RESEARCH

Open Access

Association of specific nutritional intake with periodontitis



Alfonso Varela-López¹, Beatriz Bullon², Isabel Gallardo², Jose Luis Quiles¹ and Pedro Bullon^{2*}

Abstract

Background The present study aimed to evaluate nutritional intake among a group of male patients in the dental clinic with and without periodontal disease to search for associations between nutritional profile and periodontal health.

Methods To this purpose, nutritional intake of macronutrients, fiber, vitamins, and minerals were compared evaluating both clinical parameters and periodontal status. Non periodontitis patients were compared with stage III and IV periodontitis and its extension according to the 2017 classification.

Results After multivariate analysis, statistically significant associations were found between the dietary intake of energy, total fat, cholesterol, calcium, saturated fat, monounsaturated fat and folic acid and iodine and periodontitis status. This study reports an inverse association between cholesterol and iodine and periodontitis and a direct association with saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, and folic acid.

Conclusions Maintaining an adequate intake of fat, iodine, calcium, and cholesterol and avoiding an excessive intake of energy, saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, and folic acid could be important to controlling periodontitis.

Keywords Diet, Periodontitis, Macronutrients, Fiber, Vitamin, Minerals

Background

Various factors have been associated with the etiology of periodontal disease. Undoubtedly, oral microorganisms are indispensable for the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. Therefore, the primary cause is poor oral hygiene, leading to the formation of dental plaque containing microorganisms [1]. In addition to these local elements, several systemic factors appear to influence periodontal health, many of them shared with systemic conditions

pbullon@us.es

such as cardiovascular disease [2], and obesity [3]. In this sense, there is a set of well-established risk factors for periodontitis that include alcohol and drug abuse, stress, smoking, genetics, and hormonal alterations [4]. Similarly, the nutritional status of the host has been widely recognized as a possible promoting factor in many inflammatory conditions such as periodontal diseases [5]. In fact, nutritional factors are of vital importance for the equilibrium between oral microorganisms and the host response, which depends on the onset and progression of periodontal disease [6]. The relationship of nutrition and oral health is well known and in recent years a large number of reviews have been published that highlight the link between nutrition and periodontal disease in recent years [5, 7]. However, the results of the epidemiological studies still present differences.

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, using the source of the version of the source of the permitted of the version of the source of the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence:

Pedro Bullon

¹Department of Physiology, Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology "Jose Mataix", Biomedical Research Center, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

²Department of Stomalogy, Dental School, University of Sevilla, C/Avicena s.n., Sevilla 41009, Spain

Currently, standardized periodontal therapy is based on manual supragingival and subgingival debridement, with additional supportive antibiotic therapy in strictly defined clinical situations. However, once periodontitis is diagnosed, a common claim is to consider additional benefits of controlling the nutritional status of patients in combination with periodontal therapy [8]. The aim of the study was to evaluate nutritional intake among a group of male patients with and without periodontitis in different states to look for associations between nutritional profile and periodontal health.

Methods

Patients

Male subjects over 35 years of age attending the Dental School of the University of Sevilla, who have not experienced a prior cardiac event, and who had no significant coronary disease attending the Dental School of the University of Sevilla (Control) without clinical records of cardiac events, diabetes or periodontal disease diagnosis and treatment were asked to participate in the study. Informed consent was obtained from each subject, the study protocol was in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 and was approved by the Local Research Committee (1588-N-20, 12-21-2016).

Clinical examination General and lifestyle data

Demographic characteristics (age, height, and weight), medical record (heart rate (HR), diastolic blood pressure (DPB), systolic blood pressure (DPB), waist circumference (WC)), and body mass index (BMI) were recorded. BMI was calculated from standardized measurements of weight and height (weight in kg divided by height in square meters). The Minnesota Leisure Time Physical activity [9] was used to evaluate the level of physical activity. The average weekly exercise hours were obtained asking for the hours spent walking. Smoking status was obtained asking if they are a current smoker (someone who smoke at least one cigarette per day) a former smoker (someone who do not smoke now but smoked in the past) or a never-smoker (someone who has never smoked). Non-smoker were considered any of both, never-smoker and former smoker.

Oral examination

The number of remaining teeth was recorded. The following periodontal parameters were recorded: clinical probing depth (PD) as the distance between the gingival margin and the bottom of the pocket, clinical attachment level (CAL) as the distance between the cemento– enamel junction and the bottom of the pocket at six sites per tooth; bleeding on probing (BOP) was also recorded after 10 s at four sites [10]. All periodontal measures were evaluated by the same examiner, whose evaluations of the first ten subjects were calibrated for reproducibility prior to the study; an intra-subject correlation coefficient of 92% was found for PD.

The patients were classified as periodontitis patients and non-periodontitis patients (NoP) and periodontitis patient, respectively, if they were or not within the stage III and IV definition established in the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions periodontitis [11]. In turn, periodontitis patients were subdivided into localized periodontitis (LP) generalized periodontitis patients (GP) according to the extent and distribution criteria, LP was defined when <30% of teeth were involved, whereas GP was defined when \geq 30% of teeth were involved [11]. Furthermore, mean PD, mean CAL and the proportion of sites with PD \geq 4 mm and CAL \geq 5 mm were calculated.

Assessment of dietary intake

Dietary intake was estimated using a 91-item semiguantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). This FFQ that includes foods from 13 different groups (meats, fish, eggs, legumes, cereals, dairy products, fats, vegetables, fruits, sweets and cakes, drinks, nuts, sauces, and others) was based on previously developed and validated questionnaires for the Spanish population [12]. An additional section provides information on the use of nutritional or dietary supplements. The FFQ items represent mainly a single food, but sometimes comprised of sets of commonly associated foods. Participants were asked to indicate the number of portions of these foods they consumed per day, week, month, or year during the past year. The portion sizes quantified by household measures (i.e., one cup) were explained item by item in the FFQ. Standard serving sizes and food models [13-16] were provided as a reference to aid participants in estimating portion size. A trained person helped the participants complete the FFQ when necessary. All values were then converted to dairy consumption frequencies, and this was multiplied by the size of the portion to calculate the grams of food consumed per day. Nutritional and energy intakes were calculated by multiplying the frequency of consumption of each food item (in grams consumed per day) by the mean content of energy and each nutrient (calculated for the food item concerned) per 100 g of product according to the Food Composition Database by Mataix et al. [17] The daily intake of nutrients for individual FFQ items was then summed to obtain the daily intake of each nutrient or energy. All nutrient and food intakes were then adjusted for energy using the residual method.

