OR (virtual reality) OR (augmented reality) OR (AR) OR (mixed reality) OR (Audiovisual distraction) OR (audiovisual) OR (Audiovisual Aids) OR (headset\*) OR (vr headset\*) OR (virtual reality headset) OR (AR headset) OR (augmented reality headset) OR (Artificial intelligence) OR (VR goggles) OR (virtual reality goggles) OR (AR goggles) OR (augmented reality goggles) OR (Vr goggles) OR (virtual reality Exposure Therapy) OR (VR Exposure Therapy) OR (Augmented Reality Exposure Therapy)
- **#2** (preschool child) OR (paediatric population) OR (paediatric patient\*) OR (child\*) OR (adolescen\*) OR (pre schooler\*) OR (youth) OR (teenager\*) OR (teen\*) OR (preteen\*) OR (pre teen\*) OR (paediatric\*) OR (Autistic Disorder) OR (Autism) OR (Down Syndrome)
- **#3** (Pain) OR (Pain Management) OR (dental pain) OR (Pain Perception) OR (Anxiety) OR (Dental anxiety) OR (anticipatory anxiety) OR (fear) OR (stress)
- #4 (dental care) OR (dental procedure\*) OR (dental operation\*) OR (dental appointment\*) OR (dental treatment\*) OR (dent\*) OR (dental hospital\*)
- **#5** #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4

indicating higher agreement between reviewers and values closer to -1 indicating greater disagreement.

Data extracted included author, year, study design, sample size, age, dental procedure, intervention used, timing of intervention, control/comparison groups, outcomes and outcome measures.

The quality assessment of the included studies was conducted using the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS), (Additional file 1: Appendix 1) [37].

## Results

## Study selection

The search queries yielded a total of 525 abstracts from three different databases. After removing 79 duplicate articles, 446 unique abstracts remained. Upon reviewing the titles and abstracts, 392 records were deemed irrelevant and excluded. Subsequently, 54 articles were selected for full-text analysis. Among these, 32 articles were excluded as they utilized audiovisual glasses without 3D immersion. Ultimately, 22 articles were considered suitable for inclusion in this systematic review (Fig. 1).

As stated in Fig. 1, inter-rater agreement, was determined and a kappa value of 1 was obtained during the selection process, indicating an excellent agreement.

## **Study characteristics**

This analysis included 22 studies conducted in various countries, including Turkey, Iran, India, China, Jordan, Spain, Syria, Italy and Indonesia. All of these studies were randomised control trials [38-59]. Tables 4, 5 and 6 offer a comprehensive overview of the studies characteristics: authors, publication date, country, study type, participants number and ages and study outcomes. The VR equipment utilized in the studies was also included. Out of the 22 studies, two (9%) investigated the use of the Oculus Go device, which is a standalone virtual reality headset [41, 58]. Additionally, four studies (18%) selected a VR Box device and two chose a HTC device, an all-in-one headset [38, 42, 45, 55, 57, 59]. In four studies, the VR device utilized was not specified [39, 52, 53, 56]. The remaining studies, as shown in Tables 4, 5 and 6, employed various other devices that were not replicated in other research. Overall, these devices demonstrated high resolution, light weight and compact size.

For each study, the risk of bias was assessed with the NOS scale (Additional file 1: Appendix 2). Two studies had a very low risk of bias [50, 55], thirteen studies had a moderate risk of bias [38–42, 47, 49, 51, 52, 55–58] and seven had a high risk of bias [43–46, 48, 53, 54].



Fig. 1 PRISMA search strategy

## Table 4 Summary of results (A1-A8)

Study ID	Authors Year Country	Study Design	Participants Number Age	Results	Dependent Variables	Control Groups	VR Equipment	VR Content
<b>A1</b> [38]	Ozukoc et al. [38] 2020 Turkey	RCT	23 10–12 years	VR had best result across all MIH severity levels	CPMAS	Vs control	Preo VR Box	Video games (InCell VR Card- board Android 1.4.3)
<b>A2</b> [39]	Baniebrahimi et al. [39] 2022 Iran	RCT	42 5–8 years	Anxiety sig- nificantly lower in the VR	FBRS, MCDAS, FIS	Vs game apps	Not specified	Not specified
<b>A3</b> [40]	Shetty et al. [40] 2019 India	RCT	120 58 years	Pain and anxiety significant reduc- tion in VR group	SCARED, MCDAS, Salivary cortisol levels, WBFS	Vs control	i-glasses 920HR, Ilixco Inc	Child's favorite cartoon show
<b>A4</b> [41]	Kumari et al. [41] 2021 India	RCT	200 6–12-years	Immersive group had best results	FBRS, MCDAS, WBFS, VAS	Vs Non-immer- sive VR	Oculus Go Standalone	Immersive VR (videogame) Non-immersive VR (cartoon movies)
<b>A5</b> [42]	Ran et al. [42] 2021 China	RCT	120 4–8 years	VR significantly reduced the anxi- ety and pain	FBRS, WBFS <b>,</b> CFSS-DS	Vs control	HTC's VIVE	Undersea scenes
<b>A6</b> [43]	Alshatrat et al. [43] 2022 Jordan	RCT	54 5–12 years	VR was found to be an effective distraction	WBFS, FLACC, VAS	Vs control	iWear Vuzix <sup>®</sup>	Videos of their preference
<b>A7</b> [44]	Gomez-Polo et al. [44] 2021 Spain	RCT	80 5–10 years	VR effectively managed anxiety and behavior	FBRS, FIS	Vs control	Zeiss Cinemizer (Carl Zeiss AG)	Cartoons or chil- dren's movies of their preference
<b>A8</b> [45]	Du et al. [45] 2022 China	RCT	128 4–9 years	VR significantly reduced anxiety/ pain perception	Houpt Scale, WBFS, CFSS-DS, SSQ	Vs control	HTC	A magic virtual world of their preference

