

RESEARCH

Open Access



Increased expression of advanced glycation endproducts in the gingival crevicular fluid compromises periodontal status in cigarette-smokers and waterpipe users

Dena Ali^{1*}, Fatemah AlAhmari², Toshinari Mikami^{3,4} and Jagan Kumar Baskaradoss⁵

Abstract

Background: The aim was to assess the association between levels of advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) in the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) and periodontal parameters among cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users.

Methods: Self-reported cigarette-smokers; waterpipe-users and never-smokers were included. Demographic data was recorded using a questionnaire. Periodontal parameters (plaque index [PI], gingival index [GI], clinical attachment loss [AL], probing depth [PD], and marginal bone loss [MBL]) were assessed in all groups. The GCF samples were collected using standard techniques and assessed for AGEs levels using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Sample-size estimation was done and group-comparisons were done. Correlation between levels of GCF AGEs levels and periodontal parameters was assessed using a logistic regression model. Level of significance was set at $P < 0.01$.

Results: Eighty-two individuals (28 cigarette-smokers, 28 waterpipe-users and 26 never-smokers) were included. There was no difference in mean ages of all patients. Cigarette-smokers had a smoking history of 5.1 ± 0.2 pack years and waterpipe-users were using waterpipe for 4.4 ± 0.6 years. There was no statistically significant difference in PI, GI, clinical AL, PD and MBL in all groups. Levels of AGEs were significantly higher among cigarette-smokers ($P < 0.001$) and waterpipe-users ($P < 0.001$) than never-smokers. There was no significant correlation between levels of GCF AGEs levels and periodontal parameters in all groups.

Conclusion: Clinical periodontal status of individuals with a short history of cigarette-smoking and waterpipe-usage may appear similar to never-smokers. On a molecular level, cigarette-smoking and waterpipe-users express raised levels of AGEs than never-smokers that sirens about the ongoing yet latent periodontal inflammatory process.

Keywords: Alveolar bone loss, Advanced glycation endproducts, Clinical attachment loss, Plaque index, Smoking

Introduction

The pathophysiology of periodontal inflammatory conditions in susceptible patient groups is complex as a variety of genetic and immunoinflammatory mechanisms

have been reported [1–6]. Recent studies [1, 2, 7] have shown that raised salivary levels of inflammatory proteins including matrix metalloproteinases-9 (MMP-9), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) and nod-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing protein-3 (NLRP3) complex inflammasome contribute towards the occurrence of periodontitis. Similarly, the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) is another biological fluid that expresses raised levels of inflammatory proteins such as TNF- α

*Correspondence: dali.5@ku.edu.kw

¹ Department of General Dental Practice, Kuwait University, P. O. Box 24923, 13110 Safat, Kuwait

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

and advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) in patients with periodontal diseases [8, 9]. The AGEs are glycotoxins and are highly oxidant compounds with a pathogenic significance [10]. Studies [11–13] have shown that interaction between AGEs and their receptors (RAGE) induce a state of oxidative stress in tissues including those of the periodontium.

Habitual use of combustible tobacco products such as cigarettes is a well-known risk factor of oral diseases including periodontitis [14–17]. From a clinical perspective, studies [18, 19] have shown that cigarette-smoking as well as waterpipe usage are risk-factors of periodontitis as these individuals demonstrate significantly high scores of plaque index (PI), probing depth (PD), clinical attachment loss (AL), and radiologic marginal bone loss (MBL) compared with never-smokers. Laboratory-based investigations [10, 20] have also shown that tobacco-smoking is associated with increased formation and accumulation of AGEs in periodontal tissues compared with never-smokers. In the study by Katz et al. [10], biopsies of gingival epithelial cells (GECs) were taken from five smokers and were compared with sex- and age-matched controls (never-smokers) for the expression of RAGE. The results showed that nicotine elevates the expression of RAGE in GECs, which reflects that AGEs-RAGE communications are linked with the etiopathogenesis of periodontitis in smokers [10]. Waterpipe (also known as narghile, hubble-bubble or/and hookah) is another form of tobacco-smoking, which is a cultural norm in many Middle-Eastern countries such as Kuwait and Saudi Arabia [21–24]. However, habitual use of waterpipe is now prevalent in many European countries and the United States [25–27]. Waterpipe users often perceive that this form of tobacco inhalation is less injurious to health compared with conventional cigarette-smoking [28]. Nevertheless, scientific evidence has also shown that the health-hazards of waterpipe-use are similar to those of cigarette-smoking [29]; and waterpipe-usage is “a global public health problem” [25]. Mokeem et al. [30] reported that clinical and radiographic parameters of periodontal inflammation are poorer in cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users than never-smokers with no statistically significant difference between the former groups (cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users). However, from an immunoinflammatory perspective, there are no studies that have compared GCF AGEs levels among cigarette-smokers, waterpipe users and never-smokers. The authors hypothesize that the levels of AGEs are significantly high in GCF of cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users compared with never-smokers.

