RESEARCH

BMC Oral Health



Use of dental care services among adolescents living with HIV on antiretroviral treatment in Kampala, Uganda: a cross-sectional study



Maria Gorretti Nakyonyi^{1,3*}, Nancy Birungi⁴, Catherine Lutalo Mwesigwa³ and Anne Nordrehaug Åstrøm²

Abstract

Objective The primary purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence and socio-behavioral determinants of ever-use of dental care services among adolescents aged 10–18 years, living with HIV, on Antiretroviral treatment (ART), and attending selected HIV clinics in Kampala, Uganda.

Methods A cross-sectional study was carried out between March and September 2020. The study conveniently recruited 154 adolescents between 10 and 18 years old from 4 specific HIV clinics in Kampala. Andersen's behavioral model guided the selection of variables, with the ever-use of dental care services as the outcome and predisposing, enabling, need-related factors and personal dental health practices as exposure variables. Data were analyzed using Fischer's exact test for cross-tabulation and modified Poisson regression for multivariate analysis.

Results The prevalence of ever-use of dental care services was 12.3%. The adolescents aged 14–18 had higher odds of using dental care services (Prevalence ratio (PR) of 3.35 than those aged 10–13 years. Fear of the spread of HIV was negatively associated with ever-use of dental care services (PR of 0.06). Participants who were afraid of going to the dentist had higher odds of using dental care services (PR of 2.98) than those not afraid. Failure to receive dental treatment because it was not part of the medical appointment had a positive association with the ever-use of dental care services. The bad oral odor was positively associated with the ever-use of dental care services (PR of 2.80). The use of soap for toothbrushing was positively associated with the ever-use of dental care services (PR of 2.51).

Conclusion The study found a low frequency of dental care use among HIV-infected adolescents in Kampala, Uganda, with age being a predisposing factor. Enabling factors included fear of HIV spread, medical-dental appointment incoordination, and satisfaction with the dental condition and bad oral odor while under personal dental health practices. The use of soap for toothbrushing was an important association with dental care. Nevertheless, these study results cannot be generalized to the entire HIV adolescent population in Uganda.

Keywords Use of dental care services, HIV, ART, Adolescents, Kampala, Uganda

*Correspondence:

Maria Gorretti Nakyonyi

mnakyonyi@gmail.com

¹Center of International Health, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

²Institute of Odontology, University of Bergen-Department of Global Oral

Health, Bergen, Norway

³School of Dentistry, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

⁴Oral Health Center of Expertise, in Vestland County, Bergen, Norway



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

The Joint United Nations Program on HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS) reports that two of every seven new HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus) infections are among young people (15-24 years) [1]. The United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) reported that of the 400,010 HIV estimated incidence cases, 150,000 were adolescents aged 10–19 years [2]. . The Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Survey (UPHIA) of 2022 indicated that the current prevalence of HIV is 1.8% among those aged between 15 and 24 years [3]. By 2020, there were approximately 6,119 incident HIV infections in the Uganda adolescent population aged 10-19, with a prevalence of about 100,000 in the same group [4]. According to the HIV Investment framework for Uganda 2021-30, approximately 65% of children between 0 and 14 living with HIV are currently on Antiretroviral treatment (ART). Among those above 15 years, about 85% were on ART as of 2019 [4].

Oral health care is an integral part of HIV care, and the spectrum of HIV-associated opportunistic diseases occurring in the oral cavity propelled dental health care providers to the forefront of patient care [5]. Over 90% of individuals living with HIV will at least have one oral manifestation attributed to HIV infection during life [6].

The most common oral manifestations include pseudomembranous candidiasis, angular cheilitis, necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis and periodontitis, oral hairy leukoplakia, Kaposi sarcoma, human papillomavirus oral warts, common ulcerative conditions, and dental caries [7–9]. Oral diseases can cause pain, discomfort, altered taste, and burning sensations, affecting daily functions

like chewing, pronunciation, and socializing confidently [10].

Following the advent of ART, there has been a decrease in the prevalence of HIV-related oral lesions of 10–50% [11]. However, Scully et al. reported adverse oral health effects of antiretroviral drugs, including xerostomia, oral lichen lesions, erythema multiforme, and angular cheilitis from drugs like Didanosine and Zidovudine [12]. Xerostomia increases dental caries risk, causing oral health concerns for HIV-positive individuals [13].

Individuals living with HIV often face unmet dental care needs despite oral health issues significantly impacting their overall health and well-being [14]. Dental care has been reported to be one of the greatest unmet healthcare needs among individuals living with HIV [15]. Individuals living with HIV face a higher incidence and severity of dental disease, necessitating the need for accessible oral health care [5]. A study done in Uganda reported a caries prevalence of 80% in adolescents living with HIV taking ART compared to 67% in the general adult population [16]. Another study carried out in Uganda reported that Individuals living with HIV and taking ART had a dental treatment need of about 96% and a DMFT (Decayed, Missing, Filled Teeth) score of four [13]. People living with HIV (PLHIV) are now living longer due to ART and, hence, increased life expectancy [17]. Therefore, oral diseases are becoming increasingly important to manage in the HIV-infected population, necessitating easy access to dental care.

Andersen's Behavioural model for health care use helps comprehend the social, personal, and systemic elements impacting the use of health services (Fig. 1). It implies



Fig. 1 Illustration of Andersen's modified behavioral model. Sourced from Andersen 1995 and Ramraj 2012

that some people are more likely than others to use dental services because of need, enabling factors, predisposing factors, and personal dental health practices. These variables impact health outcomes and care satisfaction by determining the probability of individual health practices and service utilization. Andersen's behavioral model is a standard health theoretical tool for assessing the use of health care services, including dental care services, across several studies [18-21]. Uganda's National Minimum Health Care Package aims for Universal Health Coverage, providing preventive and curative services focusing on controlling non-communicable diseases and promoting oral health inclusivity [22]. However, despite efforts to manage specific NCDs in HIV/AIDS, the integration of oral health and HIV is still a significant concern [22]. The UHC allows free services at public facilities with user fees at private wings, but all private health facilities, including dental facilities, have 100% out-of-pocket expenditure [22]. The burden of oral health issues is exacerbated by a national policy that has not been revised in 17 years and a low budget allocation of less than 0.1% from the Ministry of Health [23]. With no HIV- oral health integration policy in the country, there is no specific budget for this. Uganda has a limited number of dentists, with only 300 serving the 44 million population. Private ownership makes dental clinics expensive, especially for marginalized groups [24]. A 2020 study revealed that 15% of Uganda's rural areas lack a public dental facility out of 97 districts [25]. Previous studies of oral health in PLHIV conducted in Uganda have focused on the oral health-related quality of life and oral manifestations in this category of patients [26-30]. A notable proportion of Ugandans living with HIV are 10-19 years old, underscoring the significance of youth for a nation's future [4]. In Uganda, the adolescent mark is 18 years, as anyone above that is considered an adult, hence the 10-18 age selection for this study [31]. Adolescents undergo various changes at the intellectual, developmental, and structural levels that, once modified in terms of oral health promotion, will be pivotal in an improved quality of adult life [32]. No known study has identified covariates about the ever-use of dental health care services among young people (adolescents) living with HIV in Uganda.

The primary purpose of this study is to assess the prevalence and socio-behavioral determinants of everuse of dental care services among adolescents living with HIV on ART, between 10 and 18 years of age, attending selected HIV clinics in Kampala, Uganda.