The studies investigated the effectiveness of different immersive VR techniques, compared to various behavioural control techniques in paediatric dentistry: passive distraction, the tell-show-do technique [38, 40, 42–48, 51, 53–59], including digital screen and audiovisual distraction [39, 41, 49, 50, 52, 56]. The total number of participants involved in the studies was 2,558, with most studies focusing on children aged between 5 and 12 years. However, some studies included children within narrower age ranges, such as 7–9 years or 5–8 years.

The evaluated dental procedures varied across the studies, ranging from the delivery of local anaesthesia, to pulp therapy, tooth extractions and dental restorations. Some studies focused on specific procedures, such as inferior alveolar nerve blocks, while others assessed intervention effectiveness in a variety of dental procedures, or cooperation at the first dental appointment (Table 7).

In this systematic review, different models and brands of VR glasses were observed. Five out of 22 articles included did not specify the VR device used [39, 52, 53, 56, 57], which prevented data comparisons, based on the devices' specifications, such as size, weight, comfort, or safety indications.

## **Results summary**

The majority of the studies compared the usage of VR with an alternative technique, during a dental procedure or initial consultation (peri-operatively), except one [39] where the control group included pre-operative exposure to a dental simulation game.

In this systematic review, the primary outcomes were anxiety and pain management in a paediatric dental consultation. In the selected studies, several scales were used for preliminary behaviour assessment and anxiety and pain evaluation, during the dental appointment. It was observed that anxiety was the most investigated aspect, 16 studies [38-41, 44, 46-51, 53, 55-57, 59], while pain perception was addressed in 12 studies [40-43, 45, 49-52, 54, 55, 58, 59]. Scales for anxiety and pain measurement depend on the child's age and development, hence the variety encountered in the reported studies, as there was a wide age range of participants, from preschoolers to pre-teenagers. The referred anxiety scales were CPMAS, MCDAS, MCDAS(f)-r, FIS, VPT and the described pain scales were WBFS, VAS, FLACC, MBPS (Table 8). Some studies also included objective physiological parameters, such as salivary cortisol [40, 59], pulse oximeter [46, 49, 55] and pulse rate [47, 50, 51, 53, 55–57]. In a small number of studies, other aspects were

## Table 5 Summary of results (A9-A17)

Study ID	Authors Year Country	Study Design	Participants Number Age	Results	Dependent Variables	Control Groups	VR Equipment	VR Content
<b>A9</b> [46]	Aditya et al. [46] 2021 India	RCT	60 6–9 years	VR significantly reduced the anxiety and pain	VPT, Pulse oximeter	vs control vs Fidget spinner vs Kaleidoscope	MI VR Headset	Cartoon episode
<b>A10</b> [47]	Nuvvula et al. [47] 2015 India	RCT	90 7 10 years	3D group had higher levels of satisfaction	FBRS, Houpt Scale, MCDAS, Pulse rate	vs control vs Music	Vuzix Eyewear Wrap 920	Movies
<b>A11</b> [48]	Murali et al. [48] 2021 India	RCT	75 5–8 year	VR had best results	FIS	vs control	Virtual private theater system	Not specified
<b>A12</b> [49]	Felemban et al. [49] 2021 Saudi Arabia	RCT	50 6–12 years	VR helped to overcome dental anxiety	BAS <b>,</b> Pulse oximeter, WBFS, FLACC	vs regular screen	LG 360, LG Electronics	Chosen video
<b>A13</b> [50]	Al-Halabi et al. [ <mark>50]</mark> 2018 Syria	RCT	102 6 -10 years	Tablet had the bests results anxiety and pain	BAS <b>,</b> Pulse rate, WBFS	vs control vs tablet	BlackBug™	Cartoon episode
<b>A14</b> [51]	Buldur et al. [51] 2021 Turkey	RCT	78 7–11 years	VR significantly reduced pain and anxiety	FBRS, Pulse rate, WBFS	vs control	PlayStation 4 VR, Sony Inc	Chosen animated films or cartoons
<b>A15</b> [52]	Sharma et al. [ <mark>52</mark> ] 2021 India	RCT	97 4–8 years	VR effectively managed anxi- ety and behav- iour	FBRS, FLACC	vs control	Not specified	Age appropriate videos accord- ing to subjects' choice
A16 [53]	Khan et al. [53] 2019 India	RCT	100 4–10 year	VR made chil- dren less anx- ious and more cooperative	Pulse rate	vs control	Not specified	Cartoon clips and visual reality films
<b>A17</b> [54]	Atzori et al. [54] 2018 Italy	RCT	5 7–17 years	VR increase fun during dental procedures	0–10 graphic rating scale	vs control	Oculus Rift DK2 and CV1	SnowWorld VR software

also evaluated, such as fear [42, 45] and cybersickness, nausea and fun [54].