The aim was to assess the association between levels of AGEs in the GCF and periodontal parameters among cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users.

Methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) Self-reported systemically healthy adults (males and females aged at least 18 years and older); (b) self-reported current cigarette-smokers (individuals who were currently smoking and smoked at least 1 cigarette daily for ≥ 12 -months [31]); (b) self-reported waterpipe-users (individuals who had used waterpipe at least once within the last 4-weeks [32]); and (c) self-reported never-smokers (individuals who reported to have never used any form of tobacco-product [33]). The following criteria were used for exclusion: (a) dual-smokers (individuals smoking cigarettes and simultaneously using other forms of nicotinic products such as electronic nicotine delivery systems, waterpipe, *bidis*, pipe, cigar etc.); (b) pregnancy and/or lactation; and (c) individuals that reported to have used antibiotics, anti-inflammatory medications, bisphosphonates, probiotics and/or cancer therapy. Grossly-carious and supernumerary teeth and third molars were not assessed.

Grouping

Individuals that volunteered to participate in the present study were categorized into 3 groups as follows: Group-1 comprised of self-reported cigarette-smokers; Group-2 comprised of self-reported waterpipe-users; and in Group-3 self-reported never-smokers were included.

Questionnaire

A questionnaire printed in simple Arabic and English with open-ended questions was presented to all participants. Data regarding gender, age in years, duration of cigarette-smoking habit, number of cigarette/packs smoked daily, duration of waterpipe smoking habit, number of times waterpipe was smoked every day, duration (in minutes) of each session of waterpipe usage, number of puffs per session of waterpipe usage and daily tooth-brushing and interdental flossing was recorded by one investigator (DA).

Collection of gingival crevicular fluid

Sterile cotton rolls were placed in the buccal and lingual sulci of the mandibular right first molar tooth and air-dried. Supragingival plaque was gently eradicated using sterile curettes; and a sterile paper-strip (Periopaper[®], Interstate Drug Exchange, Amityville, NY, USA) was inserted in the mid-buccal sulcus until resistance was felt. The paper strip (Periopaper[®], Interstate Drug Exchange, Amityville, NY, USA) was held in place for 10 s. Volume of the collected GCF was immediately measured using an electronic calibrated machine (Periotron 8000, Ora-flow. Inc., Hewlett, NY, USA). The sample was pooled

and eluted in microcentrifuge tubes containing 400 μ l phosphate buffered saline for 60 min prior to freezing at -80°C . Samples contaminated with saliva and/or blood were discarded and fresh GCF samples from the same site were re-collected after a waiting period of 30 min.

Analysis of AGEs in GCF

All GCF samples were centrifuged at $5000\times g$ for 20 min in a cold room. Aliquots of each sample were assayed by enzymatic immunosorbent assay to determine the levels of AGEs using an assay kit (abcam AGE Assay kit—ab238539, Cambridge, MA 02139–1517, USA), which was used as per the manufacturer's instructions. The sensitivity of the assay kit was 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. All samples were assessed in duplicates. The enzyme reaction was stopped by adding 100 μL of Stop Solution. Absorbance was read immediately on a microplate reader at 450 nm; and amounts of AGEs were recorded in micrograms per milliliter ($\mu\text{g/ml}$).