 Table 1
 General data, anthropometrical measures, and physical activity

	NoP	LP	GP
	(<i>n</i> = 59)	(<i>n</i> = 13)	(<i>n</i> = 40)
	Mean ± SD	$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean \pm SD$
	$Median \pm IQR$	$Median \pm IQR$	$Median \pm IQR$
Age (years)	$62.00 \pm 15.00^{\circ}$	64.54±7.16 ^c	58.00 ± 9.00^{ab}
Weight (g)	83.69 ± 12.57	77.77 ± 9.29	79.79 ± 12.50
Height (cm)	171.83±7.03	170.23 ± 6.78	172.83 ± 6.30
BMI (g/cm²)	$27.46 \pm 4.59^{\circ}$	26.88 ± 3.24	26.74 ± 4.14^{a}
WC (cm)	108.05 ± 10.94	104.08 ± 9.05	104.43 ± 11.14
SBP (mmHg)	145.92 ± 23.41	146.3 ± 29.85	149.20 ± 24.63
DBP (mmHg)	$82.19 \pm 14.28^{\circ}$	76.23 ± 10.99	86.45 ± 16.15 ^a
HR (bpm)	$67.00 \pm 14.00^{\circ}$	68.00 ± 12.00	75.05 ± 11.93^{a}
Walking (h)	$7.00 \pm 6.50^{\circ}$	6.08 ± 4.31	4.00 ± 6.25^{a}

Abbreviations BMI: body mass index, BOP: bleeding on probing, bpm: beat per minute, CAL: clinical attachment level, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, GP: generalized periodontitis, HR: heart rate, IQR: interquartile rank, NoP: non periodontitis, LP: localized periodontitis, PD: probing pocket depth, SBP: systolic blood pressure, SD: standard deviation, WC: waist circumference

^{a, b,c,} indicate statistical differences between groups when they are different

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 25.0 Statistics software. Data were presented as mean±standard deviation (SD) or percentage or as median±interquartile rank (IQR) if the variable does not have a normal distribution. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test has been used to verify the normal distribution of the quantitative data. ANOVA test for parametric distributions and Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric distribution have been used. The post hoc test utilized for the parametric distribution was Student's t test with the previous Levine test on the homogeneity of variances, and for the nonparametric distribution, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Categorical variables were analyzed with a chi-square test to determine the groups that make a difference. Statistical significances with p-values of 0.05 or less were considered. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the probability of LP and GP according to the intake of caloric intake and the different evaluated nutrient normalized and non-normalized by total energy intake. Similar models adjusted for confounders were also performed. Confounders were selected by performing logistic regression analysis models to calculate the probability of localized and generalized periodontitis using the Backward LR method that considers all target variables, which were all those factors whose values were statistically significant different between groups of patients. Finally, only smoking status was considered for the subsequent adjusted models. Moreover, unadjusted and adjusted ordinal logistic regression models with diagnosis of periodontitis with three ordered categories (non-periodontitis and localized and generalized periodontitis) were also performed.

with different smoking	j slatus		
	NoP	LP	GP
	(<i>n</i> = 59)	(<i>n</i> = 13)	(<i>n</i> =40)
Current smoker	6 (10.2%) ^c	4 (30.8%)	14 (35.0%) ^a
Non-smoker	53 (89.8%)	9 (69.2%)	25 (62.5%)
Former smoker	29 (49.2%)	8 (61.5%)	23 (51.1%)
Never-smoker	24 (40.7%)	1 (7.7%)	3 (7.5%)

Abbreviations GP: generalized periodontitis, NoP: non periodontitis, LP: localized periodontitis

 $^{a,b,c_{\prime}}$ indicate statistical differences between groups when they are different

 Table 3
 Clinical periodontal health parameters of mild to generalized periodontitis and no periodontitis patients

	NoP	LP	GP
	(n=59)	(n=13)	(<i>n</i> =40)
	$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean \pm SD$	$Mean \pm SD$
	Median ± IQR	Median \pm IQR	Median±IQR
Teeth	22.03 ± 4.78^{b}	17.31 ± 6.07^{ac}	20.93 ± 6.56^{b}
BOP(%sites)	$4.86 \pm 6.06^{\circ}$	11.66±13.27	19.03 ± 19.41^{a}
Mean PD	$2.47 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	2.58 ± 0.19	3.13 ± 0.37^{a}
PD≥4 mm (%sites)	0.04 ± 0.06^{bc}	0.10 ± 0.06^{ac}	0.38 ± 0.15^{ab}
Mean CAL	2.79 ± 0.39^{bc}	3.85 ± 1.10^{a}	4.65 ± 1.2^{a}
CAL≥5 mm (%sites)	0.06 ± 0.07^{bc}	0.29 ± 0.24^{a}	0.44 ± 0.25^{a}

Abbreviations BOP: bleeding on probing, CAL: clinical attachment level, GP: generalized periodontitis, IQR: interquartile rank, NoP: non periodontitis, LP: localized periodontitis, PD: probing pocket depth, SD: standard deviation

 $^{\mathsf{a},\,\mathsf{b},\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{r}}}$ indicate statistical differences between groups when they are different

Results

General data

Table 1 shows the mean and SD or median and IQR values of the general data, anthropometrical measures, and physical activity of the sample population. A total of 112 subjects were enrolled in the study, 59 attendants received a diagnosis of NoP, 13 were LP and 40 were GP. GP patients were younger than those with NoP and LP. GP also had lower values of BMI and walking hours values than NoP, while the HR and DBP values were higher. Table 2 shows smoking status with more current smoker in GP than in NoP.

Oral health

The mean values of different clinical periodontal health parameters, including the number of remaining teeth, percentages of teeth with BOP, mean PD, percentages of sites with $PD \ge 4$ mm, mean CAL and percentages of sites with $CAL \ge 5$ mm are presented in Table 3. BOP was high in GP compared to NoP. The number of remaining teeth was only lower in LP compared to NoP and GP. The values of PD and CAL show the highest values in GP than LP and the lowest in NoP.