Methods

Study design

This was a cross-sectional study. It was a sub-study of a more extensive study (at this moment called parent study) entitled "Oral health quality of life and dental treatment needs among HIV+children and adolescents on ART attending selected HIV clinics in Kampala."

Study setting

The study was carried out in Kampala, the capital city of Uganda. Kampala has 1,497 health facilities, with only 57 offering ART services. Of these, only six health facilities offering ART are directly managed by the Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA) [33]. This study selected participants from the same clinics as the parent study. These clinics had previously been purposively selected, according to the many patients receiving ART from them and the authorization of KCCA. The participants were selected from four HIV clinics: Mulago Immune Suppressive Syndrome (ISS) clinic, Kawaala Health Centre IV, Kisenyi Health Centre IV, and Kiswa Health Centre III, which acted as clusters. It was carried out between March and September 2020.

Study participants

The inclusion criteria for this sub-study were the following: (i) Participants had to be part of the parent study; (ii) they were 10–18 years old as of March to September 2020; (iii) they were HIV positive; (iv) they had to be aware of their HIV seropositivity, (v) they had to be attending HIV clinics Kawaala, Mulago, Kisenyi, and Kiswa, (v) they were available via the telephone; and (vi) they had to be available at the time the study was conducted.

Variables

Dependent variable

The dependent variable of this study was the ever-use of dental services, which was phrased as "ever-visit the dentist" in the questionnaire. It was defined as if the participant had ever visited the dentist in their lifetime.

Independent variables

As guided by Andersen's Behavioural model, the independent variables included predisposing factors: age, gender, education level, socioeconomic status, and home description. Enabling factors: fear of going to the dentist, knowledge of dental facility next to their residence, knowledge of dental facility next to HIV center, failure to get dental treatment because it was not part of the medical appointment, illness from other conditions, avoidance of dental care due to cost, responsible person in decision making. Need-related factors include overall general health, perception about teeth and mouth health, satisfaction with dental health state, need for dental treatment, dental and mouth pain, bleeding gums, and bad oral odor. Personal dental health practices; tooth brushing frequency and brushing materials (toothpaste, soap, salt, ash, local herbs, urine, nothing).

Data collection, quality management, and storage

A pilot study involved 15 participants aged 10 to 18 years (not included among the 154 adolescents who officially participated in the main study). The pilot checked the questionnaire for suitability and usability. All unclear questions that confused participants were revised to aid easy comprehension. For example, questions concerning participants' living conditions were modified to *"How would you describe the state of your home?"* Additional questions that had been developed and added to the questionnaire, like materials used for toothbrushing, i.e., local herbs, urine, ash, salt, and soap, were all piloted, aiding validation and hence adaptation for this study. On the other hand, questions like immigration status and insurance coverage were not included in the questionnaire as they did not apply to the study population.

Interviews

This study was strictly based on telephone interviews due to the COVID-19 rules and guidelines [34]. Each interview lasted for about 30 min. A structured questionnaire that guided the interview was used using the Open Data Kit form [35] that had been standardized for all participants. Andersen's behavioral model guided the selection of variables used to determine access and use of dental services among the selected participants [36]. Additional variables were included from previous studies and adapted to suit the Ugandan setting [21, 37, 38]. Two research assistants underwent 7- days of face-to-face training in using the Open Data Kit form, and they were also trained on how to conduct telephone interviews in both English and Luganda. The principal investigator also trained them to ask the participants different questions. These trainings were done both before and after the pilot study. They each described the study objectives and outcomes for standardization of the tool. They were given electronic tablets, Samsung Galaxy SM- T285 S# R52J60MZ22V and R52JB215×8TA, and trained on how to use them. Both these devices were loaded with the standardized questionnaire in an ODK form and were used uniformly for all study participants. The main data collection started following uniformity and synchrony between the two research assistants as judged by the principal investigator.

The questionnaire included socio-demographic characteristics, factors affecting the use of dental care services, personal dental health practices, general and HIV-related concerns, and attitudes, and it included the outcome variable "ever-visit—the dentist."

Parents or caregivers were asked if the child was aware of their HIV serostatus at the start of interviews to exclude those not aware, as some parents withheld information about the serostatus and explained other reasons for frequent treatment. The questionnaire was initially constructed in English and then translated into Luganda by a local translator. Later, five independent people (the principal project study investigator, two research assistants, and two pilot study participants) reviewed the accuracy of the language translation, with language changes being made at every step. Back translation from Luganda back to English was carried out informally through analysis of questions if they meant the same in English. The questionnaire was marked reliable and valid after all five independent people agreed to the different questions without any discrepancy. The telephone interviews were conducted mainly in the locally spoken language, Luganda, and a few in English.

Bias

An electronic data collection tool called Open Data Kit minimized human error during data collection. It had an incorporated authentication process at the point of data entry. Standardized training of research assistants was carried out to control for information bias.

Study size

The sampling frame for this sub-study was 400 adolescents aged 10–18 who attended the four selected HIV clinics from March to September 2020. The sample size was estimated using an online calculator at a 95% confidence level and a significance level of 0.05; the calculation was based on the premise that the estimated population proportion of HIV-infected adolescents who used dental care was 50% [39]. The minimum sample size required for this study was 197 adolescents [39].

$$n' = \frac{\mathbf{n}}{1 + (z^2 * p(1-p))/\varepsilon^2 N}$$

From this formula, z is the z score, ε is the margin of error, N is the population size, and p is the proportion [39].

Quantitative variables

Among predisposing factors, gender was categorized into boys (0) and girls (1). Age data was collected as a continuous variable (10–18 years). It was later dichotomized into two groups: 10–13 years (1) or 14–18 years (2). Age categories were dichotomized based on the level of intellectual capability. Most Ugandan adolescents in the 10–13 age bracket are in the primary level of education versus 14–18, who are at the secondary level, hence possible differences between the age groups. These age categories were later used to analyze enabling factors for using dental care services specific to the age groups (however, *outside of this article*). The level of education for the participants (10–18 years) had six options, and these included: Primary 1–3 (1), Primary 4–7 (2), Senior 1–4 (3), Senior 5 or 6 (4), Vocational courses (5) and those not attending school (6). These were categorized into 3: those not attending school and those with no formal school (0). Those attending primary (1-3) and primary (4-7) were categorized as attending the primary level of education (1). At the same time, those attending senior (1-4) and senior (5 or 6) were categorized under those attending secondary level of education (2). Vocational courses were categorized under the secondary level.

The socioeconomic status was assessed using a wealth index that assessed ownership of household items by Filmer et al. [40]. The participants were asked if they possessed the items or not, with categories "yes (1) or no (0)" per item. A participant was recorded as possessing the item only if the item was functioning. The items included a television, electricity, a bicycle, the availability of water, a motor car, a flush toilet, a mobile phone, a computer, a radio, a motorcycle, and a refrigerator. Five quintiles were generated using principal component analysis (PCA), with 1 representing the poorest and five the richest quintile. These were further categorized into least poor (1) and poorest (0) quintiles. The least poor category (^{fourth and fifth} quintiles) represented participants from the fairly "well-to-do-families, not necessarily rich."

