CASE REPORT



A novel surgical treatment approach for the vertical root fracture of posterior teeth: a case report with 24-month review



Qing Sun^{1†}, Furong Han^{1†} and Wei Fan^{1*}

Abstract

Background Up to 25% of the tooth extraction after root canal treatment could be attributed to the vertical root fracture (VRF). The treatment choice for teeth with VRF would mostly be the extraction despite some repairing methods were also reported. The repairing treatment result of VRF would mostly depend on the fixation strength and the bioactivity of the repairing materials, especially for the posterior teeth with high masticating stresses. This case report designed a novel surgical treatment approach for the VRF of posterior teeth.

Methods a maxillary premolar with buccal-palatal complete VRF was treated with a new dual-layered repairing approach using adhesive resin + iRoot BP Plus bioceramic cement to fill the modified fracture line with retention forms through the intentional replantation.

Results At the 24-month review, the tooth showed desirable periodontal healing and normal function.

Conclusions This case report indicated that the dual-layered repairing approach might be effective for saving the posterior teeth with VRF. Nevertheless, further clinical trials are needed for its long-term result.

Keywords Vertical root fracture, Resin, iRoot BP plus, Intentional replantation, Posterior teeth

Background

Vertical root fracture (VRF) is one of the most serious complications for teeth undergone root canal treatment and accounts for about 3.69-25% of the post-treatment tooth extraction [1]. To save the teeth with VRF, tentative efforts have been tried by clinicians to repair the VRF, including using adhesive composite resin, CO₂ and Nd: YAG laser, or bioceramic materials [2, 3]. Although these

[†]Qing Sun and Furong Han contributed equally to this work.

weifan@whu.edu.cn

¹State Key Laboratory of Oral & Maxillofacial Reconstruction and Regeneration, Key Laboratory of Oral Biomedicine Ministry of Education, Hubei Key Laboratory of Stomatology, School & Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, People's Republic of China reported cases showed promising results within different review time frame, the teeth reported were mostly limited to anterior teeth (incisors and canine) [3, 4].

Adhesive resins were reported to successfully bond the fractures of VRF, but the low bioactivity of resin would be a potential problem for the long-term periodontal healing of teeth with VRF [5]. This problem has been confirmed in the treatment of malformed lingual radicular groove which uses bioceramic cement rather than composite resin to fill the groove as the periodontal pockets along the resin surface could easily recur [5]. On the other hand, calcium silicate-based bioceramic cement, such as MTA, biodentine or iRoot BP plus are desirable for repairing various root canal perforations or resorptions due to its high bioactivity for periodontal tissue attachment and hard tissue regeneration [6–8]. Despite



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence:

Wei Fan

this, bioceramic materials cannot provide strong bonding strength to hold fractures in position [9].

Based on these concerns, a new dual-layered approach using adhesive resin+iRoot BP plus cement through the intentional replantation was designed in this case report as an effective method for the treatment of posterior teeth with VRF.

Case report

A 34-year-old Chinese female was referred for a gingival pustule of maxillary right posterior teeth. She reported tooth #14 had root canal treatment and a full crown restoration 2 years ago. Intraoral examination revealed a sinus tract on the labial gingival mucosa near the apical area of tooth #14 (Fig. 1A) [10]. The tooth was sensitive to vertical percussion. An 8–10 mm deep narrow isolated pocket was detected on the palatal side of the tooth (Fig. 1B) [10]. Pre-operative radiograph (Fig. 1C) and CBCT images confirmed a large area of bone destruction around the apex and palatal side of the root (Fig. 2) [10]. Besides, vertical buccal-palatal fracture lines on both buccal and palatal sides of the root were identified on CBCT images (Fig. 2) [10]. Based on the examinations, tooth #14 was diagnosed as VRF.