Dietary intake and periodontal health

Table 4 shows the values of oral health parameters according to dietary intake of nutrients only for nutrients

 Table 2
 Absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies of participant

 with different smoking status

Table 4	Periodontal h	nealth parameters	s values accordi	ng nutritional	intakes (Or	nly values	showing	statistically	significant	differences	s for
those be	etween intake	are included)									

Nutrient	Normalized by energy intake?	Tertile	% BOP Mean±SD Median±IQR	Mean PD Mean±SD Median±IQR	% sites with PD≥4 Median±IQR	Mean CAL Mean±SD Median±IQR
Saturated fat						
	No	Τ1	4.17±15.94	2.63 ± 0.44	0.05 ± 0.19	2.85 ± 1.02
		T2	5.87 ± 11.65	2.73 ± 0.32	0.10 ± 0.29	3.20 ± 1.71
		Т3	4.17±12.70	2.67±0.41	0.09 ± 0.23	3.21±1.53
	Yes	Τ1	4.92±13.27	2.71 ± 0.50^{b}	0.07 ± 0.18	2.89 ± 1.43
		T2	5.95 ± 16.13	2.77 ± 0.40^{a}	0.14 ± 0.34	3.23 ± 1.76
		Т3	5.00 ± 10.06	2.65 ± 0.46	0.09 ± 0.25	3.21±1.64
		Τ1	4.17±15.94	2.63 ± 0.44	0.05 ± 0.19	2.85 ± 1.02
Iron						
	No	T1	3.91 ± 11.14^{c}	2.78 ± 0.47	0.14±0.39	3.20 ± 1.51
		T2	5.83 ± 16.72	2.59 ± 0.44	0.07 ± 0.27	3.09 ± 1.68
		Т3	5.51 ± 12.59^{a}	2.65 ± 0.30	0.09 ± 0.16	3.17±1.56
	Yes	T1	2.56 ± 12.88	2.78 ± 0.43	0.11±0.37	3.68 ± 0.99
		T2	4.49 ± 11.67	2.59 ± 0.36	0.07 ± 0.20	2.93 ± 0.93
		Т3	5.76±17.37	2.63±0.45	0.09 ± 0.19	3.11±1.92

Abbreviations BOP: bleeding on probing, IQR: interquartile rank, PD: probing pocket depth, CAL: clinical attachment level, T1: first (the lowest) tertile of intake, SD: standard deviation, T2: second tertile of intake, T3: third tertile (the highest) of intake

^{a, b,c,} indicates statistical differences between tertiles when they are different

that show statistically some significant difference among dietary intake tertiles in the value of some the parameters. No differences were obtained except for two nutrients in one parameter each one. Mean PD value was lower in the lowest tercile of intake of saturated fat normalized by energy intake respect than the second tercile. Regarding the percentage of sites with BOP, the individuals in the lowest tercile of iron intake had a lower value than those in the highest, but this was not observed if the intakes were adjusted by energy consumption.

Table 5 shows the results of the regression analysis for dietary intakes of nutrients showing any statistically significant association. The unadjusted logistic model, increased odds of having GP were associated with the lowest tercile 1 of non-normalized intakes of carbohydrates, fiber, potassium, vitamin A, B1 B2, B6, B9 and C and normalized intakes of fiber, potassium, and vitamin C, compared to the highest consumption tercile. Similar associations were found for the second tercile of nonnormalized intakes of vitamin A, C, B1 B2, B6 and potassium and normalized fiber intake. Although the odds of having GP calculated for the lowest tercile 1 of intake of phosphorus, calcium, and energy respect than the highest terciles were not statistically significant, an increased odds was found for the second terciles. Terciles 1 and 2 of fiber, potassium and, vitamin C consumption normalized by energy intake and tercile 1 of energy-intake-normalized consumption of fiber and potassium were associated with localized to generalized periodontitis prevalence with increased odds compared to the highest terciles. In the model adjusted by smoking status, only associations between GP prevalence and non-normalized intake of carbohydrates, vitamin A, B2, B6, C and iron remained statistically significant. Both the lowest and second tercile of phosphorus consumption non-normalized by caloric intake were also associated. In case of potassium and fiber intake, only the association with the lowest tercile 1 remained significant. Among those normalized by energy intake, only the lowest terciles of fiber, vitamin C, and potassium consumption were associated with generalized periodontitis prevalence.

Ordered logistic modeling also resulted in similar findings produced by standard logistic regression for the lowest terciles of fiber, potassium, and vitamin B6 intake, compared to the highest terciles, as well as the second tercile of caloric intake. In an unadjusted model, the lowest terciles of fiber and potassium consumption non-normalized and normalized by energy intake were associated with increased odds of having more generalized periodontal disease compared with the highest fiber and potassium consumption tercile, respectively. This association was also statistically significant for non-adjusted intake of vitamin C normalized by energy intake and vitamin B6 consumption non-normalized by energy intake. Likewise, the second tercile of energy intake was associated with increased odds of having more GP. In a model controlling for smoking status, only the lowest terciles of fiber and potassium intake normalized by caloric consumption were associated with increasing extension of periodontitis despite this association also was found for vitamin B6 and potassium non-normalized consumption.