Periodontal parameters

Clinical periodontal assessment was done 24 h after GCF sample collection by a calibrated investigator (JKB; Kappa score 0.88) who was blinded to the study-groups. Full-mouth plaque index PI [34], gingival index (GI) [35], were assessed at the buccal, lingual/palatal, mesial, and distal sites on all teeth. Clinical AL [36] and PD [37] were measured to the nearest millimeter with a graded probe (Hu-Friedy InC, Chicago, IL, USA) at the mesiobuccal, midbuccal, distobuccal, distolingual/palatal, midlingual/palatal and mesiolingual/palatal sites. Full-mouth digital intra-oral radiographs (Planmeca Romexis Intra oral X-Ray, Planmeca OY, Helsinki, Finland) were taken [6]; and standardization of all x-rays was done as described elsewhere [38, 39]. All radiographs were examined by one researcher (DA; Kappa score 0.86). Numbers of missing teeth were counted and recorded.

Statistical and power analyses

Quantitative analysis was done using the one-way-analysis-of-variance and Bonferroni post-hoc correction tests (SPSS., V20, Chicago, IL, USA). Data normality was determined via Kolmogorov-smirnov test. A Probability value that was less than 0.01 was selected as a marker of statistical-significance. Prior sample-size estimation was done using data obtained from a pilot investigation (nQuery Advisor/5; Statistical-Solutions, Saugus.M.A, USA). Sample-size estimation was based on the presumption that a mean difference of 1 mm in clinical-AL and PD should be detected at a significance-level of 0.01 and a desired study power of at least 80%. With inclusion of 26 patients per group, the present investigation was projected to achieve 90% power with a 1% two-sided significance-level.

Results

Demographic characteristics

Twenty-eight, 28 and 26 cigarette-smokers, waterpipe-users and never-smokers, respectively were included. Twenty, 25 and 21 cigarette-smokers, waterpipe-users and never-smokers, respectively were male. There was no significant difference in the mean ages of individuals in all groups. Cigarette-smokers were smoking 0.8 ± 0.2 packs daily and had a smoking history of 5.1 ± 0.2 pack years. Waterpipe-users were using the hubble-bubble for 4.4 ± 0.6 years and were using waterpipe 7.5 ± 0.3 times daily. The mean duration of each session of waterpipe usage was 15.5 ± 3.1 min and were inhaling 12.2 ± 0.4 puffs per session. Toothbrushing twice daily was reported by 92.9% cigarette-smokers 89.3% waterpipe-users and 96.2% never-smokers. Interproximal flossing once daily was reported by 71.4% cigarette-smokers, 64.3% waterpipe-users and 73.1% never-smokers (Table 1).

Periodontal parameters

Cigarette-smokers, waterpipe-users and never-smokers had 5, 6 and 3 missing teeth, respectively. There was no significant difference in P, GI, PD, clinical AL and MBL among cigarette-smokers, waterpipe-users and never-smokers (Table 2).

GCF Volume and levels of AGEs

The volume of collected GCF was significantly higher among cigarette-smokes ($P<0.001$) and waterpipe-users ($P<0.001$) compared with never-smokers. There was no significant difference in the collected GCF volume among cigarette-smokes and waterpipe-users. The GCF AGEs levels were significantly higher among cigarette-smokes ($P<0.001$) and waterpipe-users ($P<0.001$) compared with never-smokers. There was no significant difference in the collected GCF volume among cigarette-smokes and waterpipe-users (Table 3).

Correlation of AGEs with clinical, radiographic and demographic parameters

The GCF AGEs levels showed no statistically significant correlation between PD and clinical AL (Table 4). In all groups, no correlation existed between GCF AGEs levels and patients' age, gender, PI, GI, and MBL (data not shown).