In contrast, the poorest category (1st to 3rd quintiles) represented participants from the "badly-off" families as assessed by item possession. The participants were then asked to give a perception of how they would describe their homes to assess their living conditions subjectively. The question asked was, "*How would you describe the state of your home*?" Home description had five options, which included very good (1), good (2), bad (3), very bad (4), and I do not know (5). These were categorized into two categories: good (1) for categories "*very good*" and "*good*" and bad (2) for categories "*bad*" and "*very bad*." Those who responded, "I do not know," could not describe the state of their home.

Under enabling factors, the questions asked were, "Do you fear going to the dentist?" This had three options (1-3); I do not fear (1), I fear a little (2), and very fearful (3), and these were re-categorized into 2; "yes (1)" for both little fear and very fearful and "no (0)" for no fear. Other questions asked included, "Have you avoided dental care due to your HIV status?" "Have you avoided dental care due to fear of the spread of HIV?" "Have you failed to get dental treatment because it is not part of your medical appointment?" "Have you avoided dental care due to cost?"

These questions had responses with four options (1-4), and these included yes, several times (1), yes, a few times (2), no, never (3), and I do not know (4), and these were categorized into 2; "yes (1) or no (0)", "yes" for both several times and a few times and "no" for "no, never." All

responses with "I do not know" were added to the most frequent response.

The question, "Who decides whether you are to see a dentist or not if you have pain in your teeth or mouth?" had the following responses: parents (1), myself (2), my caregiver (3), my teacher (4) and I do not know (5). These were categorized into 2: parents/teachers/caregivers (0) and myself (1). Under need-related factors, questions included; "how would you rate your health in general?" "How would you rate the health of your teeth and mouth?" Both of these questions had five options: poor (1), fair (2), good (3), very good (4), and excellent (5). These were further categorized into three: poor (1), fair (2), or good (3). Good represented those that responded either "good, very good, or excellent." Satisfaction with oral health state was assessed via four parameters. These included very satisfied (1), satisfied (2), dissatisfied (3), and very dissatisfied (4), and these were categorized into two options: either satisfied (1) for those that responded "very satisfied and satisfied" or dissatisfied (0) for those that responded "dissatisfied and very dissatisfied." For the factors under personal dental health practices, the toothbrushing frequency had six responses (1-6). These included the following: never (1), several times a month (2-3 times) (2), once a week (3), several times a week (2-6 times) (4), once a day (5) and two or more times a day (6). These were then grouped into two: those who occasionally brush (0) and those who brush two or more times a day (1). Brush occasionally (0) for those who brush once aweek, several times a week, and once a day. Those that brushed two or more times a day were categorized as (1).

Other questions included: *"What do you use to clean your teeth?"* Brushing materials assessed included soap, salt, urine, local herbs, ash, toothpaste, and nothing. These items were renamed in Excel workbook 2003(*xlsx), and each item was dichotomized into yes (1) for those who used that item for brushing or no (0) for those who did not use that item. These items were then assessed in terms of how many participants who used each brushing item reported the ever-use of dental care services. Response categories for the ever-use of dental care services were "yes" (1) and "no" (0).

Those who confirmed dental attendance were later subgrouped and followed up with the question, "*When was your last visit to the dentist?*" Responses were given as (1) less than six months ago, (2) 6–12 months ago, (3) more than a year but less than two years ago, (4) 2–5 years ago, and (5) more than five years ago.

These were categorized into those that had visited the dentist less than a year ago (1), between 1 and 2 years ago (2), or more than two years ago (3). Reasons for the last dental visit included mandatory school check-ups or routine check-ups, emergency (tooth injury), emergency (toothache), having a tooth (teeth) pulled, filling, root

canal, or others. They were renamed and dichotomized into "yes (1)" for those whose responses were positive for a particular reason or "no (0)" for those with negative responses for a reason.

The duration at the dental facility had five options, which were categorized into 3: less than 1 h (1), 1 to 2 h (2), or three or more hours (3). Average travel cost was assessed under five options (1-5). The options included the following: less than Ug. Sh. 4,000 (1), between Ug. Sh. 4100-10,000 (2), no money spent (3), more than 10,000 (4), and I do not know (5). These were categorized as follows: no money spent (0), less than Ug. Sh. 4,000 (1), between Ug. Sh. 4100-10,000 (2) or more than 10,000 (3). The oral health care provider's treatment of the participants was also assessed, and there were five options (1–5). These included very good (1), good (2), average (3), below average (4), and do not know (5) that were categorized into 3; good (1), average (2) or poor (3). "Very good (1) and good (2)" responses were put under the "good (1)" (1)option.

NB: All "I do not know" responses were added to the most frequent categories. (*Refer to supplementary file*)

Statistical methods

Data were analyzed using the Statistical package Stata/SE 17.0 [41]. Categorical variables were summarized as percentages, while continuous variables were summarized with mean, medians/range. Cross-tabulations were done using Fischer's exact test [42]. A simple modified Poisson

Table 1	Distribution of study variables in total and by gender
among1	D-18-year-old adolescents ($n = 154$)

Variables	Total	Male	Fe-	
	(<i>n</i> = 154)	(n=89)	male	
			(n=65)	
Continuous variables Median (IQR)				
Age (years)	14(10–18)	14(10– 18)	14(10– 18)	
Categorical Variables frequency (%)				
Age categories				
10–13	38.3	38.2	38.5	
14–18	61.7	61.8	61.5	
Education level				
No formal school	7.1	9.0	4.6	
Primary level	54.6	46.1	66.2	
Secondary level	38.3	44.9	29.2	
Socioeconomic status				
Poorest	58.4	64.0	50.8	
Least poor	41.6	36.0	49.2	
Home Description				
Good	57.1	52.8	63.1	
Bad	42.9	47.2	36.9	
Ever-use of dental care				
No	87.7	87.6	87.7	
Yes	12.3	12.4	12.3	

model was used for bivariate analysis. In contrast, multivariable modified Poisson regression was used to determine factors associated with every use of dental care at a 95% confidence interval and 0.05 significance level. The measure of association was in terms of Incidence Risk Ratio (IRR) from the multivariate modified Poisson model that was interpreted as the prevalence ratio (PR) for this study. At the multivariate analysis stage, all variables related to predisposing, enabling, need-related factors, and personal dental health practices with a more likely association with the ever-use of dental care (p-value ≤ 0.2) in unadjusted analyses were included in the model.

In addition, the 4 clusters (which represented the four different clinics to which the participants belonged) were adjusted for using clustered robust standard errors incorporated in the Poisson regression model. Both checks for multicollinearity and goodness of fit of the model, including data normality, were done.

Results

Participants

A total of 246 adolescents were conveniently contacted according to the availability of their telephone contacts and consented to participate in a telephone interview. Of these, 44 participants either had the wrong telephone numbers or their numbers were inaccessible. These 44 participants were excluded from the study and excluded in the analysis, leaving 202 participants. However, 48 of these participants were not aware of their HIV -positive serostatus; hence, they were also excluded. The exclusion of these participants created a discrepancy in the sample size required for this study, leaving only 154 participants; hence, the participant was 62.6% (154/246).

Descriptive data

Table 1 describes the total distribution of study variables according to gender. A total of 154 adolescents aged between 10 and 18 participated in this study. The data had a normal distribution, with the median and mean age being 14 and 14.3 years, respectively, while the range was 10 to 18 years. No variable had missing data in this study.

Outcome data

The prevalence of ever-use of dental care services among the study participants was 12.3%.