Nutrient	Normalized		UK (95%CI) Of LP	CH CH	UK (95%CI) Of GP	ET CT	OK (95%CI) of periodor	ititis severity
	by energy intake?		۱۱ vs. ۱۶ (the highest)	1 2 vs. 1 3 (the highest)	11 vs. 13 (the highest)	1 2 vs. 1 3 (the highest)	11 vs. 13 (the highest)	1 2 vs. 1 3 (the highest)
Energy		Unadj.	1.05 (0.42, 2.62)	1.81 (0.72, 4.51)	2.03 (0.72, 5.69)	3.96 (1.44, 10.89)*	1.79 (0.23, 13.99)	7.75 (1.00, 59.83)*
		Adj.	1.13 (0.41, 3.11)	2.01 (0.73, 5.52)	2.31 (0.77, 6.95)	4.73 (1.59, 14.03)*	3.30 (0.35, 30.84)	13.49 (1.46, 124.28)*
Carbohydrates	no	Unadj.	1.62 (0.65, 4.03)	1.17 (0.47, 2.91)	3.55 (1.29, 9.77)*	2.28 (0.82, 6.36)	5.90 (0.77, 45.40)	2.34 (0.30, 18.14)
		Adj.	1.18 (0.43, 3.29)	1.15 (0.42, 3.16)	3.01 (1.03, 8.79)*	2.44 (0.82, 7.26)	5.19 (0.57, 47.70)	3.29 (0.36, 30.31)
	yes	Unadj.	1.46 (0.58, 3.67)	2.51 (0.99, 6.37)	1.70 (0.64, 4.55)	2.13 (0.81.5.64)	2.76 (0.35, 21.68)	6.89 (0.89, 53.70)
		Adj.	0.98 (0.35, 2.73)	1.94 (0.69, 5.41)	1.25 (0.44, 3.57)	1.65 (0.59.4.64)	1.38 (0.15, 12.87)	4.33 (0.48, 39.39)
Fiber	no	Unadj.	1.80 (0.72, 4.51)	1.05 (0.42, 2.62)	3.96 (1.44, 10.89)**	2.03 (0.72, 5.70)	7.75 (1.00, 59.83)*	1.79 (0.23, 13.99)
		Adj.	1.08 (0.39, 3.04)	0.96 (0.35, 2.63)	2.93 (1.00, 8.58)	2.03 (0.69, 5.98)	4.51 (0.48, 41.98)	2.01 (0.22, 18.35)
	yes	Unadj.	3.57 (1.38, 9.26)*	1.04 (0.41, 2.66)*	4.23 (1.57, 11.39)**	1.19 (0.42, 3.38)*	22.05 (2.73, 178.21)**	1.20 (0.15, 9.92)
		Adj.	2.35 (0.83, 6.62)	0.86 (0.31, 2.40)	3.02 (1.06, 8.57)*	1.04 (0.35, 3.11)	9.53 (1.04, 87.69)*	0.96 (0.10, 9.15)
Vitamin A	ОИ	Unadj.	1.17 (0.47, 2.91)	1.62 (0.65, 4.03)	3.02 (1.06, 8.63)*	4.20 (1.48, 1.91)**	2.86 (0.37, 22.24)	6.42 (0.83, 49.75)
		Adj.	0.94 (0.34, 2.66)	1.48 (0.54, 4.00)	2.83 (0.92, 8.66)	4.26 (1.42, 12.79)**	3.23 (0.34, 30.80)	7.74 (0.86, 69.55)
	yes	Unadj.	1.30 (0.53, 3.23)	1.05 (0.42, 2.61)	2.20 (0.81, 5.94)	2.46 (0.91, 6.61)	2.74 (0.36, 20.82)	2.32 (0.30, 17.63)
		Adj.	1.06 (0.39, 2.91)	0.91 (0.33, 2.49)	1.96 (0.69, 5.62)	2.43 (0.85, 6.95)	2.50 (0.28, 22.14)	2.49 (0.28, 22.04)
Vitamin C	no	Unadj.	1.45 (0.58, 3.61)	1.30 (0.52, 3.24)	3.76 (1.32, 10.70)*	3.37 (1.18, 9.61)*	4.90 (0.63, 37.94)	3.74 (0.48, 29.03)
		Adj.	1.17 (0.42, 3.25)	1.13 (0.42, 3.05)	3.50 (1.15, 10.64)*	3.28 (1.10, 9.78)*	5.33 (0.56, 50.25)	4.15 (0.46, 37.13)
	yes	Unadj.	2.51 (0.99, 6.37)	1.46 (0.58, 3.67)	3.55 (1.29, 9.77)*	2.28 (0.82, 6.36)	10.74 (1.35, 85.21)*	3.30 (0.41, 26.31)
		Adj.	2.02 (0.73, 5.62)	1.26 (0.46, 3.45)	3.00 (1.03, 8.72)*	2.10 (0.72, 6.15)	9.15 (0.98, 85.12)	3.00 (0.32, 27.72)
Vitamin	no	Unadj.	1.45 (0.58, 3.63)	1.80 (0.72, 4.51)	3.37 (1.18, 9.61)*	3.76 (1.32, 10.70)*	4.43 (0.56, 34.75)	6.64 (0.85, 52.01)
B1		Adj.	1.42 (0.52, 3.88)	1.90 (0.69, 5.24)	3.57 (1.18, 10.81)*	4.16 (1.38, 12.61)*	6.82 (0.73, 63.40)	10.23 (1.10, 95.58)*
	yes	Unadj.	2.26 (0.89, 5.74)	2.26 (0.89, 5.74)	1.87 (0.72, 4.87)	1.33 (0.50, 3.52)	5.90 (0.75, 46.34)	4.16 (0.53, 32.73)
		Adj.	1.46 (0.52, 4.09)	1.89 (0.68, 5.30)	1.25 (0.44, 3.50)	1.05 (0.37, 2.98)	5.51 (0.24, 20.94)	2.57 (0.28, 23.72)
Vitamin	no	Unadj.	1.81 (0.72, 4.55)	2.02 (0.80, 5.08)	3.37 (1.18, 9.61)*	3.76 (1.32, 10.70)*	6.10 (0.77, 48.55)	7.94 (1.00, 63.16)
B2		Adj.	2.05 (0.74, 5.73)	1.89 (0.68, 5.20)	3.97 (1.29, 12.21)*	3.77 (1.24, 11.40)*	11.14 (1.15, 107.65)	8.49 (0.91, 79.35)
	yes	Unadj.	1.80 (0.72, 4.51)	1.05 (0.42, 2.62)	2.05 (0.80, 5.25)	0.80 (0.30, 2.18)	4.63 (0.61, 34.86)	0.91 (0.12, 7.06)
		Adj.	1.99 (0.71, 5.55)	1.79 (0.62, 5.21)	2.20 (0.80, 6.06)	1.18 (0.39, 3.52)	5.51 (0.62, 49.17)	2.66 (0.27, 26.61)
Vitamin	no	Unadj.	2.51 (0.99, 6.37)	1.46 (0.58, 3.67)	6.27 (2.12, 18.58)*	3.25 (1.08, 9.72)*	17.10 (2.11, 138.68)*	3.91 (0.48, 31.55)
B6		Adj.	2.09 (0.75, 5.80)	1.34 (0.49, 3.66)	5.75 (1.84, 17.95)*	3.22 (1.02, 10.11)*	18.11 (1.88, 174.17)*	4.33 (0.46, 40.89)
	yes	Unadj.	2.52 (0.99, 6.37)	1.46 (0.58, 3.67)	2.38 (0.90, 6.27)	1.52 (0.56, 4.08)	7.83 (1.00, 61.09)*	2.47 (0.31, 19.50)
		Adj.	2.31 (0.84, 6.36)	1.49 (0.54, 4.15)	2.16 (0.78, 6.00)	1.52 (0.53, 4.36)	6.94 (0.78, 61.75)	2.63 (0.28, 24.38)
Vitamin	no	Unadj.	1.62 (0.65, 4.04)	1.62 (0.65, 4.04)	3.19 (1.16, 8.78)*	2.56 (0.92, 7.09)	5.32 (0.68, 41.44)	4.15 (0.53, 32.33)
B9		Adj.	1.25 (0.44, 3.60)	1.34 (0.50, 3.62)	1.90 (0.66, 5.42)	1.50 (0.52, 4.29)	5.20 (0.52, 51.46)	3.26 (0.37, 29.01)
	yes	Unadj.	1.57 (0.56, 4.39)	0.82 (0.29, 2.28)	1.65 (0.64, 4.24)	1.04 (0.39, 2.74)	4.31 (0.57, 32.75)	1.67 (0.22, 12.87)
		Adj.	1.80 (0.72, 4.51)	1.05 (0.42, 2.62)	2.13 (0.81, 5.64)	1.70 (0.64, 4.55)	3.86 (0.42, 35.67)	1.27 (0.14, 11.76)
Calcium	no	Unadj.	1.17(0.47,2.91)	1.62(0.65,4.03)	2.56(0.92,7.09)	3.19(1.16,8.78)*	2.62(0.34,20.31)	5.15(0.67,39.63)
		Adj.	1.15(0.41,3.21)	1.70(0.62,4.64)	2.77(0.92,8.27)	3.60(1.22,10.68)*	3.92(0.42,36.79)	6.93(0.77,62.59)
	yes	Unadj.	2.01(0.80,5.05)	1.30(0.52,3.26)	2.33(0.90,6.03)	1.04(0.38,2.81)	6.04(0.79,46.19)	1.53(0.20,11.91)