The new surgical procedures for the VRF were shown and illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4. Patient was given local anesthesia with a 2% articaine solution containing 1:1000 epinephrine (Zorcaine, Acteon Pharma, France). The affected tooth was then gently extracted and wrapped in gauze saturated with sterile normal saline (Fig. 3) [10]. The root surface was stained with methylene blue and the fracture was identified under a microscope (Zumax Medical Co. Ltd., Suzhou, China) (Fig. 3A, E) [10]. A high-speed handpiece (about 7000r/s) was used to resect 3 mm of root apex and expand the fractures to a width of about 1 mm and a depth of 1.5–2 mm (Figs. 3 and 4). Two trapezoidal retention forms were prepared on both sides of the fracture line (Fig. 3B, F, with 1 mm width and 1.5-2 mm depth) [10]. Sterile normal saline was used as the coolant during the operation. In the meantime, a 3 mm apical canal cavity was retrograde prepared using an ultrasonic tip. The expanded fractures and the retention forms were filled with self-etching light-curing adhesive resin (Ketac Molar Easymix; 3 M ESPE, St Paul, MN) (Fig. 3C, G) [10]. After that, about 0.5–1 mm thick surface resin was removed. The resin surface together with the apical canal were filled with iRoot BP Plus cement (Innovative Bioceramix Inc., Vancouver, Canada) (Fig. 3D, H) [10]. The repaired teeth were then carefully replanted and elastically fixed with a fiber band (Kuraray, Shanghai, China) for 4 weeks(Fig. 1D) [10]. All these procedures were finished within 15 min.

At the 24-month review, the X-ray radiograph (Fig. 1G) and CBCT revealed a significant periodontal bone regeneration (Fig. 2). The tooth was asymptomatic with normal gingiva mucosa, about 3 mm periodontal probing depth and normal mobility (Fig. 1E, F).

Discussion

Previous case reports about the treatment of VRF used either the composite or bio-ceramic materials to simply fill the fracture mostly of anterior teeth [3, 4]. In this case report, a novel dual-layered repairing approach using both composite resin and bio-ceramic materials was designed for the VRF of posterior teeth. According to the treatment result of this case report, the dual-layered repairing approach seems effective within the 24-month review period. The following three factors could partially explain the treatment result: (i) Although adhesive resin shows high dentin bonding strength, failures did occur in premolars and molars treated with adhesive resin due to the high masticating stresses [3]. To avoid this, small



Fig. 1 Intra-oral pictures and X-ray images before, after and at 24-month review of surgery. (A) Intra-oral picture before surgery showing a sinus track near the apical area of tooth #14(arrow); (B) Deep narrow isolated pocket on the palatal side of tooth #14;(C) X-ray image before surgery showing a radiolucent area around the apex of tooth #14(arrow); (D) Fixation of tooth #14 after the surgery; (E) Intra-oral picture at 24-month review showing normal gingiva mucosa;(F) Periodontal probing at 24-month review showing about 3 mm probing depth; (G) X-ray image at 24-month review showing the healing of periapical defect



Fig. 2 CBCT cross and sagittal images of tooth #14 with VRF before surgery and at 24-month review (arrows showing the root fractures, periodontal defect status or filling materials)



Fig. 3 Repairing procedures of vertical root fractures (microscopic view at about ×10 magnification). (**A**, **E**) Vertical root fractures on buccal and palatal side of tooth #14 (stained with with methylene blue); (**B**, **F**) Expanding the fracture and preparing the trapezoidal retention forms on both sides of the fracture; (**C**, **G**) Filling the fracture with adhesive resin on buccal and palatal sides of tooth #14; (**D**, **H**) Covering the resin surface with iRoot BP Plus on both sides of tooth #14

trapezoidal retention forms were added to both sides of fracture to enhance the fracture fixation. (ii) Although tooth replantation has been used in clinic for decades and shows a high success rate [11, 12], the periodontal healing ability is still the determining factor for the treatment result of tooth replanation. Resin alone cannot heal the periodontal defects due to its limited bioactivity for the regeneration of periodontal tissues [5]. The iRoot BP Plus

cement has ideal bioactivity and can induce the regeneration of periodontal hard tissues [5]. In this report, to achieve better healing of periodontal defects, the iRoot BP Plus cement layer was added on the resin surface. (iii) The operation time was controlled within 15 min and the root was kept moist during the surgery procedures [5]. Periodontal ligament (PDL) is the most important issue for the periodontal healing. To minimize damages to



Fig. 4 Schematic illustration of surgical procedures

the PDL, the extraoral operation time should be kept as short as possible. Extraoral time more than 30 min would increase the chance of post-operative surrogate root resorption. In this report, at the 24-month review, the periodontal probing depth returned to the 3 mm normal depth, indicating the healing of PDL tissues.