Overall, the benefit of VR in controlling anxiety and pain was statistically significant in the included studies, as compared to the corresponding control group, with some exceptions [43, 49, 50, 58]. For instance, VR was comparable to other distraction techniques, such as in Felemban et al. [49], where VR had a similar effect to screen distraction on heart-rate levels and pain during buccal infiltration anaesthesia. In the study by Al-Halabi [50], tablets performed better than VR in relieving anxiety and pain during inferior alveolar nerve block. In two studies, the benefit was not noticed in all the dental procedures, such as in Alshatrat et al. [43], where there was no statistically significant reduction of pain in nonpainful dental procedures and Zaidman et al. [58] where VR decreased pain perception during rubber dam placement, but had limited benefit during local anaesthesia.

Özükoç et al. found that children with MIH-affected teeth who are distracted from dental procedures using

3D VR games experienced less dental anxiety (p < 0.05) [38]. Concerning short time appointments, Shetty et al., Ran et al. and Kaswindiarti et al., showed a significant reduction in pain, anxiety [40, 42, 59], salivary cortisol (p < 0.001) [40, 59] and a shorter treatment time [42]. Regarding delivery of intraoral anaesthesia, MCDAS, VAS and WBFS improved in the immersive VR group [40]. Others presented similar results [39, 45, 47, 50– 52, 54, 57]. High levels of satisfaction from children who experienced treatment with 3D video glasses were observed in the study by Nuvvula et al. [47] and increased fun during dental procedures was reported by the participant children in the study of Atzori et al. [54].

## Discussion

This systematic review focuses on comparing the use of VR with conventional non-pharmacological behavioural management techniques in paediatric dental consultations. The selected articles covered various

## Table 6 Summary of results (A18-A22)

Study ID	Authors Year Country	Study Design	Participants Number Age	Results	Dependent Variables	Control Groups	VR Equipment	VR Content
A18 [55]	Niharika et al. [55] 2018 India	RCT	40 4–8 years	VR significantly reduced the anxiety and pain	WBFS, MCDAS, Pulse rate, oximeter	vs control	Google VR Box and Anti Tank VR 3D Glasses	Cartoon series "Doreman"
<b>A19</b> [56]	Pande et al. [56] 2020 India	RCT	60 5–8 years	VR was most effective in reducing dental fear/ anxiety	Pulse rate, FIS	vs control vs audio vs smart- phone app	Not specified	Patients'favorite cartoon
<b>A20</b> [57]	Greeshma et al. [57] 2021 India	RCT	90 6/8 years	Children were most relaxed in VR group,	FIS, Pulse rate, oximeter	vs control vs audio	Ocular (?) VR Box	3D video with audio (VR roller coaster)
A21 [58]	Zaidman et al. [58] 2022 Israel	RCT	29 4–12 years	VR decreased pain dur- ing rubber dam placement	WBFS, MBPS	vs control	Oculus Go	3 types Two cartoon series and a chil- dren's show, aver- age screening time 30 min
<b>A22</b> [59]	Kaswindiarti et al. [59] 2022 Indonesia	RCT	120 5–8 years	Pain/anxiety decrease signifi- cantly using VR	WBFS, Salivary cortisol levels	vs control	VR Box	cartoon Sponge- Bob SquarePants screentime three- four minutes

## Table 7 Summary of dental procedures

Article	Dental procedures
A1 [38]	Composite restorations
<b>A2</b> [39]	Infiltration of anaesthetic, pulpotomy and/or restoration of primary first molar
<b>A3</b> [40]	Pulpotomy
<b>A4</b> [41]	Inferior alveolar nerve block for various dental procedures
<b>A5</b> [42]	Short-term dental procedure (< 30 min)
<b>A6</b> [43]	Dental procedures not requiring local anaesthesia Painful dental procedures requiring local anaesthesia
<b>A7</b> [44]	Topical and infiltrative anaesthesia
<b>A8</b> [45]	Primary teeth extraction under local anaesthesia
<b>A9</b> [46]	Inferior alveolar nerve block
A10 [47]	Inferior alveolar nerve block for pulp therapies in primary molars
<b>A11</b> [48]	Class I restoration in mandibular primary molars
A12 [49]	Buccal infiltration local anaesthesia
A13 [50]	Inferior alveolar nerve block
A14 [51]	Class I composite resin restoration the mandibular first permanent molar tooth under local anaesthesia
A15 [52]	Nerve block, extraction or pulpal therapy
A16 [53]	Dental examination, acclimatization, oral hygiene information, prophylaxis and composite restoration
A17 [54]	Tooth extraction or dental restorations
A18 [55]	Pulp therapy treatment
A19 [56]	Composite restorations
A20 [57]	Inferior alveolar nerve block for mandibular tooth extraction
A21 [58]	Inferior alveolar nerve block technique; rubber dam placement
A22 [59]	Short invasive dental treatment