Main results

Table 2 depicts the bivariate analysis of the predisposing factors of the ever-use of dental care services in terms of socio-demographic characteristics. As shown, at cross-tabulation, the ever-use of dental care services did not associate significantly with any of the predisposing

Table 2 Percentages of adolescents, 10-18 years, confirming the ever-use of dental care services according to predisposing factors. Fisher's exact test (n = 154)

Variable	Ever visite	P value	
	Yes %	No %	-
Gender	57.9	57.8	1.00
Male	42.1	42.2	
Female			
Age	26.3	40.0	0.32
10–13			
14–18	73.7	60.0	
Education level			
No formal school	5.3	7.4	0.14
Primary level	36.8	57.0	
Secondary level	57.9	35.6	
Socio-economic status			
Poorest	57.9	58.5	1.00
Least poor	42.1	41.5	
Home Description			
Good	63.2	56.3	0.63
Bad	36.8	43.7	

factors despite the remarkable differences between the groups.

According to Table 3, the ever-use of dental care services was statistically significantly associated with avoidance of dental care services due to the HIV status, the fear of HIV spread, failure to get dental treatment because it is not part of the medical treatment, and illness from other medical conditions.

As depicted in Table 4, the ever-use of dental care was statistically significantly associated with the following need-related factors: perception of overall health in general, perception of the health of teeth and mouth, and satisfaction with the health of mouth and teeth.

Personal dental health practices

As shown in Table 5, when participants were assessed for personal dental health practices, the unadjusted analysis showed that none of the personal dental health practices was statistically significantly associated with the ever-use of dental care services.

Multivariate analysis

Table 6 summarizes the regression analysis of the everuse of dental care services using modified Poisson regression models. Age, afraid of going to the dentist, failure to get dental treatment because it was not part of the medical appointment, and bad oral odour were positively associated with ever-use of dental care services. Satisfaction with teeth condition and avoidance of dental care services due to fear of the spread of HIV were negatively associated with the ever-use of dental care services. The multivariate model was tested for goodness-of-fit using a pseudo -R squared value. Pseudo -R-squared value was

Table 3 Percentages of participants confirming the ever-use of
dental care services according to enabling factors, Fisher's exact
test, $(n = 154)$

Variable		Ever visited	
		entist	value
	Yes %	No %	
Afraid of going to the dentist			
No	78.9	89.6	
Yes	21.1	10.4	0.24
Knowledge of the Dental facility next to your residence			
No	63.2	68.9	
Yes	36.8	31.1	0.61
Knowledge of the Dental facility next to the HIV Centre			
No	26.3	28.9	
Yes	73.7	71.1	1.00
Have you ever avoided dental care due to your HIV status?			
No	78.9	97.0	0.01
Yes	21.1	3.0	
Have you avoided dental care due to fear of the spread of HIV?			
No	89.5	99.3	0.04
Yes	10.5	0.7	
Failure to get dental treatment because it is not part of the medical appointment			
No	78.9	100.0	
Yes	21.1	0.0	0.00
Illness from other conditions			
No	78.9	97.8	
Yes	21.1	2.2	0.01
Have you ever avoided dental care due to cost?			
No	73.7	86.7	
Yes	26.3	13.3	0.17
Responsible person in decision-making			
Parents/caregiver/teacher	94.7	85.9	
Myself	5.3	14.1	0.47

26. In addition, there was no multicollinearity among variables, and the Mean Inflation Factor (VIF) was 2.54.

Frequency distribution of oral health and related characteristics of those who had ever visited the dentist

As illustrated in Table 7, tooth extraction was the main reason for the last dental visit. Participants who had ever visited the dentist were assessed on various factors related to dental care utilization, such as travel cost, time spent at the dental facility, and attitude of the dental health care providers. **Table 4** Percentages of adolescents 10-18 years confirming the ever-use of dental care services according to need-related factors. Fisher's exact test (n = 154)

/ariable Ever visited the dentist		visited entist	P- value
	Yes	No	
	%	%	
Perception about overall general health			
Poor	15.8	3.7	
Fair	31.6	22.2	
Good	52.6	74.1	0.04*
Perception about the health of teeth and mouth			
Bad	36.8	6.7	
Fair	26.3	40.7	
Good	36.8	52.6	0.00**/0.002
Satisfaction teeth			
Dissatisfied	78.9	48.9	
Satisfied	21.1	51.1	0.02*
Need for dental treatment.			
No	21.1	30.4	
Yes	78.9	69.6	0.59
Dental and mouth pain			
No	47.4	58.5	0.46
Yes	52.6	41.5	
Bleeding gums			
No	68.4	77.0	0.40
Yes	31.6	23.0	
Bad oral odor			
No	68.4	83.0	0.21
Yes	31.6	17.0	

NB: At bivariate analysis; **p < 0.001, *p < 0.05

Discussion

The study found that Ugandan adolescents living with HIV use dental care services slightly above 10%, influenced by the predisposing, enabling, need factors, and personal dental health practices as defined by Andersen's behavioral model [36]. The study found that age, fear of dental visits, avoidance of dental care due to fear of HIV spread, failure to get dental treatment because it was not part of medical appointments, and bad oral odor, were positively associated with the ever-use of dental care services. Satisfaction with the dental condition and fear of HIV spread were negatively associated with ever-use. Andersen's behavioral model explained 26% of the variance in dental care use, with enabling factors being more significant. Personal dental health practices were the least important in ever-use of dental care services.

The study's strengths included exploring the use of dental care services among a vulnerable population with scarce information. It used interviews to gather data, avoiding confusion [43], and being part of a larger parent study allowed for easier recruitment and data collection. The study utilized mobile phones to address sensitive

Table 5 Percentages of adolescents, 10-18 years, confirming ever-use of dental care services according to personal dental health practices, Fisher's exact test, (n = 154)

Variable	Ever visit	P-value	
	dentist		
	Yes %	No %	_
Tooth brushing frequency			
Brush occasionally	36.8	41.5	
Brush two or more times daily	63.2	58.5	0.81
Brushing materials			
Use of soap			
No	78.9	92.6	
Yes	21.1	7.4	0.07
Use of salt			
No	89.5	79.3	
Yes	10.5	20.7	0.37
Use of local herbs			
No	100.0	97.8	
Yes	0.0	2.2	1.00
Use of Ash			
No	84.2	77.0	
Yes	15.8	23.0	0.57
Use of Toothpaste			
No	5.3	2.2	
Yes	94.7	97.8	0.41

HIV and dental care questions without victimization, promoting privacy and potentially increasing response rates [44]. The Open data kit minimized human error during data collection through authentication, eliminating item non-response [45]. The study also used novel statistical methods to minimize model misspecification bias [46]. The study found that ever-use of dental care services is a less rare outcome (prevalence greater than 10%); hence, ordinary logistic regression could have overestimated associations. Therefore, Poisson regression was preferred over ordinary logistic regression and modified to use robust standard errors to factor in the binary outcomes [46, 47]. The study used Andersen's behavioral model as a theoretical framework, ensuring the validity of the study variables. The 26% variance indicated a good fit for the data, but it also explains other variables as important determinants of dental care use among HIV adolescents, not included in this study.