Table 5 Associations between dietary intakes and periodontal disease status

Nutrient	Normalized		OR (95%CI) of LP		OR (95%CI) of GP		OR (95%CI) of periodo	ntitis severity
	by energy		T1 vs. T3	T2 vs. T3	T1 vs. T3	T2 vs. T3	T1 vs. T3	T2 vs. T3
	intake?		(the highest)	(the highest)	(the highest)	(the highest)	(the highest)	(the highest)
		Adj.	1.98(0.72,5.48)	1.31(0.48,3.58)	2.29(0.83,6.34)	1.01(0.35,2.88)	5.64(0.63,50.07)	1.48(0.16,13.28)
Phosphorus	no	Unadj.	1.30 (0.52,3.26)	2.01 (0.80,5.05)	2.56 (0.92.7.09)	3.19 (1.16,8.78)*	3.10 (0.40,24.29)	6.93 (0.89,53.99)
		Adj.	1.56 (0.56,4.41)	1.87 (0.69,5.09)	3.21 (1.06,9.72)*	3.13 (1.07,9.13)*	6.85 (0.70,66.90)	7.02 (0.78,63.01)
	yes	Unadj.	2.02 (0.80,5.06)	0.94 (0.37,2.35)	2.59 (1.00,6.72)	0.91 (0.33,2.49)	6.67 (0.88,50.73)	0.85 (0.11,6.68)
		Adj.	2.28 (0.82,6.35)	0.97 (0.35,2.68)	2.86 (1.03,7.97)	0.92 (0.31,2.69)	8.55 (0.96,76.43)	0.96 (0.10,8.84)
Iron	no	Unadj.	1.45 (0.59,3.61)	0.94 (0.38,2.34)	3.55 (1.29,9.77)*	2.28 (0.82,6.36)	5.04 (0.66,38.39)	1.70 (0.22,13.17)
		Adj.	1.33 (0.47,3.74)	0.88 (0.33,2.36)	3.66 (1.23,10.94)*	2.35 (0.80,6.86)	7.35 (0.77,70.46)	2.16 (0.24,19.09)
	yes	Unadj.	1.81 (0.72,4.53)	0.75 (0.30,1.89)	2.29 (0.89,5.85)	0.70 (0.25,1.92)	5.17 (0.69,38.75)	0.50 (0.06,3.96)
		Adj.	1.81 (0.72,4.53)	0.75 (0.30,1.89)	1.90 (0.70,5.13)	0.69 (0.24,2.03)	3.36 (0.39,28.63)	0.58 (0.06,5.36)
Potassium	no	Unadj.	1.77 (0.63,5.00)	1.57 (0.58,4.30)	5.63 (1.90,16.65)**	3.64 (1.22,10.83)*	12.97 (1.61,104.43)*	5.10 (0.63,40.94)
		Adj.	1.05 (0.42,2.61)	1.30 (0.53,3.23)	5.01 (1.59,15.78)*	3.74 (1.19,11.75)*	13.06 (1.32,129.23)*	6.50 (0.69,61.32)
	yes	Unadj.	3.18 (1.23,8.20)*	2.29 (0.89,5.85)	4.68 (1.65,12.27)**	2.70 (0.94,7.75)	20.16 (2.42,168.18)*	7.17 (0.87,59.45)
		Adj.	2.31 (0.82,6.54)	1.60 (0.57,4.48)	3.65 (1.21,10.98)*	2.02 (0.66.6.13)	13.36 (1.35,131.92)*	3.65 (0.37,35.61)
Abbreviations Adj.: r tertile (the highest	model adjusted by sn t) of intake, Unadj.: ur	noking status, nadjusted moo	Cl: confidence interval, Gl del	P: generalized periodontitis, Lf	o: localized periodontitis, O	R: odds ratio, T1: first (the lo	west) tertile of intake, T2: seco	nd tertile of intake, T3: third
* indicates statistic	cal differences betwe	en tertiles at p	o-value < 0.05					

** indicates statistical differences between tertiles at p-value< 0.005

Varela-López et al. BMC Oral Health (2

Table 5 (continued)

Page 6 of 10

Discussion

In the present study, periodontal health has been positively related to the dietary intake of fiber, vitamin C, and potassium. In general, inverse associations have been previously reported between intake of dietary fiber [18, 19], potassium [20], and vitamin C [19–22] and any form of periodontal disease. Similarly, the inverse association between fiber intake and vitamin C and the risk of periodontal disease has been confirmed by a, meta-analysis that includes nine publications in community-dwelling older adults [23] but this was also found for fatty acids, vitamin C and E, and calcium intake. In turn, the risk of periodontal disease was higher in individuals with the highest sugar intake in a meta-analysis evaluating the possible role of the role of dietary patterns in periodontal health, including nine studies [24]. Similarly, dietary intake of vitamin E [20], but also carbohydrates [25], phosphorus [21, 25], iron and vitamins B6 and B12 [20] were also inversely associated with any form of periodontal disease by at least one of the cited studies. Interestingly, the association with vitamin B9 intake was the most common relationship reported in such studies [19– 21]. Additional studies also reported inverse associations with total fat dietary intakes [26, 27], polyunsaturated fat [28] and calcium [29], but also vitamin A [30], B1 [31] vitamin B2 [30, 31], vitamin B3 [31, 32] and confirmed results for vitamin B9 [33], and iron [20, 32]. However, the association found for the incidence of periodontitis with vitamin B1, and E has been reported to be nonlinear in one of the studies [30]. Therefore, many of the previously reported associations with periodontitis have not been observed in the population of the present study. Regarding vitamin C intake, observational studies are less clear., Some cross-sectional studies found a significant association present only in certain strata [32, 34, 35]. In fact, it has been suggested that age and smoking may be related to the efficacy of vitamin C for the prevention of periodontal disease, but this can depend on the characteristics of the population [5]. However, Jeong et al. [24] reported a higher risk of periodontal disease in the lowest vitamin C intake group after performing a meta-analysis to evaluate the possible role of diet patterns in periodontal health that included nine studies. Interestingly, a more recent study found a nonlinear association between vitamin C intake and periodontitis prevalence. According to this, excessive vitamin C intake would increase the risk of periodontitis [30].