## Table 8 Measurement scales and protocols

Article	Measurement scales and protocols
A1 [38]	Anxiety: The Children's Perioperative Multidimensional Anxiety Scale questionnaire (CPMAS)
<b>A2</b> [39]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale (Patient selection) <b>Anxiety:</b> Modified Child Dental Anxiety Scale (MCDAS); Facial Image Scale (FIS)
<b>A3</b> [40]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders <b>(</b> SCARED) <b>Anxiety:</b> Modified Child Dental Anxiety Scale [MCDAS(f)-r] Faces version; Salivary cortisol levels <b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS)
<b>A4</b> [41]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale (Patient selection) <b>Anxiety:</b> Modified Child Dental Anxiety Scale (MCDAS) <b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS); Visual Analog Scale (VAS)
<b>A5</b> [42]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale (Patient selection) <b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS) <b>Fear:</b> Children's Fear Survey Schedule-Dental Subscale (CFSS-DS)
<b>A6</b> [43]	<b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS) Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability' scale (FLACC scale); Visual Analog Scale (VAS)
<b>A7</b> [44]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale (Patient selection) <b>Anxiety:</b> Facial Image Scale (FIS)
<b>A8</b> [45]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Houpt Scale <b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS) <b>Fear:</b> Children's Fear Survey Schedule-Dental Subscale (CFSS-DS) <b>Cybersickness:</b> Simulator sickness questionnaire (SSQ)
<b>A9</b> [46]	Anxiety: Venham picture test (VPT); Pulse oximeter
<b>A10</b> [47]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale (Patient selection); Houpt Scale <b>Anxiety:</b> Modified Child Dental Anxiety Scale [MCDAS(f)-r] Faces version; Pulse rate
<b>A11</b> [48]	Anxiety: Facial Image Scale (FIS)
<b>A12</b> [49]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Behaviour assessment scale <b>Anxiety:</b> Pulse oximeter <b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS); Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability' scale (FLACC scale)
<b>A13</b> [50]	Behaviour: Behaviour assessment scale Anxiety: Pulse rate Pain: Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS)
<b>A14</b> [51]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale <b>Anxiety:</b> Pulse rate <b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS)
<b>A15</b> [52]	<b>Behaviour:</b> Frankl's behaviour rating scale (Patient selection) <b>Pain:</b> Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability' scale (FLACC scale)
A16 [53]	Anxiety: Pulse rate
<b>A17</b> [54]	Pain, quality of the VR experience, nausea and fun: 0–10 graphic rating scale (Italian scale)
<b>A18</b> [55]	<b>Pain:</b> Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS) <b>Anxiety:</b> Modified Child Dental Anxiety Scale [MCDAS(f)-r] Faces version; Pulse oximeter and heart rate
A19 [56]	Anxiety: Pulse rate; Facial Image Scale (FIS)
<b>A20</b> [57]	Anxiety: Facial Image Scale (FIS); Pulse rate and oxygen saturation
A21 [58]	Pain: Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS); Modified Behavioural Pain Scale (MBPS)
<b>A22</b> [59]	Pain: Wong Baker faces pain rating scale (WBFS) Anxiety: Salivary cortisol levels

dental procedures such as dental examination, restorations, pulp treatment and anaesthesia. VR was mostly used perioperatively, i.e. simultaneously to treatment delivery. Behaviour, anxiety and pain scales were used to determine efficacy and patient satisfaction. There is strong evidence of the success of VR as a behaviour management tool, in the paediatric dental setting, which in many instances rates superior to conventional behaviour management techniques.

The studies included in this review examined different behavioural control techniques in paediatric dentistry. Conventional techniques were used as a control group in all studies. Some studies used only VR as a test group, while others using a combination of VR with additional

techniques like audio, digital screens and smartphone games. Interestingly, none of the studies demonstrated that traditional non-screen techniques were more effective than the tested techniques in reducing anxiety and pain perception. This can be attributed to VR's ability to divert patients' attention to a pleasant virtual environment, thereby modifying the patient perception of physical pain. Three studies A12 [49], A13 [50] and A15 [52] compared the use of digital flat panel devices with VR devices as methods of distraction during local anaesthesia administration. One study A15 [52] found VR devices to be more effective in reducing pain perception compared to other groups. However, two other studies A12 [49] and A13 [50] concluded that tablets provided greater relief from anxiety and pain during anaesthesia. It's important to consider variables that influence children's experiences during dental procedures, such as the type of anaesthesia and the technology (tablet or smartphone) they are familiar with.

Three studies conducted in India A10 [47], A19 [56] and A20 [57] compared the use of VR and audio. Results showed that both audio and VR distraction were effective in reducing anxiety, compared to the conventional "Tell-Show-Do" technique. However, VR proved to be more effective in reducing anxiety and pain perception. While music distraction in the dental environment is widely adopted, VR presents itself as a viable alternative. Only one study A19 [56] included smartphone games alongside VR. It suggested that VR and smartphone gaming were the most effective distraction techniques for managing negative behaviour in paediatric dental patients. When comparing the effectiveness of these techniques, VR distraction was found to be more effective than smartphone game distraction. The VR provided simultaneously an immersive and interactive experience which is likely to have contributed to its greater effectiveness.

One study A4 [41] compared the effect of immersive and non-immersive VR on pain perception during intraoral injections. Both distraction methods were effective in reducing pain perception, with immersive VR slightly more effective. However, the study had limitations such as a small sample size and pain assessment immediately after the injection. Further research is needed to assess the impact of VR distraction in different time points and in a larger sample.

While VR glasses can improve patient cooperation, other factors need to be addressed, such as costs, communication issues, dentists' perceptions. Some top range VR appliances are expensive; however, prices have become more accessible. VR can also interfere with communication between the dentist and patient during complex procedures, potentially impairing diagnosis and treatment. Vision blockage and absence of caregivers in the visual field can increase children's anxiety [57]. However, one study A17 [54] reported a positive experience of dentists who used VR, feeling more relaxed and focused on their work. Additionally, communication with patients was not affected, despite the use of headsets. Overall, these issues need to be considered when evaluating the use of VR in dentistry.