Nevertheless, the study had some limitations. The findings could have been skewed by potential confounding factors and the nature of the study design as it did not establish causal relationships but risk indicators [48]. Andersen's behavioral model lacks standardized variables under the different categories, making it less suitable for comparison with other studies [18]. The study's findings may have been influenced by recall bias [49], social desirability bias, selection bias, insufficient sample size, and unit non-response, which may have compromised the accuracy of the study findings. Participants were

Table 6 Predisposing, enabling, need-related factors, personal dental health practices associated with the ever-use of dental care services among HIV-positive adolescents 10-18 years. Modified Poisson regression analysis (n = 154)

Variable	PR	95%Cl	P-value
Predisposing factors			
Gender	1	1	
Male			
Female	1.43	0.74-2.78	0.28
Age			
10–13	1	1	
14–18	3.35	1.48–7.59	0.04
Enabling factors			
Afraid of going to the dentist			
No	1	1	
Yes	2.98	1.41-6.30	0.04
Have you ever avoided dental care due to your HIV status?			
No	1	1	
Yes	1.87	0.92-3.80	0.08
Have you avoided dental care due to fear of the spread of HIV?			
No	1	1	
Yes	0.06	0.01-0.44	0.01
Have you ever avoided dental care due to			
cost?			
No	1	1	
Yes	0.61	0.25-1.45	0.27
Failure to get dental treatment because it is not part of the medical appointment			
No	1	1	
Yes	4.50	1.14-17.80	0.03
Illness from other conditions			
No	1	1	
Yes	8.21	0.70-96.90	0.10
Need related factors			
Perception about the health of teeth and mouth			
Bad	1	1	
Fair	0.18	0.02-1.77	0.14
Good	1.08	0.60-1.95	0.79
Perception about overall general health			
Bad	1	1	0.59
Fair	0.82	0.39–1.72	0.06
Good	0.55	0.30-1.01	
Satisfaction with teeth condition			
No	1	1	
Yes	0.21	0.05-0.94	0.04
Bad oral odor			
No	1	1	
Yes	2.80	1.19-6.60	0.02
Personal dental health practices			
Use of soap			
No	1	1	
Yes	2.51	1.47-4.28	0.00
PR- Prevalence Ratio CI- Confidence Interval			

asked about the ever-use of dental care services, which could have led to misunderstandings about childhood experiences. Previous exposure to free dental screening and emergency treatment by study participants may have overestimated ever-use prevalence. The lack of test-retest, inter and intra-examiner reliability checks for consistency over time of the questionnaire and research assistants, respectively, could have compromised the reliability of the study findings despite the vigilant training of research participants and use of an open data kit to control for inter-observer variation [50].

Additionally, the study's selection bias could have been influenced by the inclusion of a limited number of participants, potentially compromising the precision of the findings [51]. The study's generalizability to a larger population of adolescents with HIV and ART in Kampala or Uganda may be limited due to insufficient sample size, selection bias at both facility and individual levels, and unit non-response. Nevertheless, the selection of HIV centers was based on ART coverage [33].

There have been variations reported in the prevalence of use of dental care services among the HIV population, contrary to the 12.3% reported in this study. With 19%, 8%, 9%, and 18.5% in China, Nigeria, Uganda, and Tanzania respectively [13, 52–54]. The differences could be attributed to differences in study populations, i.e., adolescent versus adult HIV populations, economic situations, dental accessibility, and health financing systems in the different regions [55, 56]. Similar to this study, some studies have reported an association between older age and better oral health care retention [57]. Inconsistent with this study, previous studies have shown a negative association between fear of dentists and utilization of dental care services among adults living with HIV [53, 58-60]. These differences may be attributed to age and cultural variations. Similar to this study, a Sudan study reported that 75% of dental patients fear HIV transmission at dental facilities [21]. A study in Florida also found that adults with HIV experienced treatment fatigue due to overwhelming appointments with doctors and dentists [59]. Another study in Northern California found that 21% of women living with HIV failed to book dental appointments, and poor oral health perception had a negative influence on the use of dental care services [60]. Studies have shown that dental condition satisfaction positively influences dental care service use in the general adult population of unknown HIV status, not necessarily the HIV adolescent population [37]. Other similar findings from other studies include extractions for dental caries management, with emergency toothache being the primary reason for visiting the dentist, long waiting times for appointments, and discrimination concerns due to HIV [21, 59, 61, 62].