Among the studies mentioned, some of them concomitantly evaluated associations between periodontal health and multiple dietary intakes [20, 21, 26, 27, 30]. As in the present study, none of the mentioned studies found statistically significant associations between periodontal health and dietary intake of proteins, cholesterol, calcium, magnesium, selenium, zinc, and vitamins B1, B12 and K, although this also occurred with fiber and potassium. Other studies evaluating association with the intake of one or two nutrients also reported statistically significant results. This occurred with sugars [36–38] and vitamin C [35] and D [39, 40], but also calcium [29, 41, 42] and magnesium [43]. Moreover, two cross-sectional studies focused on dietary fiber intake [18, 44] in a sample of 5,052 individuals from NHANES 2009–2010 and 2011–2012, supporting the results of the present study. In contrast, a study in non-smokers subjects [41] reported no correlation between calcium intake and the CPI score, although it was inversely associated with the percentage of sites with BOP. Similarly, no association has been previously found between folate intake and CPI, although it suggested that it influences BOP [33].

It is possible that associations between nutritional intake and periodontal disease only appeared when individual with or without nutritional deficiencies and are compared. In these cases, such associations could only be detected in subjects with very low dietary intakes. Some studies evaluated the possible associations of inadequate intake according to the proper nutritional recommendations. In this sense, it has been suggested that the risk of periodontitis was reduced with sufficient intake of vitamin A, B1, B2, and E [30]. Inappropriate vitamin B3 intake also showed higher odds of periodontitis in other study and this association was stronger in women and participants aged 40-59 years. However, this was not found for calcium, vitamin A, B1, B2, and C, and iron intake below the recommendations [31]. Similarly, in the cross-sectional study by Park et al. [32] in young adults, inadequate vitamin B3 intake was significantly associated with periodontitis, which also occurred in the subgroups of women and current non-smokers. Furthermore, calcium nutritional deficiencies have been reported to increase susceptibility to periodontal disease. Calcium intake within age-specific recommendations was associated with a lower likelihood of severe periodontitis in adult participants of the Danish Health Examination Survey (DANHES) 2007-2008 [42].

In the present study, periodontitis was diagnosed according to the stage III and IV definition of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and periimplant Diseases and Conditions [11]. According to this diagnose our data show that the values of PD and CAL show the highest values in GP compared to LP and the lowest in NoP. Many cross-sectional studies categorized their samples into patients with moderate to severe periodontitis and those without periodontitis or a less severe form of this disease [18, 30]. Furthermore, the possible association with the severity of the disease that classifies individuals into different degrees of periodontitis has also been evaluated [21]. Sometimes, both strategies have been combined [18]. Among studies conducting alterative comparisons, the associations reached statistical significance when patients with more severe disease were compared with the rest of the population, including health attendants and patients with a mild or slight form of the disease. In particular, this occurred in the study by Nielsen et al. [18] who reported suggesting an association between the risk of severe periodontitis, but also the severity of periodontitis and the fiber intake. As here, the high prevalence of periodontitis and the extension of periodontitis in the analyzed population could condition the absence of significant associations with mild to severe periodontitis. In fact, mild or no periodontitis were grouped into the referent category to mitigate the risk of bias due to a potentially excessive prevalence of mild periodontitis in the population [45].

The associations between clinical parameters and dietary intake also evidenced the relationship between nutritional intake and the severity of periodontal disease. However, more ancient studies using additional clinical variables usually did not find many differences between nutritional intake categories or correlations with nutritional intake. No correlation was found between RPI (which scored the presence and severity of gingival bleeding and pocket depth) and the dietary intakes of phosphorus and potassium, but also iron, calcium vitamin A, B1, B2, B3, in patients from a dental clinic [46]. Another study in non-smokers subjects [41] reported no correlation between calcium intake and CPI score, although it was inversely associated with percentage of sites with BOP. Similarly, no association has been previously found between folate intake and CPI despite of it being suggested that it has an effect on BOP [33]. Here, differences in the proportion of site with a PD of 4 mm or more supported the role of fiber and potassium, but without other parameters. Therefore, choosing the appropriate oral health-related parameter to compare nutritional intake groups is a critical point.

However, if total energy intake is associated with the outcome, the adjustment for it is important in epidemiological analyses because it can operate as a confounding variable since intakes of most nutrients are associated with energy intake, often strongly. Even, it has been suggested that, if not associated with the outcome, differences in total energy intake can result in extraneous variation in nutrient intake due to individual differences in physical activity, body size, and metabolic efficiency. In this situation, failure to adjust for total energy intake can lead to misclassification of biologically important variation in nutrient intake and result in attenuation of associations [47, 48]. In the present study, the residual model that indirectly adjusts for total energy by using a residual was used to adjust for energy intake [47]. This estimates the average relative causal effect of total energy intake but provides biased estimates even in the absence of confounding. In the study that reported associations for potassium, but also iron and vitamins B6 and B12, single nutrient intakes were expressed as mean intakes per 1000 kcal of total energy [20]. A similar adjustment was made by Hamasaki et al. [26]. However, in most of the studies mentioned, no energy adjustment method was described [18, 21, 30, 32]. Moreover, the difficulties in interpreting the "nutrient density model" have been previously pointed out [47]. Differences in the models used or the absence of an adjustment for energy intake may also explain some of the apparent heterogeneity between existing nutritional studies. In fact, the intake of nutrients previously associated with higher odds of having any periodontal disease, at least in severe form, was also associated with severe periodontitis or periodontitis severity here after adjustment by smoking, such as vitamin B1, B2, B6 and iron.