The increasing use of VR headsets raises health concerns. Prolonged use can lead to eyestrain, dry eyes, vision problems, migraines, dizziness, motion sickness and risk of photosensitive epilepsy. Responsible use of VR headsets is critical to ensure patients' well-being [58, 59] and informed consent needs to be obtained before the use of any VR device on patients..

The appropriate age for using digital equipment, including smartphones and tablets and VR, has been debated, and requires further studies evaluating its long-term effects across different age groups [5, 60]. Immersive media hardware companies have established safety recommendations, with Sony Interactive Entertainment [61], Oculus [62], PlayStation [63] and Samsung [64] stating that their products are not recommended for children under 12 or 13 years old. LG [65] sets the highest age limit at 15, while HTC [66], examined in study A5 [42], has the lowest limit of 4 years without a "safe mode." All articles in this systematic review used VR glasses in children below the manufacturers' recommendations, except for studies without specified equipment brands.

To mitigate adverse effects, researchers have explored strategies such as oculomotor exercises before using VR glasses which have shown effectiveness in reducing cybersickness and associated symptoms. [67]. Taking breaks during VR use is also recommended to prevent digital eye strain, as recommended by the UK Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy, in 2020 [68]. However, reviewed studies did not include a specific protocol for preventing eye injuries related to VR glasses.

Assessing cybersickness is crucial as it can cause discomfort and symptoms like nausea, dizziness, headache, eyestrain and general discomfort. It significantly impacts the user experience and may limit the effectiveness of VR applications [58]. However, among the selected articles, only one A8 [45] evaluated cybersickness.

The cost of 3D immersion devices varies based on the type of glasses chosen. Cardboard glasses, the most economical option, use the smartphone screen for display [69, 70]. High-end glasses offer better immersion quality, have their own software and hardware, but still utilize the smartphone as a screen [9]. Gaming glasses are the most expensive and required a computer connection. They are primarily sought after by professional players for superior performance but acquiring them for a dental appointment may not be justified [71, 72]. Overall, VR appears

to be a promising behaviour management technique for managing anxiety and reducing pain. However, further studies are needed to compare VR with pharmacological behaviour methods, such as conscious sedation, and to assess its potential for reducing referrals for general anaesthesia. Both VR and pharmacological methods carry a significant financial burden and additional health risks [22, 32].

Video games and digital games served as VR content in some studies [38, 40]. Despite the positive outcomes, patient movement can sometimes interfere with dental examinations or treatments. An emerging application in dentistry is the use of serious games (SGs). These games are increasingly utilized for medical education, training and informative purposes to convey oral health messages [73–75]. In this systematic review, the overall quality of the evidence is good. However, there are certain limitations due to the use of diverse pain and anxiety scales in the included studies which makes direct comparisons difficult. Certain studies included participants with diverse developmental stages, due to the presence of significant age intervals within the sample. Information regarding participants' prior experience with virtual reality (VR) needed to be recorded and participants' track behaviour during dental appointments was not known. Future research should incorporate qualitative studies to explore patient-reported outcomes and investigate the long-term effects of VR on anxiety and pain. Additionally, details regarding device specifications, screen content and screen time were sometimes omitted or incomplete.

## Conclusion

This systematic review has shown that virtual reality technology during dental treatment is an effective tool for reducing anxiety and pain in children when compared to conventional behavioural management techniques. By creating an engaging and immersive experience, VR successfully shifts the patients' focus away from the clinical environment, resulting in a more positive and enjoyable treatment experience. Therefore, it is crucial that dental professionals become familiar with VR as a valuable tool in the management of paediatric patients. Further research is required to determine the sustained benefits of VR and its integration into routine clinical practice.

## Abbreviations

PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-
	Analyses
PICO	Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome
MeSH	Medical subject headings
NOS	Newcastle Ottawa scale;
HMD	Head Mounted Devices
FIS	Facial Image Scale:
MCDAS	Modified Child Dental Anxiety Scale:

SCARED	Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders;
WBFS	Wong-Baker Faces Pain Rating Scale;
VAS	Visual Analog Scale;
FLACC scale	Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability' scale;
VPT	Venham's picture test;
MBPS	Modified Behavioural Pain Scale
SGs	Serious Games

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12903-023-03595-7.

Additional file 1. Modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS): Randomised Control Trial. Risk of bias of included studies.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thankfully acknowledge the InDig—Innovation and digital teaching technologies in Dental Medicine (POCH-02-5312-FSE-000025), jointly funded by the European Social Fund via the Programa Operacional Capital Humano (POCH).

## Authors' contributions

DBP made substantial contributions to the design of the work; data acquisition, analysis and interpretation and has drafted the manuscript. AMM and NV made substantial contributions to the design of the work; interpretation of data and have substantively revised the manuscript. PC made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; data acquisition, analysis and interpretation and has substantively revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

This work is financially supported by National Funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the project UIDB/04279/2020.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

### **Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 12 July 2023 Accepted: 29 October 2023 Published online: 12 December 2023

## References

- Yon MJY, Chen KJ, Gao SS, Duangthip D, Lo ECM, Chu CH. An Introduction to Assessing Dental Fear and Anxiety in Children. Healthcare (Basel). 2020;8(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8020086.
- Dahlander A, Soares F, Grindefjord M, Dahllöf G. Factors Associated with Dental Fear and Anxiety in Children Aged 7 to 9 Years. Dent J. 2019;1;7(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/dj7030068.
- Blumer S, Peretz B, Yukler N, Nissan S. Dental Anxiety, Fear and Anxiety of Performing Dental Treatments among Dental Students during Clinical Studies. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2020;44(6):407–11.
- Liu Y, Gu Z, Wang Y, Wu Q, Chen V, Xu X, et al. Effect of audiovisual distraction on the management of dental anxiety in children: A systematic review. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2019;29:14–21.