Discussion

The present study was based on the hypothesis that levels of AGEs are significantly high in GCF of cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users compared with never-smokers. In other words, the authors of the present study expected that scores of PI, PD, clinical AL and MBL would be markedly higher among cigarette-smokers

Table 1 Demographic parameters of the study groups

Parameters	Cigarette-smokers	Waterpipe-users	Never-smokers (controls)
Number of patients	28	28	26
Gender (male)	20	25	21
Mean age (all patients)	29.6 ± 1.2 years	27.4 ± 0.8 years	26.8 ± 0.5 years
Duration of smoking habit in years	6.4 ± 1.2 years	NA	NA
Number of cigarette packs smoked daily	0.8 ± 0.2 packs/day	NA	NA
Pack-years	5.1 ± 0.2 pack years	NA	NA
Duration of waterpipe-usage in years	NA	4.4 ± 0.6 years	NA
Daily waterpipe usage (number of times daily)	NA	7.5 ± 0.3 times daily	NA
Duration in minutes of each session of waterpipe usage	NA	15.5 ± 3.1 min	NA
Number of puffs per session of waterpipe usage	NA	12.2 ± 0.4 puffs per session	NA
<i>Daily tooth-brushing</i>			
Once daily (n) (%)	2 (7.1%)	3 (10.7%)	1 (3.8%)
Twice daily (n) (%)	26 (92.9%)	25 (89.3%)	25 (96.2%)
<i>Daily flossing</i>			
Once daily (n) (%)	20 (71.4%)	18 (64.3%)	19 (73.1%)
Twice daily (n) (%)	None	None	None
Never	8 (28.6%)	10 (35.7%)	7 (26.9%)

Table 2 Periodontal parameters of the study groups

Parameters	Cigarette-smokers	Waterpipe-users	Controls
Number of missing teeth	5	6	3
Plaque index*	0.7 ± 0.005	0.6 ± 0.07	0.6 ± 0.05
Gingival index†	0.6 ± 0.08	0.7 ± 0.004	0.5 ± 0.003
Clinical attachment loss	0.4 ± 0.04	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.003
Probing depth	0.7 ± 0.04	0.8 ± 0.06	0.5 ± 0.1
Marginal bone loss (mesial)	0.8 ± 0.05 mm	1.01 ± 0.04 mm	0.5 ± 0.04 mm
Marginal bone loss (distal)	0.9 ± 0.005 mm	1.02 ± 0.08 mm	0.4 ± 0.003 mm

*Measured at four sites per tooth; †Measured at four sites per tooth

Table 3 Volume of gingival crevicular fluid and concentrations of advanced glycation endproducts in the study groups

Parameters	Cigarette-smokers	Waterpipe-users	Controls
GCF (in µl)	0.82 ± 0.4 µl*	0.73 ± 0.3 µl*	0.3 ± 0.02 µl
AGEs concentration (µg/ml)	225.4 ± 29.7 µg/ml*	216.6 ± 16.5 µg/ml*	69.6 ± 3.2 µg/ml

*Compared with controls (P < 0.001)

Table 4 Correlation of probing depth and clinical attachment loss with advanced glycation endproducts in cigarette-smokers, waterpipe users and never-smokers

Groups	Confidence interval	R ²	P-value
<i>Probing depth</i>			
Cigarette-smokers	− 80.9 to 85.4	0.0018	0.95
Waterpipe-users	− 28.03 to 68.7	0.027	0.40
Controls	− 69.2 to 15.44	0.06	0.21
<i>Clinical attachment loss</i>			
Cigarette-smokers	− 34.5 to 55.6	0.421	0.19
Waterpipe-users	− 19.6 to 32.1	0.524	0.25
Controls	− 25.2 to 37.6	0.414	0.19

and waterpipe-users compared with never-smokers. Surprisingly, the results showed otherwise as the clinico-radiographic outcomes showed no statistically significant difference in all groups. There are several factors that may have contributed with such a finding. Firstly, all individuals that agreed to participate in the present study were young (approximately 30 years old); however,

all individuals that agreed to participate and sign the written informed consent form were young (age range 23–32 years). It is pertinent to mention that in the present study, there were no stringent criteria to include