StraightFrequency (prime)ResumptionRequencyMadatoyachatakakakakakakakakakakakakakakakakakaka
Reason for the last dental visit Is (94.7) Mandatory school check-ups 18 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Emergency toothache 7 (36.8) No 7 (36.8) Yes 12 (63.2) Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction) 4 (21.1) Yes 15 (78.9) Filling 1 No 4 (84.2) Yes 3 (15.8) Rot canal 1 No 18 (94.7) Yes 3 (15.8) Fort canal 1 No 16 (84.2) Yes 1 (5.3) Coters 1 (5.3) No 1 (8 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Others 1 (5.3) No 1 (8 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Less than one year 1 (8 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Less than one year 1 (6 (84.2) More than one year but less than two years 1 (5.3) Site of dental services 1 (5.1)
Nandatory school check-ups18 (94.7)No16.3)Emergency toothace16.3)No76.80Yes16.20Having tech pulled (tooth extraction)12 (10.1)No40.11Yes15 (78.9)Filling16 (84.2)No3 (15.8)Rod conda16 (84.2)Yes3 (15.8)No16 (84.2)Yes16 (84.2)Yes16 (84.2)Yes16 (84.2)No16 (84.2)Yes16 (84.2)
No18 (94.7)Yes1 (5.3)Emergency toothache7 (36.8)Yes7 (36.8)Yes1 (30.1)Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction)4 (21.1)Yes1 (5 (78.9)Yes1 (5 (78.9)Filling1 (6 (84.2)No1 (6 (84.2)Yes1 (5 (78.9)No1 (5 (78.9)No1 (8 (94.7)Yes1 (8 (94.7)Yes1 (9 (94.7))No1 (9 (94.7))Yes1 (9 (94.7))
Yes15.3)Emergency toothache7.36.8)No7.36.8)Yes12.63.2)Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction)4.21.1)No4.21.1)Yes16.80.2)Filing16.80.2)No16.80.2)Rot canal18.90.2)No18.90.2)So canal18.90.2)No16.30.2)Yes18.90.2)Yes18.90.2)Yes18.90.2)So canal18.90.2)No18.90.2)Yes18.90.2)So canal18.90.2)No18.90.2)Yes18.90.2)Yes18.90.2)Yes too neyear18.90.2)Yes too neyear tou tees than two years19.60.2)Yore than two years19.60.2)Stie of dental services19.12
Emergency toothache7 (36.8)No12 (30.2)Yes12 (30.2)Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction)4 (21.1)No12 (30.2)Yes12 (30.2)Filling16 (42.2)No3 (30.2)Rod canal18 (47.2)No18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes18 (47.2)Yes19 (30.2)Yes19 (30.2)Yes tenden year19 (30.2)Yes tenden year13 (68.4)Yes tenden year Statemation13 (68.4)Yes tende year Statemation13 (68.4)Yes tende year Statemation13 (68.4)Yes tende year Statemation13 (68.4)Yes tende year Statemation13 (68.4)Yes
No7(36.8)Yes12 (63.2)Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction)4 (21.1)No4 (21.1)Yes15 (78.9)Filling16 (84.2)No16 (84.2)Yes3 (15.8)Rot canal1No1 (5.3)Others1No18 (94.7)Yes18 (94.7)Yes19 (94.7)Yes19 (94.7)Yes19 (94.7)Yes than one year but less than two years13 (68.4)More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)Yore than two years10 (94.7)Site of dental services10 (94.7)
Yes12 (63.2)Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction)4No4 (21.1)Yes15 (78.9)Filling6No6 (84.2)Rot canal8No8Yes15 (78.9)Others15 (78.9)No18 (94.7)Yes18 (94.7)Yes19 (94.7)
Having teeth pulled (tooth extraction)4 (21.1)No15 (78.9)Filing16 (84.2)No16 (84.2)Yes3 (15.8)Rot canal18 (94.7)No18 (94.7)Yes15.3)Others18 (94.7)No18 (94.7)Yes19 (91.7)No19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)No19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Nore than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than one year but less than two years19 (91.7)Yes than two years19 (91.7)<
No4(21.)Yes15 (78.9)Filling16 (84.2)No3 (15.8)Fot canal3 (15.8)No18 (94.7)Yes1 (5.3)Others1 (5.3)No1 (5.3)Yes1 (5.3)Sta dental visit1 (5.3)Less than one year but less than two years13 (68.4)More than up year sub less than two years13 (68.4)Site of dental services12 (1.1)
Yes15 (78.9)Filling16 (84.2)No16 (84.2)Root canal18 (94.7)No18 (94.7)Yes18 (94.7)Others18 (94.7)No18 (94.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Subscription18 (94.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)
FilingNo16 (84.2)Yes3 (15.2)Root canal18 (94.7)No18 (94.7)Yes15.3)Others18 (94.7)No18 (94.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes19 (91.7)Yes than one year19 (81.7)More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)Site of dental services10 (91.7)
No16 (84.2)Yes3 (15.8)Root canalNo18 (94.7)Yes15.3)OthersNo18 (94.7)Yes18 (94.7)Yes18 (94.7)Yes15.3)Less than one year16 (39.1)More than one year but less than two years3 (68.4)More than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)
Yes 3(15.8) Root canal 18 (94.7) No 15.3) Others 15.3) No 18 (94.7) Yes 15.3) Dthers 15.3) State dental visit 15.3) Less than one year 16.3) More than one year but less than two years 3(68.4) More than one years but less than two years 4(21.1) More than two years 2(10.5) Site of dental services 3(15.3)
Root canal 18 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Others 18 (94.7) No 18 (94.7) Yes 18 (94.7) Yes 18 (94.7) Yes 18 (94.7) Yes 18 (94.7) Stat dental visit 18 (94.7) Less than one year 18 (94.7) More than one year but less than two years 13 (68.4) More than one years but less than two years 4 (21.1) More than years 2 (10.5)
No 18 (94.7) Yes 1(5.3) Others 18 (94.7) No 18 (94.7) Yes 15.3) Last dental visit 15.3) Less than one year 13 (68.4) More than one year but less than two years 13 (68.4) More than one year but less than two years 2 (10.5) Site of dental services 310.5
Yes 1 (5.3) Others 18 (94.7) No 18 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Last dental visit 1 (5.3) Less than one year 1 (68.4) More than one year but less than two years 1 (61.1) More than use years 1 (21.1) More than two years 2 (10.5)
Others No 18 (94.7) Yes 1 (5.3) Last dental visit 1 Less than one year 13 (68.4) More than one year but less than two years 4 (21.1) More than two years 2 (10.5) Site of dental services 5
No18 (94.7)Yes1 (5.3)Last dental visit1 (68.4)Less than one year13 (68.4)More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)Site of dental services
Yes1 (5.3)Last dental visit13 (68.4)Less than one year13 (68.4)More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)Site of dental services
Last dental visitLess than one year13 (68.4)More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)Site of dental services
Less than one year13 (68.4)More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)Site of dental services
More than one year but less than two years4 (21.1)More than two years2 (10.5)Site of dental services2
More than two years 2 (10.5) Site of dental services
Site of dental services
Private 7 (36.8)
Public 12 (63.2)
Means of transport to the dental facility
Walking 5 (26.3)
Bus/taxi 8 (42.1)
Bicvcle 2 (10.5)
Motorcycle 4 (21.1)
Time at the dental facility
Less than 1 h 2 (10.5)
Between 1 h to 2 h 4 (21.1)
More than 3 h 13 (68.4)
Travel cost to the dental facility
No money spent 2 (10.5)
Less than Ug. Sh. 4,000 4 (21.1)
Between Ug. Sh. 4100–10.000 9 (47.4)
More than 10.000 4 (21.0)
Did you feel the dental team listened well and gave you time to explain your problem?
No 4(21.1)
Yes 15 (78.9)
Has the dentist ever asked your HIV serostatus before offering dental treatment?
No 14 (73.7)
Yes 5 (26.3)
Have you ever hidden/withheld your HIV status from the dentist before receiving dental treatment?
No 18 (94.7)
Yes 1 (5 3)
Have you ever felt dissatisfied with the dental care you received because of your HIV status?
No 17 (89 5)
Yes 2 (10.5)

Table 7 (continued)

Variable	Frequen-
	cy n (%)
Have you ever felt the dental care rendered to you was different from your friends and family whom you think do not have HIV?	16 (84.2)
No	3 (15.8)
Yes	
In general, how would you describe the way your dentist treated you?	3 (15.8)
Poor	4 (21.0)
Average	12 (63.2)
Good	

This study's low utilization rate of dental services may be due to limited awareness and availability of dentists [24]. Many patients may be unaware of the dental services due to a lack of an HIV dental integrated program in Uganda. Additionally, dental clinics are often privately owned, making them expensive for adolescents living with HIV [25]. The perception of premature death or a short life span also contributes to low levels of dental care use [59]. Concerning age, the older the individual, the longer they are exposed to the adverse effects of ART, potentially leading to high dental caries rates [16]. Most participants only visit the dentist as an emergency; therefore, fear of dental visits may also contribute to poorer oral health and a greater need for dental services [63, 64]. Lack of trust in and knowledge about infection control systems, such as not washing hands, using protective eyewear, and sterilizing instruments, can lead to fear of HIV spread in dental facilities [65]. The lack of coordination between medical and dental appointments could reveal that dental care services are primarily used for emergencies. This, combined with frequent medical care for HIV patients, can make it difficult to balance oral health needs, negatively impacting school attendance and income for caregivers and parents. The lack of pain and toothache could deter the use of dental care services as it brings false satisfaction with the oral health status. Conversely, bad oral odor negatively affects HIV patients' quality of life, possibly due to ART-induced xerostomia and oral lesions [12, 66]. The study findings may suggest that soap lacks fluoride and hence cannot prevent dental caries. This leads to increased dental service utilization in patients due to the frequency of dental caries in soap participants. The study reveals that many individuals in Uganda are unaware of treatment options and have limited access to preventive treatments, leading to a lack of regular dental care services. This is due to financial status and perception of the relevance of dental treatment. Dental and mouth pain often present as emergencies, leading to a focus on treatment rather than prevention [19]. This low priority for oral health negatively affects quality of life, leading to delayed dental visits.

The study also found that the cost of dental care services significantly influences the type of facility participants visit. Most dental patients sought care from public facilities and used public transport, a cheap alternative for many Ugandans. This could be a barrier to seeking dental services in urban settings like Kampala, as low socioeconomic status individuals are more likely to be infected with HIV [67]. Long waits at the dental visit may be due to the large patient-provider ratio. The study reveals that despite HIV education, stigma and discrimination persist among HIV-positive individuals, leading to a negative attitude from dental care providers. This fear of rejection and breach of confidentiality may deter them from seeking dental services. The findings provide a basis for further research on HIV-related dental experiences in Uganda.