- Lauricella AR, Wartella E, Rideout VJ. Young children's screen time: The complex role of parent and child factors. J Appl Dev Psychol. 2015;36:11–7.
- Radesky JS, Weeks HM, Ball R, Schaller A, Yeo S, Durnez J, et al. Young Children's Use of Smartphones and Tablets. Pediatrics. 2020;146(1). https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-3518.
- Shukla H, Kulkarni S, Wasnik MB, Rojekar N, Bhattad D, Kolekar P. Acceptance of Parents for Behavior Management Technique with Reference to Previous Dental Expertise and Dental Anxiety. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2021;14(S2):S193–8.
- Guinot F, Mercadé M, Oprysnyk L, Veloso A, Boj JR. Comparison of active versus passive audiovisual distraction tools on children's behaviour, anxiety and pain in paediatric dentistry: a randomised crossover clinical trial. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2021;22(3):230–6.
- Angelov V, Petkov E, Shipkovenski G, Kalushkov T. Modern Virtual Reality Headsets. 2020 International Congress on Human-Computer Interaction, Optimization and Robotic Applications (HORA); 1-5. https://doi. org/10.1109/HORA49412.2020.9152604.
- Andonova V, Artuo M, Ramírez J, Carrasquilla D. Does multisensory stimulation with virtual reality (VR) and smell improve learning? An educational experience in recall and creativity. Front Psychol. 2023;14:1–12.
- Monterubbianesi R, Tosco V, Vitiello F, Orilisi G, Fraccastoro F, Putignano A, et al. Augmented, Virtual and Mixed Reality in Dentistry: A Narrative Review on the Existing Platforms and Future Challenges. Appl Sci. 2022;12(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/app12020877.
- Xiong J, Hsiang EL, He Z, Zhan T, Wu ST. Augmented reality and virtual reality displays: emerging technologies and future perspectives. Light Sci Appl. 2021;10:216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-021-00658-8.
- Bamodu O, Ye XM. Virtual reality and virtual reality system components. In: Advanced Materials Research. 2013;1169–72. https://doi.org/10. 2991/icsem.2013.192.
- Spence C. Scenting Entertainment: Virtual Reality Storytelling, Theme Park Rides, Gambling, and Video-Gaming. iPerception. 2021;12(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/20416695211034538.
- Alalwan N, Cheng L, Al-Samarraie H, Yousef R, Ibrahim Alzahrani A, Sarsam SM. Challenges and Prospects of Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality Utilization among Primary School Teachers: A Developing Country Perspective. Stud Educ Eval. 2020;1:66.
- Woon APN, Mok WQ, Chieng YJS, Zhang HM, Ramos P, Mustadi HB, et al. Effectiveness of virtual reality training in improving knowledge among nursing students: A systematic review, meta-analysis and metaregression. Nurse Educ Today. 2021;98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt. 2020.104655.
- Bell IH, Nicholas J, Alvarez-Jimenez M, Thompson A, Valmaggia L. Virtual reality as a clinical tool in mental health research and practice. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2020;22(2):169–77.
- Javaid M, Haleem A. Virtual reality applications toward medical field. Clin Epidemiol Glob Health. 2020;8(2):600–5.
- Laghari A, Jumani K, Kumar K, Chhajro MA. Systematic analysis of virtual reality and augmented reality. Int J Inf Eng Electron Bus. 2021;13(1):36–43.
- Boeldt D, McMahon E, McFaul M, Greenleaf W. Using virtual reality exposure therapy to enhance treatment of anxiety disorders: identifying areas of clinical adoption and potential obstacles. Front Psychiatry. 2019;25:10.
- 21. Elor A, Kurniawan S. The ultimate display for physical rehabilitation: a bridging review on immersive virtual reality. Front Virtual Real. 2020;1. https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2020.585993.
- Chuan A, Zhou JJ, Hou RM, Stevens CJ, Bogdanovych A. Virtual reality for acute and chronic pain management in adult patients: a narrative review. Anaesthesia. 2021;76:695–704.
- Spiegel B, Fuller G, Lopez M, Dupuy T, Noah B, Howard A, et al. Virtual reality for management of pain in hospitalized patients: a randomized comparative effectiveness trial. PLoS One. 2019;14(8). https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0219115.
- Plotzky C, Lindwedel U, Sorber M, Loessl B, König P, Kunze C, et al. Virtual reality simulations in nurse education: A systematic mapping review. Nurse Educ Today. 2021;101:104910.
- Hattori A, Tonami K, Tsuruta J, Hideshima M, Kimura Y, Nitta H, et al. Effect of the haptic 3D virtual reality dental training simulator on assessment of tooth preparation. J Dent Sci. 2022;17(1):514–20.