However, the current study presents some limitations. First, the cross-sectional design does not allow for a longitudinal evaluation of the cause-and-effect relationship between exposure and outcome and therefore can only be used to build a hypothesis. Indeed, this design also does not allow us to properly investigate reverse causality (i.e., effect of periodontitis on nutritional capacity and dietary changes). Furthermore, no molecular parameters supporting the biological plausibility of such an association were registered. Second, FFQ estimated the nutrient and energy dietary intake to be not as accurate as other quantitative dietary assessment methods, and the usual portion size questions are prone to measurement error since they are based on generic memory [49]. This error can be at least partially mitigated by using a less biased dietary assessment method as a reference instrument. Importantly, FFQs would be less affected by reactivity, and if they are shorter FFQs, as in this case, they will have higher response rates and lower respondent burdens [50]. Unfortunately, such information only represents the current diet, which along with possible memory errors and bias may not represent the real nutritional status of the sample. Furthermore, FFQ collected dietary data using a context-specific food list to estimate the usual diet, and additional measurement errors can be introduced when food lists are not specific to the studied population and when they use inconsistent or imprecise portion sizes [51] or when food lists are not granular enough to make an accurate match to a food composition table to derive the nutrient content of the diet. However, FFQs were adapted and validated instruments for the context of this study were used. Furthermore, since the study population was selected among patients attending the Dental School of the University of Sevilla, the risk of selection bias could not be ruled out, which can reduce the generalizability of the study.

Conclusions

This study found a significant association between dietary intake of energy, fiber, potassium, and vitamin C intake and generalized periodontitis, as well as the extension of periodontitis. To our knowledge, this was the first study to report an inverse association between potassium intake and periodontitis. Adjusting nutrient intake could help prevent and treat periodontal disease in dental patients. Maintaining an adequate intake of fiber, potassium, and vitamin C could be important to controlling periodontitis. However, due to the cross-sectional design of the present study, at least new additional cohort studies should be conducted on groups of patients with similar characteristics before establishing any diet guidelines for populations.

Abbreviations

- BMI body mass index
- PD probing pocket depth
- CAL clinical attachment level
- NoP nonperiodontitis patients
- LP localized periodontitis
- GP generalized periodontitis
- FFQ semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

AV-L conceptualized the study, interpreted and analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript; BB contributed to the collection of the data and interpretation of the data; IG contributed to the collection of the data and interpretation of the data; JLQ contributed to the study conception and design; PB conceptualized the study, interpreted and analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the grant PID2019-106778RB-100, funded by MCIN/AEI/https://doi.org/10.13039/501100011033 FEDER "Una manera de hacer Europa".

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.In Methods is described that the study has been approved by the Local Research Committee Hospital Virgen Macarena Sevilla Spain with the identification number: 1588-N-20, 12-21-2016.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from each subject, the study protocol was in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 and was approved by the Local Research Committee Hospital Virgen Macarena Sevilla Spain with the identification number: 1588-N-20, 12-21-2016.

Consent for publication

Informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 9 February 2024 / Accepted: 20 May 2024 Published online: 30 May 2024

References

- Kinane DF, Stathopoulou PG, Papapanou PN. Periodontal diseases. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017;3:17038.
- Dietrich T, Sharma P, Walter C, Weston P, Beck J. The epidemiological evidence behind the association between periodontitis and incident atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. J Periodontol. 2013;84(4 Suppl):S70–84.
- Genco RJ, Borgnakke WS. Risk factors for periodontal disease. Periodontol 2000. 2013;62:59–94.
- Van Dyke TE, Dave S. Risk factors for Periodontitis. J Int Acad Periodontol. 2005;7:3–7.
- Varela-López A, Navarro-Hortal MD, Giampieri F, Bullón P, Battino M, Quiles JL. Nutraceuticals in Periodontal Health: a systematic review on the role of vitamins in Periodontal Health maintenance. Molecules. 2018;23:1226.
- Chauhan B, Kumar G, Kalam N, Ansari SH. Current concepts and prospects of herbal nutraceutical: a review. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2013;4:4–8.
- Santonocito S, Polizzi A, Palazzo G, Indelicato F, Isola G. Dietary factors affecting the prevalence and impact of Periodontal Disease. Clin Cosmet Investig Dent. 2021;13:283–92.
- Sanz M, Herrera D, Kebschull M, Chapple I, Jepsen S, Beglundh T, et al. Treatment of stage I-III periodontitis-the EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline. J Clin Periodontol. 2020;47(Suppl 22 Suppl 22):4–60.
- Taylor HL, Jacobs DR, Schucker B, Knudsen J, Leon AS, Debacker G. A questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. J Chronic Dis. 1978;31:741–55.
- 10. Ainamo J, Bay I. Problems and proposals for recording gingivitis and plaque. Int Dent J. 1975;25:229–35.
- Berglundh T, Armitage G, Araujo MG, Avila-Ortiz G, Blanco J, Camargo PM, et al. Peri-implant diseases and conditions: Consensus report of workgroup 4 of the 2017 World workshop on the classification of Periodontal and Periimplant diseases and conditions. J Periodontol. 2018;89(Suppl 1):S313–8.
- Fernández-Ballart JD, Piñol JL, Zazpe I, Corella D, Carrasco P, Toledo E, et al. Relative validity of a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire in an elderly Mediterranean population of Spain. Br J Nutr. 2010;103:1808–16.
- Agencia. Española De Seguridad Alimentaria Y Nutrición, Demométrica. S.L. Atlas Fotográfico Enalia. 2013.
- Dapcich V, Castell GS, Barba LR, Rodrigo CP, Bartrina JA, Serra-Majem L. Guía De La alimentación Saludable. Sociedad Española de Nutrición Comunitaria; 2004.
- 15. Russolillo G, Baladia E, Moñino M, Marques-Lopes I, Farran A, Bonany J, et al. Establecimiento Del tamaño de raciones de consumo de frutas y hortalizas para su uso en guías alimentarias en El entorno español: propuesta del Comité Científico de la Asociación 5 Al día. Rev Esp Nutr Humana Dietética. 2019;23:205–11.
- 16. Programa, Perseo. Estrategia NAOS. Guía de comedores escolares. 2008.
- Mataix Verdú J, Martinez de Victoria LG, Llopis E. J. Tabla De Composición De Alimentos. 4ª Edición. Granada: Universidad de Granada; 2003.
- Nielsen SJ, Trak-Fellermeier MA, Joshipura K, Dye BA. Dietary Fiber intake is inversely Associated with Periodontal Disease among US Adults12. J Nutr. 2016;146:2530–6.
- Staudte H, Kranz S, Völpel A, Schütze J, Sigusch BW. Comparison of nutrient intake between patients with periodontitis and healthy subjects. Quintessence Int Berl Ger 1985. 2012;43:907–16.
- Watson S, Woodside JV, Winning L, Wright DM, Srinivasan M, McKenna G. Associations between self-reported periodontal disease and nutrient intakes and nutrient-based dietary patterns in the UK Biobank. J Clin Periodontol. 2022;49:428–38.
- 21. Luo P-P, Xu H-S, Chen Y-W, Wu S-P. Periodontal disease severity is associated with micronutrient intake. Aust Dent J. 2018;63:193–201.
- 22. Li W, Song J, Chen Z. The association between dietary vitamin C intake and periodontitis: result from the NHANES (2009–2014). BMC Oral Health. 2022;22:390.
- O'Connor J-LP, Milledge KL, O'Leary F, Cumming R, Eberhard J, Hirani V. Poor dietary intake of nutrients and food groups are associated with increased risk of periodontal disease among community-dwelling older adults: a systematic literature review. Nutr Rev. 2020;78:175–88.
- Jeong J, Kim H-S, Lee D, Kim K, Kim Y-H. Association between four dietary patterns and the risk of Periodontal diseases: a systematic review and Metaanalysis. Nutrients. 2022;14:4362.
- Hwang S-Y, Jang J-H, Park J-E. Association between Healthy Lifestyle (Diet Quality, physical activity, normal body weight) and Periodontal diseases in Korean adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:3871.