Conclusions

Based on this case report, the dual-layered approach could be an effective method to preserve the posterior teeth with VRF. Nevertheless, further clinical trials are needed for its long-term result.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Q.S.and F.H. performed the surgery, collected data and drafted the manuscript; W.F. provided the funding support, designed and guided the surgery, and revised the manuscript. All authors have viewed the manuscript and agreed to the submission.

Funding

This case report was prepared under the support of Top Youth Talent in Medicine Program of Hubei Province, China.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Surgery procedures were approved (2021-14) by the Ethics Committee of Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University. Informed consent to participate was obtained from the patient.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent for publication was obtained from the patient for the publication of this case report.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 13 February 2024 / Accepted: 16 April 2024 Published online: 24 April 2024

References

- Liao WC, Chen CH, Pan YH, Chang MC, Jeng JH. Vertical Root fracture in Nonendodontically and Endodontically treated Teeth: current understanding and future challenge. J Personalized Med. 2021;11:1375.
- Wang YL, Lee BS, Tseng CL, Lin FH, Lin CP. In vitro study of root fracture treated by CO2 laser and DP-bioactive glass paste. J Formos Med Assoc. 2008;107:46–53.
- Moradi Majd N, Akhtari F, Araghi S, Homayouni H. Treatment of a vertical root fracture using dual-curing resin cement: a case report. Case Rep Dentistry. 2012;2012:985215.
- Nogueira Leal, da Silva EJ, Romao Dos Santos G, Liess Krebs R, Coutinho-Filho Tde S. Surgical Alternative for Treatment of Vertical Root fracture: a Case Report. Iran Endodontic J. 2012;7:40–4.
- Yan H, Xu N, Wang H, Yu Q. Intentional replantation with a 2-segment restoration method to treat severe Palatogingival grooves in the Maxillary lateral incisor: a report of 3 cases. J Endod. 2019;45:1543–9.
- De-Deus G, Canabarro A, Alves GG, Marins JR, Linhares AB, Granjeiro JM. Cytocompatibility of the ready-to-use bioceramic putty repair cement iRoot BP Plus with primary human osteoblasts. Int Endod J. 2012;45:508–13.
- Zhou W, Zheng Q, Tan X, Song D, Zhang L, Huang D. Comparison of mineral trioxide aggregate and iRoot BP plus root repair material as root-end filling materials in endodontic microsurgery: a prospective randomized controlled study. J Endod. 2017;43:1–6.
- Baranwal HC, Singh N, Kumar N, Garg R, Yadav J, Tripathi R. New Approach in the Management of Vertical Root Fracture with the Help of Biodentine and CBCT. Case Reports in Dentistry. 2020 (2020) 2806324.
- Hursh KA, Kirkpatrick TC, Cardon JW, Brewster JA, Black SW, Himel VT, et al. Shear bond comparison between 4 bioceramic materials and dual-cure composite resin. J Endod. 2019;45:1378–83.
- Han FR, FanWei. Combined treatment using composite resin and iRoot BP plus for vertical root fractures of maxillary premolar: a case report. Stomatology. 2023;43:726–9.

- Pisano M, Di Spirito F, Martina S, Sangiovanni G, D'Ambrosio F, Iandolo A. Intentional replantation of single-rooted and multi-rooted teeth: a systematic review. Healthcare: MDPI; 2022. p. 11.
- Wang L, Jiang H, Bai Y, Luo Q, Wu H, Liu H. Clinical outcomes after intentional replantation of permanent teeth: a systematic review. Bosnian J Basic Med Sci. 2020;20:13–20.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.