- Haleem A, Javaid M, Khan IH. Virtual reality (VR) applications in dentistry: An innovative technology to embrace. Indian J Dent Res. 2020;31(5):666–7.
- Moussa R, Alghazaly A, Althagafi N, Eshky R, Borzangy S. Effectiveness of Virtual Reality and Interactive Simulators on Dental Education Outcomes: Systematic Review. Eur J Dent. 2022;16(1):14–31.
- Xia J, Ip HHS, Samman N, Wong HTF, Gateno J, Wang D, et al. Threedimensional virtual-reality surgical planning and soft-tissue prediction for orthognathic surgery. IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed. 2001;5(2):97–107.
- Ayoub A, Pulijala Y. The application of virtual reality and augmented reality in Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery. BMC Oral Health. 2019;19(1):245.
- Genaro LE, Marconato JV, Hanai D, Pawloski CLG, Capote TS de O. Virtual Reality in Oral Hygiene Instruction: an Immersive Approach. Odovtos Int J Dent Sci. 2022;24(1):177–87.
- Constantini Leopardi A, Adanero Velasco A, Espí Mayor M, Miegimolle HM. Effectiveness of Virtual Reality Goggles as Distraction for Children in Dental Care—A Narrative Review. Appl Sci (Switzerland). 2023;13(6):2511.
- Eijlers R, Utens EMWJ, Staals LM, De Nijs PFA, Berghmans JM, Wijnen RMH, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Virtual Reality in Pediatrics: Effects on Pain and Anxiety. Anesth Analg. 2019;129(4):1344–53.
- Park JW, Nahm FS, Kim JH, Jeon YT, Ryu JH, Han SH. The Effect of Mirroring Display of Virtual Reality Tour of the Operating Theatre on Preoperative Anxiety: A Randomized Controlled Trial. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2019;23(6):2655–60.
- Barteit S, Lanfermann L, Bärnighausen T, Neuhann F, Beiersmann C. Augmented, mixed, and virtual reality-based head-mounted devices for medical education: systematic review. JMIR Serious Games. 2021;9(3). https://doi.org/10.2196/29080.
- McCaul KD, Monson N, Maki RH. Does Distraction Reduce Pain-Produced Distress Among College Students? Health Psychol. 1992;11(4):210–7.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaf J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg. 2010;8(5):336–41.
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Hosp Res Inst. 2000. http://www.ohri.ca/ programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- Özükoç C. Reducing anxiety in children with molar incisor hypomineralization using virtual reality – A randomized crossover study. C R Acad Bulg Sci. 2020;73(11):1600–8.
- Baniebrahimi G, Khosravinejad A, Paryab M, Kharazifard MJ. Effects of Virtual Reality Versus Game Applications on Children's Dental Fear: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Int J Pediatr-Mashhad. 2022;10(12):17068–76.
- Shetty V, Suresh LR, Hegde AM. Effect of virtual reality distraction on pain and anxiety during dental treatment in 5 to 8 year old children. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2019;43(2):97–102.
- 41. Kumari S, Bahuguna R, Garg N, Yeluri R. Immersive and Non-Immersive Virtual Reality Distraction on Pain Perception to Intraoral Injections. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2021;45(6):389–94.
- 42. Ran L, Zhao N, Fan L, Zhou P, Zhang C, Yu C. Application of virtual reality on non-drug behavioral management of short-term dental procedure in children. Trials. 2021;22(1):1–9.
- Alshatrat SM, Sabarini JM, Hammouri HM, Al-Bakri IA, Al-Omari WM. Effect of immersive virtual reality on pain in different dental procedures in children: A pilot study. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2022;32(2):264–72.
- Gómez-Polo C, Vilches AA, Ribas D, Castaño-Séiquer A, Montero J. Behaviour and Anxiety Management of Paediatric Dental Patients through Virtual Reality: A Randomised Clinical Trial. J Clin Med. 2021;10(14). https:// doi.org/10.3390/jcm10143019.
- 45. Du Q, Ma X, Wang S, Zhou S, Luo C, Tian K, et al. A digital intervention using virtual reality helmets to reduce dental anxiety of children under local anesthesia and primary teeth extraction: A randomized clinical trial. Brain Behav. 2022;12(6):1–7.
- Aditya PVA, Prasad MG, Nagaradhakrishna A, Raju NS, Babu DN. Comparison of effectiveness of three distraction techniques to allay dental anxiety during inferior alveolar nerve block in children: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Heliyon. 2021;7(9). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021. e08092.
- 47. Nuvvula S, Alahari S, Kamatham R, Challa RR. Effect of audiovisual distraction with 3D video glasses on dental anxiety of children experiencing

administration of local analgesia: a randomized clinical trial. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2015;16(1):43–50.