- Hamasaki T, Kitamura M, Kawashita Y, Ando Y, Saito T. Periodontal disease and percentage of calories from fat using national data. J Periodontal Res. 2017;52:114–21.
- Hwang S-Y, Park J-E. The relationship between Periodontal Disease and Nutrient Intake in Korean adults: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES VII) from 2016–2018. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2022;20:313–20.
- Mewes L, Knappe C, Graetz C, Wagner J, Demetrowitsch TJ, Jensen-Kroll J, et al. Vitamin C and Omega-3 fatty acid intake is Associated with Human Periodontitis-A Nested Case-Control Study. Nutrients. 2022;14:1939.
- Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Okubo H, Hanioka T, Sasaki S, Miyatake N, et al. Calcium intake is associated with decreased prevalence of periodontal disease in young Japanese women. Nutr J. 2014;13:109.
- Li W, Shang Q, Yang D, Peng J, Zhao H, Xu H, et al. Abnormal micronutrient intake is Associated with the risk of Periodontitis: a dose-response Association Study based on NHANES 2009–2014. Nutrients. 2022;14:2466.
- Lee J-H, Lee S-A, Kim H-D. Periodontitis and intake of thiamine, riboflavin and niacin among Korean adults. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2020;48:21–31.
- 32. Park J-A, Lee J-H, Lee H-J, Jin B-H, Bae K-H. Association of some Vitamins and Minerals with Periodontitis in a nationally Representative Sample of Korean Young adults. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2017;178:171–9.
- Esaki M, Morita M, Akhter R, Akino K, Honda O. Relationship between folic acid intake and gingival health in non-smoking adults in Japan. Oral Dis. 2010;16:96–101.
- 34. Eklund SA, Burt BA. Risk factors for total tooth loss in the United States; longitudinal analysis of national data. J Public Health Dent. 1994;54:5–14.
- Nishida M, Grossi SG, Dunford RG, Ho AW, Trevisan M, Genco RJ. Dietary vitamin C and the risk for periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 2000;71:1215–23.
- Moreira ARO, Batista RFL, Ladeira LLC, Thomaz EBAF, Alves CMC, Saraiva MC, et al. Higher sugar intake is associated with periodontal disease in adolescents. Clin Oral Investig. 2021;25:983–91.
- Sidi AD, Ashley FP. Influence of frequent Sugar Intakes on Experimental Gingivitis. J Periodontol. 1984;55:419–23.
- Lula ECO, Ribeiro CCC, Hugo FN, Alves CMC, Silva AAM. Added sugars and periodontal disease in young adults: an analysis of NHANES III data. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014;100:1182–7.
- Lee M-R, Han S-J, Kim H-E, Choi J-S. Relationship between vitamin D Deficiency and Periodontitis in Korean adults aged ≥ 60 years: analysis of

data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2013–2014). Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:4181.

- Lee H-J, Je D-I, Won S-J, Paik D-I, Bae K-H. Association between vitamin D deficiency and periodontal status in current smokers. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2015;43:471–8.
- Nishida M, Grossi SG, Dunford RG, Ho AW, Trevisan M, Genco RJ. Calcium and the risk for periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 2000;71:1057–66.
- Adegboye AR, Boucher BJ, Kongstad J, Fiehn N-E, Christensen LB, Heitmann BL. Calcium, vitamin D, casein and whey protein intakes and periodontitis among Danish adults. Public Health Nutr. 2016;19:503–10.
- Li X-Y, Wen M-Z, Liu H, Shen Y-C, Su L-X, Yang X-T. Dietary magnesium intake is protective in patients with periodontitis. Front Nutr. 2022;9:976518.
- 44. Merchant AT, Pitiphat W, Franz M, Joshipura KJ. Whole-grain and fiber intakes and periodontitis risk in men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83:1395–400.
- Tran DT, Gay I, Du XL, Fu Y, Bebermeyer RD, Neumann AS, et al. Assessment of partial-mouth periodontal examination protocols for periodontitis surveillance. J Clin Periodontol. 2014;41:846–52.
- Freeland JH, Cousins RJ, Schwartz R. Relationship of mineral status and intake to periodontal disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 1976;29:745–9.
- Tomova GD, Arnold KF, Gilthorpe MS, Tennant PWG. Adjustment for energy intake in nutritional research: a causal inference perspective. Am J Clin Nutr. 2021;115:189–98.
- Willett W, Howe G, Kushi L. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997;65:S1220–8.
- Coates J, Colaiezzi B, Fiedler JL, Wirth J, Lividini K, Rogers B. A program needsdriven approach to selecting dietary assessment methods for decision-making in food fortification programs. Food Nutr Bull. 2012;33(3 Suppl):S146–156.
- Thompson FE, Subar AF. Chapter 1 Dietary Assessment Methodology. In: Coulston AM, Boushey CJ, Ferruzzi MG, Delahanty LM, editors. Nutrition in the Prevention and Treatment of Disease (Fourth Edition). Academic Press; 2017. pp. 5–48.
- Shim J-S, Oh K, Kim HC. Dietary assessment methods in epidemiologic studies. Epidemiol Health. 2014;36:e2014009.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.