The study suggests the necessity of public health campaigns to enhance patient dental awareness, promote the use of oral health programs, and alleviate dental care anxiety [58, 68]. It also emphasizes the importance of good oral health for HIV-positive individuals, emphasizing the need for synchronization between medical and dental appointments, research on HIV stigma, and investment in dental practitioner training [69].

Conclusion

The study found a low frequency of dental care use among HIV-infected adolescents in Kampala, Uganda, with age being a predisposing factor. Enabling factors included fear of HIV spread, medical-dental appointment incoordination, and satisfaction with the dental condition and bad oral odor while under personal dental health practices. Using soap for toothbrushing was an important association with dental care use. Nevertheless, these study results cannot be generalized to the entire HIV adolescent population in Uganda.

Abbreviations

AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
ART	Antiretroviral treatment
DMFT/dmft	Decayed, missing, filled teeth
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
(CCA	Kampala Capital City Authority
ЛJAP	Makerere University Joint AIDS Program
NCDs	Non-Communicable Diseases
РСА	Principal Component Analysis
PLHIV	People Living with HIV
JPHIA	The Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Survey
JNAIDS	Joint United Nations Program on HIV and AIDS
RR	Incidence Rate Ratio

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-024-04426-z.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

M.G.N- the principal investigator, wrote the main manuscript and collected and analyzed data.ANA, the principal supervisor, guided the manuscript's writing, data interpretation, and design and aided ethical clearance in Norway. BN- substantially guided the writing of the main manuscript, aided in data analysis and interpretation, and designed the manuscript to the final draft. CLM- helped in the data collection process, participant recruitment, and study conduct; reviewed the final manuscript; and aided ethical clearance in Kampala.All authors; A.N.A, B.N, and C.LM reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by NORPART: Establishment of Joint Research-based Education in Dentistry in Norway and East Africa 2019/2023 DIKU scholarship, Grant Number was NORPART-2018/10277. This work was also partially supported by Grant Number 5R24TW0008886, which OGAC, NIH, and HRSA supported through the parent study.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical permission was obtained from the Makerere University Institutional Review Board, the School of Health Sciences Research and Ethics Committee (MakSHSREC), and the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (UNCST). The reference number is 2017-080. Permission was also received from the KCCA because the study clinics used were under their governance and control. Ethical permission was also obtained from the Norwegian Regional Ethics Committee (REK), reference number 466785. The study involved obtaining informed consent to participate from parents or caregivers of adolescents aged 10–17 and 18 and verbal assent for those aged 13–17. Audios were recorded, and informed consent to participants could withdraw at any time, and consent included voluntary participation information.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 4 January 2024 / Accepted: 30 May 2024 Published online: 04 June 2024

References

- UNAIDS. Young People and HIV. [Internet] 2021; 1–20]. https://www.unaids. org/en/resources/documents/2021/young-people-and-hiv.
- 2. UNICEF. HIV and AIDS in adolescents. 2021.

- 3. Ministry of Health, UPBHIA. Release of preliminary results of the 2020 Uganda Population-based HIV Impact Assessment. Uganda Media Center; 2022.
- 4. Commission UA. HIV Investment Case Framework 2021-30, in Investing Now To Save For The Future. 2021.
- Phelan JA. Dental lesions: diagnosis and treatment. Oral Dis. 1997;3(Suppl 1):S235–7.
- Coogan MM, Greenspan J, Challacombe SJ. Oral lesions in infection with human immunodeficiency virus. Bull World Health Organ. 2005;83(9):700–6.
- 7. Lauritano D, et al. Oral manifestations in HIV-Positive children: a systematic review. Pathogens (Basel Switzerland). 2020;9(2):88.
- 8. Reznik DA. Oral manifestations of HIV disease. Top HIV Med. 2005;13(5):143-8.
- 9. Janakiram C, Antony B, Joseph J. Association of Undernutrition and Early Childhood Dental Caries. Indian Pediatr. 2018;55(8):683–5.
- Mohamed N, et al. Association among oral symptoms, oral health-related quality of life, and health-related quality of life in a sample of adults living with HIV/AIDS in Malaysia. BMC Oral Health. 2017;17(1):119.
- Ramirez-Amador V, et al. The changing clinical spectrum of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-Related oral lesions in 1,000 consecutive patients: a 12-Year study in a Referral Center in Mexico. Med (Baltim). 2003;82(1):39–50.
- 12. Scully C, Diz P, Dios. Orofacial effects of antiretroviral therapies. Oral Dis. 2001;7(4):205–10.
- Arubaku W, et al. Prevalence, correlates and treatment needs of dental caries among people on antiretroviral therapy in Uganda: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2022;22(1):231.
- Parish C, et al. Barriers and facilitators to dental care among HIV-Infected adults. Special care Dentistry: Official Publication Am Association Hosp Dent Acad Dentistry Handicapped Am Soc Geriatric Dentistry. 2015;35(6):294–302.
- Shapiro MF, et al. Variations in the care of HIV-Infected adults in the United StatesResults from the HIV cost and services utilization study. JAMA. 1999;281(24):2305–15.
- Kalanzi D, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with dental caries in patients attending an HIV care clinic in Uganda: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2019;19(1):159.
- 17. Kibirige D, et al. HIV infection in adult ugandans with new-onset type 2 diabetes: exploring its influence on the anthropometric and metabolic profile. AIDS Res Therapy. 2023;20(1):56.
- Babitsch B, Gohl D, von Lengerke T. Re-revisiting Andersen's behavioral model of Health services Use: a systematic review of studies from 1998–2011. Psycho-social Med. 2012;9:Doc11–11.
- Adeniyi AA, Oyapero A. Predisposing, enabling and need factors influencing dental service utilization among a sample of adult nigerians. Popul Med. 2020;2(December):1–9.
- Qingping Yun ML, Zhao M, Yang L, Miao J, Chang C. The willingness to attend the first dental visit within one year of age: An analysis applying Andersen's behavioral model of health service utilization. Int J Paediatr Dent, 2021. 32(1).
- 21. Nasir EF, et al. Utilization of dental health care services in the context of the HIV epidemic- a cross-sectional study of dental patients in the Sudan. BMC Oral Health. 2009;9(1):30.
- 22. Ministry of Health, WHO. *Health Financing Progress Matrix assessment Uganda*, in *Summary of findings and recommendations*. Switzerland: Geneva; 2023.
- 23. Ministry WHO. National Oral Health Policy. 2007.
- 24. Kwagala T. 60 years of private dental practice: what we so far? In the Observer. Observer Media Limited; 2022. p. 1.
- Mwesigwa CL, et al. Mapping the geographic availability of public dental services in Uganda relative to ruralization and poverty of the population. J glob oral Health. 2020;2:86–92.
- 26. Birungi N, et al. The prevalence and socio-behavioural and clinical covariates of oral health related quality of life in Ugandan mothers with and without HIV-1. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2021;19(1):201.
- 27. Birungi N et al. Caries experience by socio-behavioural characteristics in HIV-1-infected and uninfected Ugandan mothers – a multilevel analysis. Acta Odontol Scand, 2021: p. 1–8.
- Nabbanja J, et al. Orofacial manifestations in HIV positive children attending Mildmay Clinic in Uganda. Odontology. 2013;101(1):116–20.
- Rwenyonyi CM, et al. Oral manifestations in HIV/AIDS-Infected children. Eur J Dent. 2011;5(3):291–8.
- Birungi N, et al. Caretaker's caries experience and its association with early childhood caries and children's oral health-related quality of life: a prospective two-generation study. Acta Odontol Scand. 2016;74(8):605–12.
- 31. REPSSI, Uganda: Child Marriage, in Psychosocial Well-being for All Children. 2023. p. 2.