- Murali K, Shankar S, Fathima A. Impact of Virtual Reality Distraction Technique on Dental Anxiety during short Dental Procedure among 5–8 Years Children: A Non-Randomized Clinical Trial. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2021;11:56–9.
- Felemban OM, Alshamrani RM, Aljeddawi DH, Bagher SM. Effect of virtual reality distraction on pain and anxiety during infiltration anesthesia in pediatric patients: a randomized clinical trial. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01678-x.
- Al-Halabi MN, Bshara N, AlNerabieah Z. Effectiveness of audiovisual distraction using virtual reality eyeglasses versus tablet device in child behavioral management during inferior alveolar nerve block. Anaesthesia, Pain and Intensive Care. 2018;22(1):55–61.
- Buldur B, Candan M. Does virtual reality affect children's dental anxiety, pain, and behaviour? A randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr. 2020;2020(21):1–14.
- Sharma Y, Bhatia HP, Sood S, Sharma N, Singh A. Effectiveness of Virtual Reality Glasses Digital Screens and Verbal Command as a Method to Distract Young Patients during Administration of Local Anesthesia. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2021;14(S2):S143–7.
- Daa Khan S, Dinesh RB, Jasuja P, Malik S, Mesfer S, Al Yami H, et al. Passive Distraction: a Technique to Maintain Children's Behavior Undergoing Dental Treatment. http://www.iajps.com
- Atzori B, Grotto RL, Giugni A, Calabrò M, Alhalabi W, Hoffman HG. Virtual reality analgesia for pediatric dental patients. Front Psychol. 2018;9(NOV):1–6.
- 55. Niharika P, Venugopal Reddy N, Srujana P, Srikanth K, Daneswari V, Sai GK. Effects of distraction using virtual reality technology on pain perception and anxiety levels in children during pulp therapy of primary molars. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2018;36(4):364–9.
- Pande P, Rana V, Srivastava N, Kaushik N. Effectiveness of different behavior guidance techniques in managing children with negative behavior in a dental setting: A randomized control study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2020;38(3):259–65.
- 57. Greeshma SG, George S, Anandaraj S, Sain S, Jose D, Sreenivas A, et al. Comparative Evaluation of the Efficacy of Virtual Reality Distraction, Audio Distraction and Tell-show-do Techniques in Reducing the Anxiety Level of Pediatric Dental Patients: An In Vivo Study. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2021;14(S2):S173–8.
- Zaidman L, Lusky G, Shmueli A, Halperson E, Moskovitz M, Ram D, et al. Distraction With Virtual Reality Goggles in Paediatric Dental Treatment: A Randomised Controlled Trial. Int Dent J. 2023;73(1):108–13. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.identj.2022.06.003.
- 59. Kaswindiarti S, Hanun Nisa B, Khoirudin FI, Pari Purnomo Sari WA. The effect of virtual reality distraction on pain perception of children aged 7–9 years during anesthesia procedure with the jet injector in dental treatment. Rev Latinoam Hipertens. 2022;17(2):94–8.
- Almeida A, Rebelo F, Noriega P, Vilar E. Virtual Reality Self Induced Cybersickness: An Exploratory Study. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT. 2019;26–33. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-319-60582-1\_3.
- Tychsen L, Thio LL. Concern of photosensitive seizures evoked by 3D video displays or virtual reality headsets in children: Current perspective. Eye Brain. 2020;12:45–8.
- Saunders TJ, Vallance JK. Screen Time and Health Indicators Among Children and Youth: Current Evidence, Limitations and Future Directions. Appl Health Econ Health Policy [Internet]. 2017;15(3):323–31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-016-0289-3
- Sony Interactive Entertainment. Health warnings. San Mateo, CA: Sony Interactive Entertainment; 2023. https://sonyinteractive.com/en/impact/ online-safety/. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- 64. Oculus. Oculus terms of service. Menlo Park, CA: Facebook Technologies LLC; 2018. https://www.oculus.com/legal/terms-of-service/. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- Playstation. Health Warning. San Mateo, CA: Sony Interactive Entertainment; 2020. https://www.playstation.com/en-dk/legal/health-warning/. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- Samsung. Is the Gear VR safe for children? Suwon: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; 2020. https://www.samsung.com/uk/support/mobile-devices/ is-the-gear-vr-safe-for-children/. Last accessed 22/06/2023.

- LG. User Guide 360 VR LG-R100 MFL69543701 (1.0). Seoul: LG Corporation; 2017. https://www.lg.com/us/support/products/documents/R100\_US\_ UM\_V1.0\_Print\_G\_160317-1.pdf. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- CNET. HTC adds kid safety modes to VR with Vive Guardian. Bellevue, CA: CNET; 2022. https://www.cnet.com/tech/computing/htc-adds-kid-safetymodes-to-vr-with-vive-guardian/. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- Park WD, Jang SW, Kim YH, Kim GA, Son W, Kim YS. A study on cyber sickness reduction by oculo-motor exercise performed immediately prior to viewing virtual reality (VR) content on head-mounted display (HMD). In: Vibroengineering Procedia. EXTRICA; 2017. p. 260–4. https://doi.org/10. 21595/vp.2017.19170.
- UK Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy. The safety of domestic virtual reality systems: A literature review. 2020. https://www. gov.uk/government/publications/safety-of-domestic-virtual-reality-syste ms. Last accessed 22/06/2023.
- Kesselman M. Current CITE-ings from the popular and trade computing literature: Google Cardboard – virtual reality for everyone. Library Hi Tech News. 2016;33(4):15–6. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-04-2016-0020.
- 72. Cardboard G. A virtual reality headset for \$10? Phys Teach. 2015;53(2):125–125.
- Primack BA, Carroll MV, McNamara M, et al. Role of video games in improving health-related outcomes: A systematic review. Am J Prev Med. 2012;42:630–8.
- DeSmet A, Van Ryckeghem D, Compernolle S, et al. A meta-analysis of serious digital games for healthy life-style promotion. Prev Med. 2014;69:95–107.
- 75. Zaror C, Mariño R, Atala-Acevedo C. Current State of Serious Games in Dentistry: A Scoping Review. Games Health J. 2021;10(2):95–108.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

## At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