individuals belonging to a particular age group in the present study. Another potential factor is a short history of tobacco usage among patients in groups 1 and 2. Based upon these results, cigarette-smokers may be considered “mild cigarette-smokers”) as they had a smoking history of approximately 5 pack-years. Similarly, water-pipe users were adapted with this habit for nearly 4.5 years. With regards to routine oral hygiene maintenance, the present results suggest that the patient population investigated seemed aware of routine oral hygiene maintenance as nearly 80% participants in all groups reported to brush twice daily and approximately 70% patients in all groups were performing interproximal flossing at least once daily. It is therefore postulated that the mild tobacco smoking habit, relatively younger age group and routine oral hygiene maintenance may have contributed towards absence of clinical signs of periodontal inflammation. However, by no means can be short history of smoking and waterpipe use can be considered “safe” health wise.

The laboratory-based investigations performed in the present study showed that despite relatively young, short history of smoking and waterpipe usage and age adequate adoption of oral hygiene maintenance measures, levels of AGEs were nearly 3 times higher in the GCF of cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users (with no difference between these groups) compared with non-smokers (Table 3). This reflects that on a molecular level periodontal inflammation is intensifying even though clinical and radiographic evaluations did not demonstrate an active sign of periodontal destruction. These factors may also be associated with a lack of correlation between AGEs, periodontal parameters and clinical parameters in the population under investigation (Table 4). Interactions between AGEs and RAGE in periodontal tissues induce a state of oxidative stress that in turn augment the production of proinflammatory cytokines, inhibit osteoblastic activity and induce apoptosis and periodontal fibroblasts [40]. Studies [41–44] have also shown that tobacco-smoking increases the formation and accumulation of AGEs in tissues including human gingival fibroblasts thereby contributing to the progression of periodontal diseases such as periodontitis. It is hypothesized that prolonged use of tobacco-products massively increases GCF AGEs levels and enhance AGEs-RAGE interactions, which clinically present as periodontal soft-tissue damage and alveolar bone destruction. These results suggest that assessment of GCF for inflammatory markers is a use biological tool for assessment of periodontal disease activity in susceptible patient populations (such as patients with periodontitis).

An alarming finding of the present study was that all cigarette-smokers and waterpipe-users that volunteered to participate in the present study were young and were

in their late 20 s; and were residents of Kuwait City. Our results support the outcomes of a cross-sectional study by Alali et al. [45] according to which, smoking is more common among males than females in Kuwait and the mean age at smoking initiation was approximately 18 years. The authors of the present study support the results reported by Alali et al. [45]; and emphasize that there is a dire need to implement public health-related policies through which, the general population could be educated the public about the detrimental effects of smoking on oral and general health.

One limitation of the resent study is that total amounts of AGEs in GCF were not assessed in the present investigation. Although AGEs concentrations in relation to GCF volume were reported; it is speculated that assessment of AGEs data in relation to total amount would have provided a more precise estimation of AGEs in the study groups. Moreover, in the present study microbiological assessment of subgingival oral biofilm samples was not performed. The primary reason for this discrepancy is limitations in funding resources that compelled the authors restrict the parameters of investigation. It is anticipated that counts of periodontopathogenic microbes is higher in the subgingival oral biofilm of cigarette-smokers and water-pipe users compared with never-smokers. Moreover, patients with distressed immunity and dual-smokers were excluded. It is hypothesized that levels of AGEs in oral fluids (such as GCF) are higher in immunosuppressed patients and dual smokers thereby making these individuals more susceptible to periodontal damage than systemically healthy tobacco-product users. Further studies are needed to test these hypotheses. The authors of the present study intended to include another group “never-smokers with periodontal diseases” in the present study. However, during the initial patient screening phase most of the never-smokers with periodontal diseases (nearly 39%; data not shown) reported that they had systemic diseases such as DM and CVD. Moreover, never-smokers with periodontal diseases were relatively old (age range 52–79 years) in contrast to individuals that agreed to participate in the present study (age range 23–32 years). Hence, in order to minimize the risk of age-related bias this group was excluded from the present investigation. However, it is speculated that the GCF levels of AGEs are lower in never-smokers than smokers with periodontal diseases and further studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