- 33. KCCA, 2021 Kampala HIV Fact Sheet. 2021: p. 3.
- Technology UN. C.f.S.a. Conduct of research during Coronavirus Disease 2019(COVID-19) Pandemic. [Internet] 2020 [cited 2021 22 December 2021]; https://www.unhro.org.ug/assets/images/resources/covidnationalguidelines. pdf.
- 35. Hartung C, Brunette AY, Lerer W, Tseng A, Borriello C. G, Open Data Kit: Tools to Build Information Services for Developing Regions 2010.
- Baker SR. Applying Andersen's behavioral model to oral health: what are the contextual factors shaping perceived oral health outcomes? Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2009;37(6):485–94.
- Varenne B, et al. Illness-related behaviour and utilization of oral health services among adult city-dwellers in Burkina Faso: evidence from a household survey. BMC Health Serv Res. 2006;6(1):164.
- Hajek A, Kretzler B, König HH. Factors Associated with Dental Service Use based on the Andersen Model: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2021. 18(5).
- Calculator.net. Sample size calculator. [Internet] [cited 2021 26th February 2022]; Available from: https://www.calculator.net/sample-size-calculator.html ?type=1&cl=95&ci=5&pp=50&ps=400&x=44&y=19.
- Filmer D, Pritchett LH. Estimating wealth effects without expenditure data-or tears: an application to educational enrollments in states of India. Demography. 2001;38(1):115–32.
- StataNordic. Welcome to Stata Nordic and Stata 17. [Internet]; https://www. statanordic.com/?gclid=Cj0KCQjwpv2TBhDoARlsALBnVnkZ4N8Na0cmMbzAr Vra_huGkI-MAfmgDLWtRZNfBFEIZBIA8dcFXI8aAiE1EALw_wcB.
- 42. Weisstein EW. Fisher's Exact Test. [Internet] 2022 [cited 2022 28-June-2022]; https://mathworld.wolfram.com/FishersExactTest.html.
- Kong OTfH. Strengths and Weaknesses of Quantitative Interviews. [Internet] 2016 [cited 2023 09 January 2023]; https://www.opentextbooks.org.hk/ ditatopic/29797.
- 44. Azad A et al. Conducting In-Depth Interviews via Mobile Phone with Persons with Common Mental Disorders and Multimorbidity: The Challenges and Advantages as Experienced by Participants and Researchers Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2021. 18(22).
- 45. Thriemer K, et al. Replacing paper data collection forms with electronic data entry in the field: findings from a study of community-acquired bloodstream infections in Pemba. Zanzibar BMC Res Notes. 2012;5(1):1–7.
- Chen W, et al. Comparing performance between log-binomial and robust Poisson regression models for estimating risk ratios under model misspecification. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2018;18(1):63.
- Greenland S. Interpretation and choice of effect measures in epidemiologic analyses. Am J Epidemiol. 1987;125(5):761–8.
- Thomas L. Cross-sectional studies Definitions, Uses and Examples. Research methodology [Internet] 2022 5th May 2022 [cited 2023 16th January 2023]; https://www.scribbr.co.uk/research-methods/cross-sectional-design/.
- Althubaiti A. Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment methods. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2016;9:211–7.
- Middleton F. Reliability Vs. Validity in Research | Differences, Types and Examples. [Internet] 2023 [cited 2023 11th April 2023]; https://www.scribbr.com/ methodology/reliability-vs-validity/#:~:text=Reliability%20can%20be%20 estimated%20by,other%20relevant%20data%20or%20theory.
- What Is Power Analysis? Precision Analysis. Find the sample size you need... FAST [Internet] 2000 [cited 2023 24th April 2023];Available from: https://

- Chen F, Cheng Y, Xie T. Oral Health Status of Young People Infected with HIV in High Epidemic Area of China. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2021;14:831–7.
- Adedigba MA, et al. Pattern of Utilisation of Dental Health Care among HIVpositive adult nigerians. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2016;14(3):215–25.
- 54. Febronia K, Kahabuka PEP. Hawa Shariff Mbawala, Nanna Jürgensen, general and oral health related behaviors among HIV positive and the background adult Tanzanian Population. J oral hyg Health. 2014;2:162.
- (FDI), WDF How China Is Facing The Future Oral Health For The FDI World Dental Congress 2020 In Shanghai. [Internet] 2019 18th October 2019 [cited 2023 12th April 2023]; https://www.fdiworlddental.org/how-china-shaping-futureoral-health-fdi-world-dental-congress-2020-shanghai.
- 56. Twaha A. Uganda hit by dentists' shortage, in Monitor. 2018, Monitor Newspaper. p. 1.
- Tobias CR, et al. Retention of people living with HIV/AIDS in oral health care. Public Health Rep. 2012;127(Suppl 2):45–54.
- Shiboski CH, et al. Factors associated with use of dental services among HIV-infected and high-risk uninfected women. J Am Dent Assoc. 2005;136(9):1242–55.
- Carrigan Parish KS, Pereyra M, Liguori T, Metsch L. Barriers and facilitators to dental care among HIV-Infected adults. Spec Care Dentist. 2015;35(6):294–302.
- 60. Shiboski CH, et al. Dental care access and use among HIV-infected women. Am J Public Health. 1999;89(6):834–9.
- Mohamed N, Mathiba OP, Mulder R. Oral status of HIV-infected children aged 12 years or younger who attended a Paediatric Infectious Diseases Clinic in Cape Town. Clin Exp Dent Res. 2020;6(1):75–81.
- 62. Masiga MA. 2017.
- 63. Yildirim TT. Evaluating the relationship of Dental Fear with Dental Health Status and Awareness. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016;10(7):Zc105–9.
- 64. Chakradhar K, et al. Correlation of dental anxiety with oral health status and treatment needs among 12-year old Indian school-going children. Acta Biomed. 2020;91(4):e2020095.
- Mehtar S, et al. Infection control practices in public dental care services: findings from one South African Province. J Hosp Infect. 2007;66(1):65–70.
- Umeizudike KA, et al. Oral health related quality of life among HIV positive patients attending two HIV outpatient clinics in Nigeria - a cross sectional study. Afr Health Sci. 2021;21(2):566–75.
- 67. Igulot P, Magadi MA. Socioeconomic Status and Vulnerability to HIV Infection in Uganda: Evidence from Multilevel Modelling of AIDS Indicator Survey Data AIDS Res Treat, 2018. 2018: p. 7812146.
- Ofili DC, Esu EB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Oral hygiene practices and utilization of oral healthcare services among in-school adolescents in Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria. Pan Afr Med J. 2020;36:300.
- 69. Jones J, et al. Increasing access to oral health care for people living with HIV/ AIDS in rural Oregon. Public Health Rep. 2012;127(Suppl 2):65–72.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.