Conclusion

Clinical periodontal status of individuals with a short history of cigarette-smoking and waterpipe-usage may appear similar to never-smokers. On a molecular level, cigarette-smoking and waterpipe-users express raised

levels of AGEs than never-smokers that sirens about the ongoing yet latent periodontal inflammatory process.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at the College of Dentistry at King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia for supporting during the completion of this research project.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, D.A.; methodology, D.A., T.M., J.K.B.; software, J.K.B., T.M., and D.A.; validation, D.A., F.A. and J.K.B.; formal analysis, D.A.; investigation, D.A.; J.K.B.; resources, D.A.; data curation, J.K.B.; writing—original draft preparation, D.A., F.A. and J.K.B.; writing—review and editing, D.A., T.M., F.A. and J.K.B.; visualization, D.A.; supervision, D.A.; project administration, D.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The research data and/or materials are not publicly available as the authors did not entail consents to publish this data; however, the data is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The research study was reviewed and approved by the Research Review Board/Institutional Review board of the Kuwait University, Kuwait City, Kuwait (VDR/EC-3980). All participants signed a written informed consent for participation in the present study. All individuals were aware that participation is completely voluntary and withdrawal at any stage does not entail any penalty or consequence. All study procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of General Dental Practice, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 24923, 13110 Safat, Kuwait. ²Department of Periodontics and Community Dentistry, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ³Pax Creation Medical Lab, Morioka, Japan. ⁴Department of Oral Pathology, Oral Lab Central College of Stomatology, China Medical University, Shenyang, China. ⁵Department of Developmental and Preventive Sciences, Kuwait University, Kuwait City, Kuwait.

Received: 8 April 2022 Accepted: 19 May 2022

Published online: 25 May 2022

References

- Isola G, Polizzi A, Santonocito S, Alibrandi A, Williams RC. Periodontitis activates the NLRP3 inflammasome in serum and saliva. *J Periodontol*. 2022;93(1):135–45.
- Isola G, Polizzi A, Ronisvalle V, Alibrandi A, Palazzo G, Lo Giudice A. Impact of matrix metalloproteinase-9 during periodontitis and cardiovascular diseases. *Molecules*. 2021;26(6):1777.
- Ferlazzo N, Currò M, Zinellu A, Caccamo D, Isola G, Ventura V, Carru C, Matarese G, Ientile R. Influence of MTHFR genetic background on p16 and MGMT methylation in oral squamous cell cancer. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(4):724.
- Matarese G, Currò M, Isola G, Caccamo D, Vecchio M, Giunta ML, Ramaglia L, Cordasco G, Williams RC, Ientile R. Transglutaminase 2 up-regulation is associated with RANKL/OPG pathway in cultured HPDL cells and THP-1-differentiated macrophages. *Amino Acids*. 2015;47(11):2447–55.
- Currò M, Matarese G, Isola G, Caccamo D, Ventura VP, Cornelius C, Lentini M, Cordasco G, Ientile R. Differential expression of transglutaminase genes in patients with chronic periodontitis. *Oral Dis*. 2014;20(6):616–23.
- Ali D, Qasem SS, Baskaradoss JK. Periodontal clinicoradiographic status and whole saliva soluble urokinase plasminogen activation receptor and tumor necrosis factor alpha levels in type-2 diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. *Oral Health Prev Dent*. 2021;19(1):481–8.
- Isola G, Polizzi A, Alibrandi A, Williams RC, Leonardi R. Independent impact of periodontitis and cardiovascular disease on elevated soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR) levels. *J Periodontol*. 2021;92(6):896–906.
- Bahammam MA, Attia MS. Effects of systemic simvastatin on the concentrations of visfatin, tumor necrosis factor- α , and interleukin-6 in gingival crevicular fluid in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic periodontitis. *J Immunol Res*. 2018;2018:8481735.
- Taşdemir İ, Erbak Yılmaz H, Narin F, Sağlam M. Assessment of saliva and gingival crevicular fluid soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR), galectin-1, and TNF- α levels in periodontal health and disease. *J Periodontol Res*. 2020;55(5):622–30.
- Katz J, Yoon TY, Mao S, Lamont RJ, Caudle RM. Expression of the receptor of advanced glycation end products in the gingival tissue of smokers with generalized periodontal disease and after normocotine induction in primary gingival epithelial cells. *J Periodontol*. 2007;78(4):736–41.
- Kido R, Hiroshima Y, Kido JI, Ikuta T, Sakamoto E, Inagaki Y, Naruishi K, Yumoto H. Advanced glycation end-products increase lipocalin 2 expression in human oral epithelial cells. *J Periodontol Res*. 2020;55(4):539–50.
- Pietropoli D, Tatone C, D'Alessandro AM, Monaco A. Possible involvement of advanced glycation end products in periodontal diseases. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2010;23(3):683–91.
- Akram Z, Alqahtani F, Alqahtani M, Al-Kheraif AA, Javed F. Levels of advanced glycation end products in gingival crevicular fluid of chronic periodontitis patients with and without type-2 diabetes mellitus. *J Periodontol*. 2020;91(3):396–402.
- Isola G. The impact of diet, nutrition and nutraceuticals on oral and periodontal health. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(9):2724.
- Gelskey SC. Cigarette smoking and periodontitis: methodology to assess the strength of evidence in support of a causal association. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1999;27(1):16–24.
- Leite FRM, Nascimento GG, Baake S, Pedersen LD, Scheutz F, López R. Impact of smoking cessation on periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective longitudinal observational and interventional studies. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2019;21(12):1600–8.
- Leite FRM, Nascimento GG, Scheutz F, López R. Effect of smoking on periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Am J Prev Med*. 2018;54(6):831–41.
- Ibraheem WI, Fageeh HI, Preethanath RS, Alzahrani FA, Al-Zawawi AS, Divakar DD, Al-Kheraif AA. Comparison of RANKL and osteoprotegerin levels in the gingival crevicular fluid of young cigarette- and waterpipe-smokers and individuals using electronic nicotine delivery systems. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2020;115:104714.
- BinShabaib MS, Mehmood A, Akram Z, AlHarthi SS. Peri-implant clinical and radiographic status and whole salivary cotinine levels among cigarette and waterpipe smokers and never-smokers. *J Oral Sci*. 2018;60(2):247–52.
- Isola G, Matarese G, Ramaglia L, Pedullà E, Rapisarda E, Iorio-Siciliano V. Association between periodontitis and glycosylated haemoglobin before diabetes onset: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2020;24(8):2799–808.
- Mohammed HR, Zhang Y, Newman IM, Shell DF. Waterpipe smoking in Kuwait. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2010;16(11):1115–20.
- Al Moamary MS, Al Ghobain MA, Al Shehri SN, Alfayez AI, Gasmelseed AY, Al-Hajjaj MS. The prevalence and characteristics of water-pipe smoking among high school students in Saudi Arabia. *J Infect Public Health*. 2012;5(2):159–68.
- Nasser AMA, Geng Y, Al-Wesabi SA. The prevalence of smoking (cigarette and waterpipe) among University Students in some Arab countries: a systematic review. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2020;21(3):583–91.
- Salih S, Shaban S, Athwani Z, Alyahyawi F, Alharbi S, Ageeli F, Hakami A, Ageeli A, Jubran O, Sahloli S. Prevalence, predictors, and characteristics of

- waterpipe smoking among Jazan University Students in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Ann Glob Health*. 2020;86(1):87.
25. Maziak W, Taleb ZB, Bahelah R, Islam F, Jaber R, Auf R, Salloum RG. The global epidemiology of waterpipe smoking. *Tob Control*. 2015;24(Suppl 1):i3–12.
 26. Ramji R, Arnetz BB, Nilsson M, Wiklund Y, Jamil H, Maziak W, Arnetz J. Waterpipe use in adolescents in Northern Sweden: association with mental well-being and risk and health behaviours. *Scand J Public Health*. 2018;46(8):867–76.
 27. Cobb C, Ward KD, Maziak W, Shihadeh AL, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: an emerging health crisis in the United States. *Am J Health Behav*. 2010;34(3):275–85.
 28. Jukema JB, Bagnasco DE, Jukema RA. Waterpipe smoking: not necessarily less hazardous than cigarette smoking: possible consequences for (cardiovascular) disease. *Neth Heart J*. 2014;22(3):91–9.
 29. Al Ali R, Vukadinović D, Maziak W, Katmeh L, Schwarz V, Mahfoud F, Laufs U, Böhm M. Cardiovascular effects of waterpipe smoking: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Cardiovasc Med*. 2020;21(3):453–68.
 30. Mokeem SA, Alasqah MN, Michelogiannakis D, Al-Kheraif AA, Romanos GE, Javed F. Clinical and radiographic periodontal status and whole salivary cotinine, IL-1 β and IL-6 levels in cigarette- and waterpipe-smokers and E-cig users. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2018;61:38–43.
 31. Javed F, Näsström K, Benchimol D, Altamash M, Klinge B, Engström PE. Comparison of periodontal and socioeconomic status between subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus and non-diabetic controls. *J Periodontol*. 2007;78(11):2112–9.
 32. Lipkus IM, Eissenberg T, Schwartz-Bloom RD, Prokhorov AV, Levy J. Affecting perceptions of harm and addiction among college waterpipe tobacco smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2011;13(7):599–610.
 33. Kang K, Shin JS, Lee J, Lee YJ, Kim MR, Park KB, Ha IH. Association between direct and indirect smoking and osteoarthritis prevalence in Koreans: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(2):e010062.
 34. Silness J, Loe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy. II. Correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 1964;22:121–35.
 35. Loe H, Silness J. Periodontal disease in pregnancy. I. Prevalence and severity. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 1963;21:533–51.
 36. Genco RJ, Grossi SG, Ho A, Nishimura F, Murayama Y. A proposed model linking inflammation to obesity, diabetes, and periodontal infections. *J Periodontol*. 2005;76(11 Suppl):2075–84.
 37. Armitage GC, Svanberg GK, Loe H. Microscopic evaluation of clinical measurements of connective tissue attachment levels. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1977;4(3):173–90.
 38. Updegrave WJ. The paralleling extension-cone technique in intraoral dental radiography. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1951;4(10):1250–61.
 39. Khocht A, Janal M, Harasty L, Chang KM. Comparison of direct digital and conventional intraoral radiographs in detecting alveolar bone loss. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2003;134(11):1468–75.
 40. Li DX, Deng TZ, Lv J, Ke J. Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and their receptor (RAGE) induce apoptosis of periodontal ligament fibroblasts. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2014;47(12):1036–43.
 41. Nonaka K, Bando M, Sakamoto E, Inagaki Y, Naruishi K, Yumoto H, Kido JI. 6-Shogaol inhibits advanced glycation end-products-induced IL-6 and ICAM-1 expression by regulating oxidative responses in human gingival fibroblasts. *Molecules*. 2019;24(20):3705.
 42. Nonaka K, Kajijura Y, Bando M, Sakamoto E, Inagaki Y, Lew JH, Naruishi K, Ikuta T, Yoshida K, Kobayashi T, et al. Advanced glycation end-products increase IL-6 and ICAM-1 expression via RAGE, MAPK and NF- κ B pathways in human gingival fibroblasts. *J Periodont Res*. 2018;53(3):334–44.
 43. Rajeev K, Karthika R, Mythili R, Krishnan V, Nirmal M. Role of receptors of advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) in type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic individuals with chronic periodontal disease: an immunohistochemical study. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2011;2(4):287–92.
 44. Ren L, Fu Y, Deng Y, Qi L, Jin L. Advanced glycation end products inhibit the expression of collagens type I and III by human gingival fibroblasts. *J Periodontol*. 2009;80(7):1166–73.
 45. Alali WQ, Longenecker JC, Alwotyan R, AlKandari H, Al-Mulla F, Al DQ. Prevalence of smoking in the Kuwaiti adult population in 2014: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2021;28(8):10053–67.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

